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Don’t Let Time Get Away from You!

Register for the Employment Information Services Center by October 25. The EIS Center at the Annual Meeting is an efficient way for candidates and employers to communicate and participate in job interviews. Those who register by the deadline will receive the following benefits.

EMPLOYERS:
- Unlimited use of the interview hall
- Placement of job advertisement in the Annual Meeting edition of Openings
- Seven months of online access to candidate CVs organized by specialization
- Ability to use the message center to communicate with registered candidates

CANDIDATES:
- Opportunity to place CV online for employer review
- Personal copy of registered job advertisements and employers’ interview plans
- Ability to use the message center to communicate with employers

For more information about the Employment Information Services Center, and to register, see www.aarweb.org/eis.

FROM THE EDITOR

Dear Readers,

Last month, Religious Studies News successfully launched a new emphasis with the introduction of the Focus section, an in-depth look at vital issues confronting religion/theology academy. In this issue, we discuss academic freedom for religion and theology scholars. We’ve asked several authors to address the problems, concerns, and solutions for the discipline.

Many of our colleagues are facing pressure from inside and outside their departments — from students, adherents, administrators, and donors. At the business meeting of the 2005 AAR Annual Meeting, a draft resolution on academic freedom was tabled for further discussion. Crafting such a resolution is complicated, having to take into consideration the various institutional contexts in which religion and theology are being taught. This issue of Focus lays out the challenges facing the Academy as it continues its efforts to craft a meaningful resolution, implicitly articulating the urgency of such a resolution.

The Focus section kicks off with four authors who participated in a panel at last year’s Annual Meeting — Responding to Political Targeting of Religious Scholars in U.S. Institutions of Higher Education. June Marie Law, who presided, begins with an outline of the problems confronting our colleagues, setting the tone for the three following authors, Carol Anderson, Mary McGee, and Linda A. Moody. Anderson focuses on the complex strategies used in attacking academic freedom; McGee writes about challenges to scholars from believers; and Moody details the pressure groups that target individual scholars and departments.

Daniel Golden of the Wall Street Journal follows with his article concerning donors’ influence in hiring faculty members. He takes the specific case of D. Michael Quinn and his journey from respected Mormon scholar to unemployment to illustrate how those who fund endowed chairs and scholarships are influencing whom departments hire.

Leah Bowman, a pseudonym of a scholar of Islam, details the pressures facing those who teach Islam courses. A brief comment she made about the humanitarian costs of Palestinians landed on the Internet, leading to e-mail threats — and to her realization of the challenge facing the liberal arts when exposing students to alternative ideas in post-9/11 America.

Laurie Patton and Chakravarthi Ram-Prasad conclude the section by articulating ways adherents and scholars can enter into constructive and collegial relationships, focusing on Hindu and non-Hindu “interlogue.”

I hope you enjoy this issue of Religious Studies News, and find this Focus section informative. We invite you to submit any thoughts, letters to the editor, comments, and criticisms to me at kcole@aarweb.org. We will publish feedback from readers in subsequent issues. Our next Focus topic will be “Publishing in Religious Studies and Theology.”

Also in this issue, new AAR Executive Director Jack Fitzmier is interviewed and Spotlight on Teaching dovetails nicely with the Focus section as it examines “Teaching Difficult Subjects.” Spotlight editor Tazim Kassam, Syracuse University, and guest editor Cynthia Hume, Claremont McKenna College, have produced an in-depth look at this topic.

Kyle Cole
Executive Editor, Religious Studies News

AAR Officer Elections

A Message from the AAR Nominations Committee

The Nominations Committee is pleased to place two excellent names on the ballot this year for Vice President. We are grateful to both of them for their willingness to serve the Academy in this way.

Once again, AAR members will be able to vote by electronic ballot. A paper ballot will be mailed to members whose e-mail addresses are not on file. Please know that we guarantee the privacy of your vote.

We expect a large number of our members to vote in this election. Please be among them.

Hans Hillerbrand, Chair
Nominations Committee

Call for Nominations

The Nominations Committee will continue its practice of consultations during the Annual Meeting in Washington, D.C., to begin the process for selecting nominees for Vice President to take office in November 2007. The committee takes seriously all recommendations by AAR members.

The following characteristics regularly surface in discussions of candidates for Vice President:

(a) Scholarship: “represents the mind of the Academy,” “international reputation,” “breadth of knowledge of the field,” “widely known.”

(b) Service to the Academy: “serves the Academy broadly conceived,” “gives papers regularly,” “leads sections,” “chairs committees,” “supports regional work.”

(c) General: “electable,” “one the average member of the Academy will look upon with respect,” “one whose scholarship and manner is inclusive rather than narrow, sectarian, and/or exclusive.”

How to Vote

All members of the Academy are entitled to vote for all officers. The elected candidates will take office at the end of the 2006 Annual Meeting. Please vote online at www.aarweb.org. Paper ballots are sent only to those without e-mail addresses on file or by special request (please call 404-727-3049). Vote by November 1, 2006, to exercise this important membership right.

(c) General: “electable,” “one the average member of the Academy will look upon with respect,” “one whose scholarship and manner is inclusive rather than narrow, sectarian, and/or exclusive.”

Vice President

The Vice President serves on the Executive and Program Committees, as well as on the Board of Directors. He will be in line to be confirmed president-elect in 2007 and president in 2008. During his tenure, the Vice President will have the opportunity to affect AAR policy in powerful ways; in particular, during the presidential year, the incumbent makes all appointments of members to openings on committees.

See page 4 for candidates’ statements

October 2006 RSN • 3
Statement on the AAR

THERE IS MUCH about the AAR that should not be changed. It supports our profession, it provides a public face for the academic study of religion, and perhaps most wondrous of all, each year it hosts what has to be one of the biggest family reunions on earth. At a time when extraordinary global social forces have been unleashed and religion is both the symbol and agent of change, the Academy helps to keep us grounded. Its publications, projects, and events remind us that the questions raised about religion today are enduring ones and reach to the heart of what we are as social and spiritual beings.

So let the AAR flourish. At its essence it is what its many members want it to be, and that cannot and should not be changed. Still there are some things that can be enhanced, and in which its officers can make a difference, such as the following.

• Funding. As a professional academic field we compete with other disciplines for financial support, both for research and institutional growth. These opportunities are not just for the already institutionally well-endowed — there is a great diversity of funding possibilities for all shapes and sizes of academic programs. But religious studies is one of the newer and smaller of academic fields clamoring for money, and we need to be in touch with potential funding agencies to let our presence be known.

• Academic visibility. Whether we are part of an established religious studies or theology program or lone wolves in some other department, we know what it can mean to be marginalized. I have been in both situations and I know that we need support in our efforts to make clear to our institutions and our colleagues that the study of religion is as intellectually credible as the study of politics, literature, or the economy. And it is just as vital to intellectual inquiry and sound education.

• Public visibility. The remarkable resurgence of religion in public life is an opportunity for us to demonstrate the relevance of what we do, and allow us to join in the public conversation about what religion is and what role it should play in the social order. Whether it is a Queda or The Da Vinci Code, there are aspects of religion in the spotlight that need to be better understood. Policy and media professionals would profit from the information and insights that our expertise can provide in a world where religion is paradigm through the public square.

These are some of the areas in which the AAR officers can help to be a vehicle for its members’ concerns. I also thought of another — global connections — which is relevant to more than just those of us who have made global religion our domain. I think that the transnational dimensions of our academic community enrich us all, regardless of how grand or parochial we think our intellectual interests may be. Somewhere on the planet there are scholars with concerns similar to ours whose association could vastly reward what we do, and for whom the AAR could provide intellectual channels of communication and facilitate international exchanges.

Finally, a personal note. There is an overused phrase that one often hears from nomi- nees in that other academy — the one that hands out the Oscars — that “it was an honor just to be nominated.” But in my case I feel that this is so. The AAR has been such a presence in my academic career — it was as a graduate student at a regional AAR meeting that I nervously presented my first academic paper — and it has provided affilations of such familiar camaraderie, that the notion that I could be useful to its leadership comes as an additional honor. Yet it also brings the sober hope that some good could come of it, and that the consolidation that one way or another the AAR will, as it always has, survive.
Annual Meeting Countdown

Important Dates
- Mailing of the Annual Meeting badge materials to all preregistered attendees began in mid-September. Materials include your name badge and drink ticket. Contact Conferon Registration & Housing at aar@conferon.com if you did not receive your materials.
- Third-tier ("regular") registration rates go into effect on October 16, so register early to get the best rate.
- November 10 is the pre-Annual Meeting registration deadline. All registrations after this date must take place onsite at the Washington Convention Center. No badge mailings will occur after this date.
- November 18–21 is the Annual Meeting in Washington! Check www.aarweb.org/annual for up-to-date information about the meeting.

Checklist for when you arrive at the Annual Meeting
- Name Badge Holders. If you received your name badge by mail, all you need to do is swing by the Registration area in the Washington Convention Center to pick up a name badge holder. Then you are ready to attend sessions and visit the Exhibit Hall.
- Onsite Registration. If you did not receive your badge materials or need to register, visit the AAR & SBL Meeting Registration counter.
- Annual Meetings At-A-Glance. Pick up a copy of the Annual Meetings At-a-Glance booklet. This booklet shows the updated program and room locations for all sessions. Updates or changes will be marked by gray shading. This is an invaluable addition to your Program Book!
- Book of Abstracts. Interested in a session’s topic? Want to learn more before heading to the session? Check out the Book of Abstracts, located in the bins near registration, for more information.
- Tote Bag. Tote bag tickets were mailed with the name badge materials. Tote bags are available while supplies last.
- Find-A-Friend. Visit the Find-A-Friend boards in the Registration area to find whether your colleagues are attending.
- AAR Member Services. Visit the AAR Member Services desk if you have any other questions.
- Enjoy the meeting!

Plenary Addresses

Religion after September 11
Saturday, 11:45 AM–1:00 PM
Karen Armstrong, Birmingham, UK
A former Roman Catholic nun and instructor at London’s prestigious Leo Baeck College for the Training of Rabbin, Karen Armstrong is the author of the international bestseller The History of God and participated in Bill Moyers’s PBS series on religion. She is also the author of The Gospel According to Woman; Muhammad, The Book for God. Fundamentalism in Judaism, Christianity, and Islam; and God: A Short History. In her new book, The Great Transformation: The Beginning of Our Religious Traditions, Armstrong returns to the ninth century BCE to examine the roots of four of the world’s major spiritual traditions: Confucianism and Daoism in China, Hinduism and Buddhism in India, monotheism in Israel, and philosophical rationalism in Greece. Despite some differences, there was a remarkable consensus in these religious’ call for an abandonment of selfishness and a spirituality of compassion. Armstrong urges us to consider how these spiritualities challenge the way we are religious today.

Tariq Ramadan (A19–126)
Sunday, 7:15–8:15 PM
Tariq Ramadan, Oxford University
Appearance Subject to Outcome of AKU Lawsuit against the U.S. Government

Tariq Ramadan holds a PhD in Arabic and Islamic Studies from the University of Geneva. Ramadan taught at Fribourg University in Switzerland for many years. He held the post of Professor of Islamic Studies in the Classics Department and Luce Professor of Religion, Conflict and Peacebuilding at the Kroc Institute in 2004 at the University of Notre Dame, but had to resign due to visa revocation by the U.S. administration. This resulted in a lawsuit brought against the U.S. government by the AAR, the American Association of University Professors, and the PEN American Center. Ramadan is the author of more than 20 books and several hundred articles on topics such as democracy and Islam, the practice of Islam in Europe, and Islamic law. A Swiss national and consistent critic of the practice of Islam in Europe, and Islamic law, Ramadan has also been a frequent critic of U.S. policy toward the Muslim world.

An Interview with Madeleine Albright (A20–36)
Monday, 11:30 AM–1:00 PM
Madeleine Albright, Georgetown University
Madeleine Albright was nominated in 1996 by President Clinton as the first female Secretary of State. Prior to her appointment, Secretary Albright served as the United States Permanent Representative to the United Nations, as a member of President Clinton’s Cabinet and National Security Council, and as the President of the nonprofit Center for National Policy. She earned a doctorate in public law and government from Columbia University and is the Morris Distinguished Professor of Diplomacy at Georgetown University, where she teaches undergraduate and graduate courses in international affairs, U.S. foreign policy, Russian foreign policy, and Central and Eastern European politics, and is responsible for developing and implementing programs designed to enhance women’s professional opportunities in international affairs. Her most recent book is The Mighty and the Almighty: Reflections on America, God, and World Affairs (HarperCollins, 2006) which focuses on religion and foreign affairs.

Telling My Stories: Race, Responsibility, and Historical Consciousness (A20–130)
Monday, 7:15–8:15 PM
Karen McCarthy Brown, Brown University
Karen McCarthy Brown, a sociologist of religion, is one of the foremost scholars on Haitian religious traditions, and particularly on the role of women in these traditions. She is best known for her book Mama Loba, and for her work as the Director of the Newark Project. She plays a particularly important role as an advisor in Haitian approaches to healing, and about broader project design based on her experience leading a large urban ethnographic study over an extended period. Her other important contribution has been to add significant theoretical sophistication to ethnographic data analysis.

Annual Meeting Performances and Exhibitions

The AAR is showcasing the following performances and exhibitions during this year’s Annual Meeting.

Art Series Event: KanKouran West African Dance Company (A19–127)
Sunday, 8:30–9:30 PM
A local institution based out of Washington, D.C., KanKouran West African Dance Company has been an integral part of the dance community for over 20 years. The company was founded in 1983 by Artistic Director Assane Konte, and former Director of Music, Gilbert Kone, both from Senegal, West Africa. KanKouran, whose members were born in the United States, Africa, and the Caribbean, is dedicated to preserving and sharing the culture of Africa. KanKouran functions much like a traditional African community, where given the communal nature of African culture, each individual understands his or her role in maintaining the oneness of the community to the benefit of the entire community, and each individual is encouraged to contribute and participate to the extent of that person’s talents and abilities.

Religion in Documentary Film: The Work of Helen Whitney (A19–128)
Sunday, 8:30–10:30 PM
Helen Whitney’s documentary films have received an Emmy award, six Emmy nominations, an Academy Award nomination, and two Peabody awards. Whitney will discuss and show excerpts from her films, Faith and Doubt at Ground Zero and John Paul II: The Millennium Pope, as well as talk about her forthcoming six-hour PBS series, The Future of Faith. AAR President Diana Eck, Director of the Pluralism Project at Harvard University, will preside.
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**Engaging Africa: Reflections on the Study of Religions of Africa and the African Diaspora**

Jacob Olupona, University of California, Davis

As the AMERICAN ACADEMY of Religion focuses on the international theme, “Africa and African Scholarship in Religion,” I will share these thoughts on the evolving nexus between African religious traditions and their transatlantic counterparts in the diaspora. This nexus compellingly suggests an agenda for advancing the study of religion in general as many issues central to the work of Africanists — such as globalization, immigration, ethnicity, identity, and religious market places — are of increasing concern to scholars of a wide variety of religions. The relative neglect of Africa and its diaspora in the discourse of broader religious studies is as regrettable as it is instructive.

Africa’s 50 continental nations and five island countries are vast, with the majority of its 840 million people participating in at least one of three general forms of religion: the religious heritages of indigenous African ethnic groups, Islam, and Christianity. Responding to the Western media’s image of the African continent in disarray — the majority of its people impoverished and despondent about their present and their future — scholars of African religion present a robust and creative Africa of deep religious sensibilities, the home of a cultural renaissance and an array of spiritual traditions reflecting complex hierarchies of power, agency, and authority.

It is high time the broader academy took earnest notice, not merely to soothe the conscience of its racist past but to gain a truly holistic and balanced perspective about humanity’s religious heritage. Virtually every story of importance in the study of religion can and should begin with Africa — the cradle of humanity.

**African Religion and Its Study**

From the fourteenth to sixteenth centuries, formal West African scholarship in the sciences, arts, and medicine began, as Islamic universities were instituted in the medieval empires of Mali, Songhai, and Kanem-Borno. In the fifteenth century, Catholic missionaries arrived in Warri, Kongo, and the Benin Kingdom while European adventurers, most lacking scholarly training, visited Africa and returned to Europe to record their findings in what amounted to the first known studies of African religious traditions. Their research contributed directly to Europe’s plunder of Africa by providing “evidence” of the supposed inferiority of African culture and of Africa’s need for Europeans to lead them forcefully toward “civilization.” This ideology was captured succinctly in Belgium’s motto for its murderous reign in the Congo, “Domine non servae” (“Dominate in order to Serve”).

Many early European scholars viewed African indigenous religions as “primitive” compared to Christianity, and promoted the idea of an African mind and thought system inferior to the European. False claims ran amok (1) that Africans were incapable of producing authentic religious traditions; (2) that Africans “lacked true knowledge” of a Supreme God; (3) that African civilizations and religious belief systems must have origins “elsewhere” and were “transported” to the African continent; and (4) that religious philosophy and thought must have “diffused” throughout Africa after European “contact.” Thus, early scholarship on Africa and African religions reflected a pernicious racism that rendered impossible the kinds of sensitivity to human spirituality that would lead to a genuine appreciation of Africa’s profound and inspiring religious culture — the kind of sensitivity that is foundational to some of the best work produced in religious studies in general.

In the 1960s, African universities encouraged a revitalized study of African religions, reflecting Africa’s new nation-state status and reemergent spirit of freedom and pride. African institutions of higher learning acknowledged the religious pluralism characteristic of most countries and emphasized Islamic studies and African traditional religions alongside dominant Christian studies. Inspired by political independence, a religiously pluralistic national identity emerged in many regions, anchored in belief of a Supreme God in each of the three dominant religions, and fostered by a unifying civil faith. This religious vitality also served to resist oppression.

From the 1960s onward, the study of African religions developed as an autonomous field of comparative history of religion; African traditional religions, language, and literature were required, enhancing the study of local religious traditions even in cognate disciplines. Indigenous epistemology emphasized traditional theology and religious studies scholarship as research on African religions increased dramatically. Unlike nineteenth- and early twentieth-century Christian missions in Africa — which wed the notion of conversion to the development of “Westernized” individuals through
Annual Meeting Chairs Workshop

Personnel Issues: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly


The daylong workshop, “Personnel Issues: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly,” will deal with a multitude of personnel issues that come up within departments, and will address individual, departmental, and higher administration concerns. In addition, it will address life-cycle, legal, and conflict issues that arise at each level.

Betsy DeBerg, head of the Department of Religion and Philosophy at the University of Northern Iowa, and Chester Gillis, chair of the Department of Theology at Georgetown University, will lead the workshop. Daniel Aleshire, executive director of the Association of Theological Schools (ATS), will join DeBerg and Gillis as a panelist. Additionally, attorney Lisa Krim from the Georgetown University’s Counsel Office will address participants. Krim specializes in employment law, in multiple areas of law specific to higher education.

To strengthen the interactive nature of the workshops and to develop effective communication among participants, members of the Academic Relations Committee will facilitate small group discussions following each panel presentation. In addition to Q&A sessions at the end of the panels, these sessions allow for an exchange of ideas from the department members in attendance. This year’s topic was developed in response to questions solicited at last year’s event, in which many participants cited that a workshop addressing personnel issues would be beneficial and timely.

Colleagues in your institution, such as chairs, other faculty members, faculty being developed to assume leadership responsibilities, and deans, may be interested in attending this workshop. Chairs may want to bring a team of faculty or a designated faculty person.

Registration is limited to the first 75 participants. The cost for the workshop is $75, which includes the entire day of sessions, lunch, and a complimentary book on the subject of personnel issues. The topics for past chairs workshops have been:

2005 Annual Meeting – Enlarging the Pie: Strategies for Managing and Growing Departmental Resources
2004 Annual Meeting – Being a Chair in Today’s Consumer Culture: Navigating in the Knowledge Factory
2003 Annual Meeting – Scholarship, Service, and Stress: The Tensions of Being a Chair
Summer 2003 – The Entrepreneurial Chair: Building and Sustaining Your Department in an Era of Shrinking Resources and Increasing Demands
2002 Annual Meeting – Running a Successful Faculty Search in the Religious Studies Department
2001 Annual Meeting – Evaluating and Advancing Teaching in the Religious Studies Department
2000 Annual Meeting – Assessing and Advancing the Religious Studies Department

We look forward to seeing you in Washington, D.C.!

The Academic Relations Committee: Fred Glennon, chair, Richard M. Carp, Chester Gillis, DeAne Lagerquist, and Chung-Fang Yu.

To REGISTER

Complete the information below, arrange payment, and send via fax or surface mail.

You can also register online as a part of the Annual Meeting registration process: www.aarweb.org/annualmeet/2006.

NAME

Department

Institution

Serving as Chair since

Number of faculty in department

Registration is limited to the first 75 participants.

Send your registration form and payment of $75.00 *** before October 31, 2006 ($100.00 after and onsite).

PAYMENT INFORMATION

☐ Check: (payable to “AAR Annual Meeting”, memo “Chairs Workshop"
☐ Credit Card (Check one):
☐ Visa ☐ Mastercard ☐ American Express ☐ Discover

Credit Card Number

Expiration Date

CID*

Cardholder Signature

Name on Card (Please Print)

* Card Identification Number (required for Discover cards): 4 digits on front of American Express; 3 digits on back of other cards

For more information, contact Kyle Cole, Director of College Programs, at kcole@aarweb.org, or by phone at 404-727-1489.

The Chairs Workshop is developed and sponsored by the Academic Relations Committee of the American Academy of Religion, chaired by Fred Glennon.

Registrants for the workshop will receive a book on higher education personnel issues, which will be sent prior to the workshop.

Register by Fax: 330-963-0319
Register by surface mail: AAR Chairs Workshop
c/o Conferon
2451 Enterprise PKWY
Toledo, OH 44407
USA
Register online (as part of Annual Meeting registration):
www.aarweb.org/annualmeet2006

Chairs Workshop Panelists

Dan Aleshire
Association of Theological Schools

Betty DeBerg
University of Northern Iowa

Chester Gillis
Georgetown University
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Religious Studies News

Reel Religion

Please see the Annual Meeting Program Book or the Program Highlights page at www.aarweb.org for more information.

Down of the Dead (A17–100)
Friday, 7:00–9:00 PM
Through its witty and pointed criticism of consumerism, materialism, and other ills such as racism, sexism, and violence, George Romero’s Down of the Dead rises above the average horror movie, or Hollywood movies in general, to become a timeless classic of social criticism and theological reflection.

Les Maîtres Fous (A17–101)
Friday, 7:00–9:00 PM
Les Maîtres Fous (“The Masters of Madness”) is a documentary film produced by the prominent French anthropologist and ethnographic filmmaker Jean Rouch on the possessive ritual of the Hauloua movement, which was practiced by Songhay migrants from Niger and Senegal, during the time of French colonialism.

Crash (A18–136)
Saturday, 9:00–11:00 PM
In this film, a number of characters collide over two days in Los Angeles. Hence concludes, a classic of its time, comes on irritated to feel, to care, and to think about race and responsibility in the twentieth century.

Guelwaar (A18–137)
Saturday, 9:00–11:00 PM
The funeral of an outspoken Senegalese political activist and subsequent disappearance of his corpse provides the backdrop for Semba’s incisive feature. This bold film tackles the conflict between Muslims and Christians, dependence on foreign aid, and the elusive nature of independence itself.

Gattaca (A19–129)
Sunday, 8:30–10:30 PM
Gattaca, the 1997 film depicting life in a genetic dystopia in the not-distant future, illustrates why it is important to understand the ways in which genetics lends itself to an alliance with religious ideas and ways of thinking.

Excerpts from Toward a New Christianity: Stories of African Christians in Ghana and Zimbabwe (A19–130)
Sunday, 8:30–10:30 PM
Director James Ault will show excerpts from his documentary that feature a range of churches in Ghana and Zimbabwe, from mission-founded to “old independent” to new Pentecostal churches. Dr. Ault will preside and entertain questions after the screening.

Mooladé (A20–131)
Monday, 8:30–10:30 PM
Director by Africa’s renowned filmmaker Ousmane Sembène, this movie explores the controversial practice of female genital mutilation, highlighting the way protective spiritual forces are invoked in the cause of the conflict.

Hedwig and the Angry Inch (A20–132)
Monday, 8:30–10:30 PM
Hedwig and the Angry Inch is not a film about being the “pigeon” of transgendered people. Instead, Hedwig is represented in all her genderhuddling glory as a little boy, an androgynous young man, a transsexual woman, an overtime drag queen, and lastly as a genderambiguous — but, it is implied — truly androgynous self.

Things to do in Washington, D.C.

WHILE WASHINGTON, D.C., is renowned as our nation’s capital and the home to many monuments to both its founding fathers and war heroes, the city is also a treasure trove of some of the country’s best museums, performing arts, and shopping.

The Smithsonian Institution is a national educational facility with a total of 18 museums, including the American Art Museum, the Air and Space Museum, the American Art Museum, the Anacostia Museum & Center for African-American History & Culture, and the Natural History Museum. Most of these museums are located along the mall between the Capitol and the Washington Monument. The Smithsonian museums are open daily from 10:00 AM–5:30 PM, and best of all, admission is free!

The John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts, located on F Street near the Lincoln Memorial, produces and presents an unmatched variety of theater and musicals, classical and ballet, orchestral, chamber, jazz, popular, and folk music, and multimedia performances for all ages. During the Annual Meeting, the Kennedy Center will be hosting several theatrical and musical performances, including the Washington National Opera’s performance of Madama Butterfly. Please check www.kennedy-center.org for more details.

The University of Maryland’s Post-Classical Ensemble will be holding three special performances at the Clarice Smith Performing Arts Center on Sunday afternoon. The theme is Beyond Florence: Finding Spain in Music. This will include a performance of Isaac Albeniz’s Iberia, a multimedia exploration titled Redefining Spain, and a performance of Manuel de Falla’s Harpagochil Concierto. For more information and tickets, call 301-405-2787 or visit claricesmithcenter.umd.edu/2006. To reach the Clarice Smith Performing Arts Center via the metro, take the Green Line train (toward Greenbelt) and get off at the College Park/University of Maryland station. While most tourists visit Washington, D.C., for the history, shopping is plentiful as well. The Shops at Georgetown Park is a historic site that once housed horse-drawn omnibuses. In the 1960s, it was selected by the White House as the location of the Situation Room and housed equipment for the first hotline to Moscow. Now it is a Victorian style, multiple-level shopping center housing over 100 shops and boutiques. The Shops at National Place is a festive retail complex featuring 60 uncommon shops, boutiques, and eating establishments, located in the heart of downtown. For nearly 100 years, Union Station has been the gateway to the nation’s capital. But every year, 29 million visitors also enjoy shopping, entertainment, and an international variety of food in this Beaux Arts transplantation hub.

National Museum of African Art
950 Independence Ave SW

National Air and Space Museum
6th St & Independence Ave SW

Smithsonian American Art Museum
8th St & G St NW

National Museum of the American Indian
4th St & Independence Ave SW

Anacostia Museum & Center for African-American History & Culture
1901 Fort Pl SE

National Museum of Natural History
10th St & Constitution Ave NW

John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts
2700 F St NW

Clarice Smith Performing Arts Center
University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742

The Shops at Georgetown Park
3222 Mt St NW #110

Shops at National Place & National Press
1351 Pennsylvania Ave NW

Union Station
60 Massachusetts Ave NE

Eating and Drinking in D.C.

**EATING**

A. V. Ristorante Italiano
607 New York Ave NW

Unlike some of those Italian-flavored chains that attempt to recreate yester-year routine steakhouse items. $$$

California Tortilla
728 7th St NW

Cheap, bountiful, and tasty burritos. $$$

Komi
1509 17th St NW

Let your server steer you to their favorites, maybe the very nice chicken-pistachio paré or the poached lamb loin enhanced by black lentils and a tart cherry glaze. The narrow room is free of art on its soft green walls, the better to focus on what’s on your plate. $5

La Tasca
722 7th St NW

Spanish tapas, wine, sangria, and sherry across from the MCI Center. $5

Marvelous Market
1511 Connecticut Ave

A pleasant tin-ceilinged delicatessen with a slightly old-world European feel. Come here for a wide selection of cheeses, cookies, croustades, pastas, sauces, oils, vinegars, and more. $7

**DRINKING**

The Brickskeller Saloon
1523 22nd St NW

More than 1,000 varieties of beer await you at this legendary Dupont bar. No, that’s not a typo.

Clyde’s of Gallery Place
707 7th St NW

A gigantic new restaurant that invites an opulent Gilded Age hotel, this Clyde’s contains three bars over two floors, each with its own personality.

Shelly’s Back Room
1331 F St NW

This cozy cigar lounge and restaurant is popular with young professionals.

**PRICE GUIDE:**

$ = up to $10

$$ = $11–20

$$$ = $21–30

$$$$ = $31 and over
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Five Groups Become AAR Related Scholarly Organizations, Including the Center for Advanced Holocaust Studies

At the AAR board’s spring 2006 meeting, five new organizations were approved to become AAR Related Scholarly Organizations: the African Association for the Study of Religion, the Center for Advanced Holocaust Studies, the European Society of Women in Theological Research, the Polanyi Society, and the Society for Buddhist–Christian Studies. This edition of RSN highlights the Center for Advanced Holocaust Studies. Future editions will feature other Related Scholarly Organizations.

Related Scholarly Organizations are independent, academic, nonprofit organizations with a national or international constituency whose missions are similar to the AAR’s. More information on Related Scholarly Organizations and the process for an organization applying to become one is available at www.aarweb.org/relatedpolicy.asp.

The Center for Advanced Holocaust Studies is a part of the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum. Among its programs and services are public programs, fellowships, and seminars and workshops for faculty. Its staff director for church relations deals specifically with religious history and related topics. The center has sponsored a number of public programs, symposia, and workshops on a range of historical and ethical topics such as the Holocaust’s impact on interfaith relations, its legacy for the involvement of the Jewish community in the U.S. civil rights movement, the interfaith story behind Nostra Aetate, the response of churches in eastern Europe, and the way in which the Holocaust has shaped ethical discourses on forgiveness and guilt.

Torah scrolls desecrated during the Kristallnacht pogrom are displayed on the fourth floor of the permanent exhibition at the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum. The center also awards fellowships. Fellows participate in the center’s array of scholarly programs and outreach activities at academic institutions, both locally and nationally.

Fellowships are for candidates working on their dissertations (ABD), postdoctoral researchers, and senior scholars. Awards are for three to nine months of residency, with stipends ranging up to $3,000 per month. Several seminars and workshops are offered, including an annual seminar for professors of religion and seminary faculty. Information about other seminars and workshops is available at www.ushmm.org/research/center/mentor.

Fellows and researchers have access to the museum archives, which hold more than 35 million pages of material, including personal papers, memoirs, and testimonies of Holocaust survivors, victims, liberators, historians, artists, and International Military Tribunal staff; video and audio oral histories; and photographs and music. The archives include microform reproductions of materials held by state and private archival institutions in virtually every European country and also materials from many other countries. The archives have 60,000 microfilmed pages from the documentation released by the Vatican archives in February 2003, as well as thousands of pages of archival material from Europe pertaining to the history of the Protestant and Catholic churches. In addition, there is material on anti-Jewish policy in Nazi Germany and Nazi-occupied or Nazi-allied Europe, and on ghettos, concentration camps, mass executions, deportations, refugees, resistance activities, war crimes trials, and restitution. The catalogue is online and can be searched at www.ushmm.org/research/collections.

AAR member Victoria Barnett is the center’s director of church relations. For AAR Annual Meeting attendees who have already preregistered for the tour of the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum, she will offer a brief introduction to the center and to the museum exhibits. Although that tour is now fully subscribed, anyone is welcome to tour the museum. The permanent exhibit is open daily, from 10:00 AM to 5:30 PM. Because admission requires a timed pass, which are limited in number, it’s recommended you reserve a pass in advance. When visiting the exhibit, allow at least two hours. Also open to the public are the museum’s library (10:00 AM–5:00 PM daily) and archives (10:00 AM–5:00 PM weekdays). For more information, go to www.ushmm.org.

11 Wildcard Sessions This Year

Wildcard Sessions are one-time sessions proposed by individual AAR members on topics outside of the Annual Meeting program unit structure. This year, the AAR is pleased to add 11 wildcard sessions to our program.

A Korean Shamanic Ritual for Healing the Comfort Women (A19–51)

Publishing with a Denominational (Church-Owned) Press: Possibilities and Realities (A19–52)

Three Western Perspectives on the Re-valuation of Sacred Space: Wyoming, Kansas, and Colorado (A19–53)

Religion and Abuse: Proclamation, Disclosure, and “Hearing to Speech” (A19–76)

Educational Strategies to Develop Clergy Leadership of Congregations for Justice Engagement in the Public Square (A19–77)

Critical Reflections on Cornel West’s Democracy Matters (A19–42)

Epistemic Violence in the Study of Religion (A19–79)

Pedagogy and Theology: Crossing the Multifaith Divide toward Access and Inclusion (A19–80)


The Role of Secular Viewpoints in Scriptural Studies: Past, Present, and Future (A19–105)

Radical Life Extension: What Religions Have to Say (A20–4)

AAR Announces Call for Wildcard Sessions

Do you have a great idea for a session at the Annual Meeting that is experimental, on a topic that doesn’t fit into an existing program unit? Consider submitting a call for a wildcard session!

Wildcard sessions are independently initiated by members to allow for new conversations, or for conversations that fall between the established program units.

Conveners are invited to submit wildcard calls for papers for the 2007 Annual Meeting in San Diego. Calls will be reviewed by the Program Committee in December; approved calls will be published in the January issue of Religious Studies News. Conveners will be notified in mid-December of the Program Committee’s decision.

Conveners are responsible for receiving submissions to the call and developing a wildcard session proposal.Wildcard session proposals are submitted during the AAR Call for Papers program in January and February and are evaluated by the Program Committee for inclusion on the program. Approval of the call for papers for a wildcard session does not guarantee the session’s acceptance to the program.

To submit a call, please send a brief 100 word or less description of the session topic in a Word attachment to annualmeeting@aarweb.org. Please list the contact information for people to send their proposals.
New Program Units

Exciting sessions are on offer at the Annual Meeting by the following new program units.

Buddhist Critical–Constructive Reflection Group
Buddhist Critical–Constructive Reflection: Theoretical Concerns and Practical Applications (A18–62)
Saturday, 1:00–3:30 PM
Buddhist Philosophy Group
Re-Thinking Reason, Re-Viewing Buddhist View (A19–20)
Saturday, 9:00–11:30 AM
New Approaches to Candrakirti (A19–60)
Sunday, 1:00–2:30 PM
Buddhist Philosophy as a Comparative Enterprise: A Solution to Philosophy’s Problem of Truth (A20–57)
Sunday, 3:00–5:00 PM
Co-sponsored with the Comparative Studies in Hinduisms and Judaism Group
Comparative Religious Ethics Group
Authority, Justice, and Compassion in Comparative Perspective (A18–63)
Saturday, 1:00–3:30 PM
The State of Comparative Enterprise in the Study of Religions (A18–105)
Saturday, 4:00–6:30 PM
Co-sponsored with the Comparative Studies in Religion Section and the Comparative Theology Group
Assessing Recent Works in Comparative Ethics (A19–115)
Sunday, 9:00–6:30 PM
Comparative Theology Group
The State of Comparative Enterprise in the Study of Religions (A18–105)
Saturday, 4:00–6:30 PM
Co-sponsored with the Comparative Studies in Religion Section and the Comparative Religious Ethics Group
The Body, Its Meanings, and New Light on the Problems and Possibilities of Comparative Theology (A19–22)
Saturday, 9:00–11:30 AM
The Comparative Religious Ideas Project: A Critical Retrospective Five Years Later (A20–116)
Monday, 4:00–6:30 PM
Ecclesiastical Investigations Group
Saturday, 1:00–3:30 PM
Monday, 9:00–11:30 AM
Practical Theology Group
Sunday, 9:00–11:30 AM
Political Aspects of Practical Theology (A20–74)
Monday, 1:00–3:30 PM
Signifying (on) Scriptures Group
Scriptures and Race, Roundtable Discussion 1 (A18–71)
Sunday, 1:00–3:30 PM
Scriptures and Race, Roundtable Discussion 2 (A18–123)
Saturday, 4:00–6:30 PM
World Christianity Group
A Vision for Coptic Studies: “Coptic Christianity” from Late Antique Egypt to the “Coptic Diaspora” (A19–72)
Sunday, 1:00–2:30 PM
Co-sponsored with the Coptic Christianity Consultation
World Christianity (A19–98)
Sunday, 3:00–4:30 PM
World Christianity in Local Contexts (A20–78)
Monday, 1:00–3:30 PM
African Christianity (A20–112)
Monday, 4:00–6:30 PM
Co-sponsored with the African Religious Group
Religions in Chinese and Indian Cultures: A Comparative Perspective Seminar
The Buddhist Transformation of Chinese Conceptions of Freedom and Salvation (A18–74)
Saturday, 1:00–3:30 PM
Biblical/Contextual Ethics Consultation
Scriptural/Ethical Reflections on the Use of Political Power (A18–29)
Monday, 4:00–6:30 PM
Resident Aliens and the Ethics of Immigration: Biblical Ethics and Scriptural Reasoning (A20–124)
Monday, 4:00–6:30 PM
Co-sponsored with the Scriptural Reasoning Group
Christianity & Academia Consultation
Issues and Controversies in Catholic Higher Education (A19–70)
Sunday, 1:00–2:30 PM
Co-sponsored with the Roman Catholic Studies Group
Christian Higher Education: History, Theology, and Practice (A20–29)
Monday, 9:00–11:30 AM
Contemporary Islam Consultation
Islamist Discourses and Issues (A18–30)
Saturday, 9:00–11:30 AM
From Cyber to the Grave: Making and Marking Muslim Space (A20–10)
Monday, 9:00–11:30 AM
Co-sponsored with the Study of Islam Section

Coptic Christianity Consultation
Coptic Monasticism through the Ages (A18–76)
Saturday, 1:00–3:30 PM
A Vision for Coptic Studies: “Coptic Christianity” from Late Antique Egypt to the “Coptic Diaspora” (A19–72)
Sunday, 1:00–2:30 PM
Co-sponsored with the World Christianity Group
Religion and Colonialism Consultation
Colonialisms of Modernization (A18–129)
Saturday, 4:00–6:30 PM
Religion in Europe Consultation
The Christian and Muslim Crossroads of European Identity: Historical and Contemporary Perspectives (A18–130)
Saturday, 4:00–6:30 PM
Remembering European Conflicts Past: Interdisciplinary Reflections on Memory and the Challenge of Reconciliation (A20–25)
Monday, 9:00–11:30 AM
Co-sponsored by the Reformed Theology and History Group
Religion, Public Policy, and Political Change Consultation
The Politics of Religion and Public Policy (A18–78)
Saturday, 1:00–3:30 PM
Assets and the Poor: An Interreligious and Interdisciplinary Dialogue (A20–121)
Monday, 4:00–6:30 PM
Co-sponsored by the Religion, Politics, and the State Group
Rethinking the Field Consultation
Part I: The Future of “Religion and Ecology” and “Ecotheology”; Part II: The Role of Liberal Theology in the Discipline (A18–79)
Saturday, 1:00–3:30 PM
Theology of Martin Luther King Jr. Consultation
Building Coalitions Then and Now: African-American Thought and Twentieth-Century Liberal Theologies (A19–73)
Sunday, 1:00–2:30 PM
Co-sponsored by the Liberal Theologies Consultation
Fifty Years Later: The Legacy of Martin Luther King Jr. and the Montgomery Bus Boycott (A19–125)
Sunday, 5:00–6:30 PM
Yoga in Theory and Practice Consultation
Construction and Transcendence in Modern Yoga (A18–131)
Saturday, 4:00–6:30 PM
Embody, Empowerment, and Commodification in Contemporary Yoga and Tantra (A19–93)
Sunday, 3:00–4:30 PM
Co-sponsored by the New Religious Movements Group

Future AAR Annual Meeting Dates and Sites

2007
November 17–20
San Diego, CA

2008
October 25–28
Chicago, IL

2009
November 7–10
Montreal, QC

2010
October 30–November 2
Atlanta, GA

2011
November 18–21
San Francisco, CA

Please renew your membership now, and consider making an additional contribution to the AAR’s Academy Fund. Membership dues cover less than 30 percent of programs and services. Renew online at www.aarweb.org/renewal. Or contact us at TEL: 404-727-3049
E-MAIL: membership@aarweb.org.
Please see the membership page, www.aarweb.org/membership.
An Interview with Jack Fitzmier, Executive Director

RSN: You have served as a dean, a vice president for academic affairs, and a professor. How is the transition to executive president for academic affairs, and a position as the director of the AAR coming along?

Fitzmier: The transition is coming along quite well. My new colleagues at the AAR's executive office have welcomed me warmly and have been patient with my unwieldy list of questions. Barbara DeConcini, in particular, has been a superb guide in these early weeks, helping me navigate new administrative waters and work hard to provide me with a thorough orientation to the job. In some respects, this new job seems quite different from being immersed in the life of a school. Whereas I used to be up-close and hands-on, I now stand at a bit of a remove from the front lines of education. But in other, more profound ways, much seems the same. Over the years I have been privileged to work closely with faculty colleagues in several settings, and that privilege continues. I am committed to bringing institutional resources to bear on teaching, learning, and research, and my new position not only allows for this, but requires it.

RSN: How was it that you came to seek the position at AAR? What attracted you to the position?

Fitzmier: The consideration of this opportunity was something of a push-pull affair. At some points during the search process, I thought the position, but at other points, it seemed to seek me. A number of close friends urged me to throw my hat into the ring, thinking, I suppose, that my background in administration, theological education, and religious studies would make for a good fit. I was not sure of the fit at first; indeed, it took me some time to imagine myself in the post. When I finally did, it was on the basis of problems and challenges that I found intriguing. As it turns out, in retrospect I think I have tended to steer my professional life from one problem set to another. And the Academy has some nice ones to work on — the challenge of mutuality and partnership with our related organizations alongside our need to develop our own identity; the need to foster an appreciation for the study of religion in an increasingly violent world; and the task of identifying and implementing vital programs for our members. Work on these sorts of things really excites me.

RSN: And tell us about your educational experiences. You have degrees from Pitt, Gordon-Conwell Seminary, and Princeton University.

Fitzmier: My education, not unlike my vocation, has seemed to develop with a mind of its own. For family reasons I needed to stay close to home during college, so Pitt seemed an obvious choice. I was a math major and a religion minor, but truth be told, I was largely asleep academically. Five years out of college, while doing youth ministry, things began to change. In those days my theological implications were evangelical, so Gordon-Conwell was a good fit. And as I woke up, as it were, I felt the need for more education. The Religion Department at Princeton met the need and more. Research, writing, and critical, disciplined reflection on American religious history took on huge significance for me. And it drove me to where I thought I was heading in the first place — teaching.

RSN: Have your experiences at Vanderbilt and Claremont shaped you for this position at AAR? How so?

Fitzmier: My work at Vanderbilt and Claremont has shaped me powerfully, and probably in ways I don't even recognize. Both schools have outstanding, well-deserved academic reputations, and in both places I found myself surrounded by first-rate scholars and teachers. Neither Vanderbilt nor Claremont has been hurt by wars between theological education and religious studies outlooks. In both places theological types and religious studies types have not only coexisted, but have come to think of themselves as truly interdependent. Both schools have worked hard, and have had success, in enriching themselves with religious and ethnic diversity within the student body and the faculty. And both places presented me with wonderful environments in which to lean administration: Vanderbilt, with a powerful central administration, and Claremont, with a more porous structure that required innovation. So I bring to this new work a number of commitments that were forged in the places I have taught and provided leadership.

RSN: The AAR is in transition, not only with your arrival, but also with the upcoming meetings and centennial celebrations. What are some of your immediate plans and some of your long-term goals?

Fitzmier: I learned long ago to beware coming into an organization with a fixed agenda! My style has been to learn my way into an environment and to listen and watch for needs, opportunities, and problems. So in the near future I hope to have the luxury of working with the board and the staff in a way that will allow me to better understand how the Academy works, what promise it holds, and what resources we have available to us. That process has begun: I have invited the staff to “take Jack to school” in a series of one-on-one and small group meetings. My work with the board will formally begin in September at the Executive Committee meeting and will continue at the board meeting we will hold at the Annual Meeting in Washington. I have yet to cast longer-term projects and goals with the staff or the board, but I am already working with some pretty firm commitments. First, notwithstanding the Academy's decision to hold independent Annual Meetings, we will continue to partner in constructive ways with the Society of Biblical Literature and other related scholarly organizations in the larger field of religion. Second, we will find ways to both celebrate and raise funds on the occasion of the Academy's centennial in 2009. And finally, as the Academy grows (and it is growing!) we will provide an increasing number of resources and opportunities for the advancement of scholarship, for the professional development of our members, and, in the broader culture, for an appreciation of the importance of religion in the twenty-first century.

The Committee on Teaching and Learning seeks nominations for the AAR Award for Excellence in Teaching.

Nominations of winners of campus awards, or any other awards, are encouraged.

Procedures for the nomination process are outlined on the AAR Web site at www.aarweb.org/awards/teaching.asp.

I am committed to bringing institutional resources to bear on teaching, learning, and research, and my new position not only allows for this, but requires it.
Marking the Time, Shaping the Future

Davina C. Lopez, Eckerd College

Davina C. Lopez is AAR Student Director through 2007. She received a PhD in New Testament Studies from Union Theological Seminary in New York City in May 2006, and currently serves as Assistant Professor of Religious Studies at Eckerd College in St. Petersburg, Florida.

THIS IS INDEED a time to be marked. 2006 is the decennial year for the American Academy of Religion’s Student Liaison Group. For ten years, there has been a growing institutional presence and space for attention to issues and programming specific to students — who not only comprise about a third of the AAR’s membership but are also the future teachers, scholars, community organizers and leaders, and public spokespersons on matters related to religion. As I reflect on a felicitous entrance into the second decade of sustained consideration of student affairs, the idea and practice of formation strikes me as central to our work together.

Broadly conceived, formation is more than assimilation into the status quo. It is the process, over the long haul, of critically creating and re-creating ourselves in relation to a larger whole, all the while engaging questions of who we need/want to be and what kind of world we envision. Over the past ten years, we have seen signs of this kind of formation — not only in the “formation” of new groups and initiatives to address immediate student needs, but also in the raising of consciousness about how students are shaped by and shaping the future of the fields in which they become senior cultivators.

How is this present, 1996’s “future,” shaping up for student leaders? I submit it is a bountiful season! During this milestone year, several projects germinated in the service of enhancing the formationative period that is studenthood. Several of these initiatives are beginning to bear fruit. Most significantly, the ad hoc group known as the Graduate Student Task Force, which was assembled in 2004 and has assumed primary responsibility for duties related to student-centered professional development, became the Graduate Student Committee (GSC) by decision of the AAR’s Board of Director this past spring. More than simply a name change, what this means in practical terms is that organizational work on behalf of student formation has moved from “ad hoc” to “standing” committee status in relation to the AAR’s governing body, making the possibility of more expansive and solid-long term planning for students a reality.

One such project the GSC is currently exploring is the organization of a published guide tailored to the needs of graduate students in religion and theology. Additionally, revisions of the student portion of the AAR’s Web site are well underway, and we are at work on the creation of a printed brochure for students. Speaking of long-term planning, another major decision directly affecting students is the lengthening of the time limitation on AAR student membership from seven to ten years. This extension signifies a recognition of two concrete realities in the lives of students today: First, due at least in part to a distinct erosion of viable funding for graduate studies, students are taking on more financial burden for their education and, by having to work multiple jobs and face increasing amounts of debt, are often taking longer to finish their degrees. Second, students are choosing to join the largest organization serving scholars and teachers of religion and theology earlier in their careers, many at the master’s level and some while undergraduates. A ten-year student membership period allows for a more accurate reflection of these circumstances.

At the 2006 Annual Meeting, the GSC will again offer a roster of student-focused programming of various formats that should not be missed. In addition to yearly events and options such as the Student Lounge, the Student Liaison Group Business Meeting, and the Student Members’ Reception, the ten-year anniversary of the Student Liaison Group will be celebrated with a lively discussion on the past decade and the shape of future student endeavors. This time will offer an ample opportunity for reflection and conversation, followed by a reception honoring the achievements of this last decade and anticipating all that is to come.

Several Annual Meeting sessions will be devoted to topics of special interest to students in various stages of their careers. The “Beyond the Scenes” series continues with an in-depth look at the on-campus interview. A panel of seasoned interviewers and interviewees will provide candid information on all aspects of the job-search process at this most sensitive stage, including tips for what works ... and what doesn’t. Bring any and all questions and concerns you have to what is sure to be an invaluable gathering. There will also be a Special Topics Forum dedicated to learning and improving upon pedagogical techniques for the effective use of technology in the classroom. “Relating to the Field,” a new program unit dedicated to fostering informal conversation among senior and junior scholars concerning various subfields in the disciplines of religion and theology, will offer occasion for stimulating discussions of liberal theology as well as religion and ecology.

Two luncheons especially for students will again enhance the Annual Meeting program. The ATLA Career Alternatives Luncheon for Doctoral Students in Religion and Theology will expose participants to exciting opportunities for using skills and expertise developed for that PhD beyond the confines of the traditional academic classroom. The Wabash Student–Teacher Luncheon, sponsored by the Wabash Center for Teaching and Learning in Theology and Religion, will provide yet another setting for informal mentoring among scholars at different points along the career spectrum. Students are invited to consult the Annual Meeting program listing for a complete overview and details of these and other student-related sessions.

At the threshold of this second decade, I am grateful for the paths already forged during the past ten years. Such innovations have made possible the present ongoing work of intentional formation by and with students, such as that conducted by the Graduate Student Committee. Special appreciation is due to its members: Rich Amesbury, Brad Herfing, Melissa Johnston-Barrett, Maurice Lee, and Chair Kimberly Breder, as well as AAR Staff Liaison Myesha D. Jenkins, The Student Liaison Group, composed of members from PhD-granting institutions, is also instrumental in keeping our “ears to the ground” for student concerns, feedback, initiatives, and innovations. I hope you will join us in marking this time by celebrating what has been achieved. I also hope you will find ways to contribute to envisioning and shaping an even brighter future for student participation in the Academy — which constitutes, in a wider view, a very bright future for the academy as a whole.
I n 2000, IN THE COURSE of cele-
brations for the 200th anniversary of
the Library of Congress, Jaroslav
Pelikan received an honor unusual for
a professor of historical theology. In recog-
nition of his unique contributions to
American life, Jary — as he liked to be
called — was officially named a “Living
Legend,” along with such notables as
General Colin Powell, publisher Katherine
Graham, violinist Isaac Stern, and — as
he noted with a twinkle in his eye —
Barbara Streisand, Gloria Steinem, and
Big Bird. That “Living Legend” is with us
no longer. On May 13, 2006, after a long
bout with cancer, Jary passed away at his
home in Hamden, Connecticut, at the age
of 82. His funeral services were held in
the chapel of St. Vladimir’s Orthodox
Theological Seminary, where he and his
wife had regularly worshiped since his
reception into the Orthodox Church in
1998.

Jary traced many of his academic and reli-
gious interests to his Slovak background.
His grandfather, Jan Pelikan, was born in
Slovakia — that remarkable meeting-place
of cultures and religious traditions — and
after emigrating to the US in 1918, he became
one of the founding fathers of the Slovak
Synod of Lutherans. Jary’s father, also a
Slovak Lutheran pastor, once told him “he
combined German Lutheran scholarship
and Slavic Orthodoxy — and fortunately
not the vice-versa.” One result of this
happy coincidence of qualities was
Jary’s remarkable scholarly career. The list
of his publications goes on for over 40
pages in the Festschrift marking his 80th
birthday (Orthodoxy and Western Culture,
ed. Valerie Hochkiss and Paul Henry, SVS
Press 2005, pp. 185–228). But inter-
 woven with his scholarship, and virtually
 inseparable from it, was a Christian faith
as simple and endearingly childlike as it
was profound. This is what Jary had to say
in a brief autobiographical essay written
just a few years before his death: “I was
quite out of step with many in my genera-
tion, especially among theological scholars
at universities, in never having had funda-
mental doubts about the essential right-
ness of the Christian faith. I have
retained a continuing, if often quite unso-
phisticated, Slavic piety.”

Jary received his MDiv and PhD degrees
—and also married his beloved wife Sylvia
—in 1946, at the ripe old age of 22. He
went on to teach at Valparaiso University
(1946–49), Concordia Theological
Seminary (1949–53), the University of
Chicago (1953–70), and Yale University
(1970–96), where he also served as Dean of
the Graduate School of Arts and Sciences
(1973–1978) and Chairman of the
Publications Committee of Yale University
Press. Jary retired from his responsibilities
at Yale in 1996, his title changing from
Sterling Professor of History to Sterling
Professor of History Emeritus, but this did
not mean an end to academic appoint-
ments. He went on to hold a succession of
choirs at Boston College, the University of
Pennsylvania’s Annenberg School for
Communication, the Library of Congress,
where he inaugurated the John W. Kluge
Chair for Countries and Societies North,
and the Annenberg Foundation Trust at
Sunnyside.

By his own admission, Jary was, first of all, a historian. In one of
his typically pungent one-liners, he put it this way: ‘Everybody
else is an expert on the present. I wish to file a minority report
on behalf of the past.’

Learned societies and academies, libraries, and colleges and universities from around
the world honored Jary with a multitude of
lectureships, awards, medals, and cita-
tions, including some 42 honorary doctor-
at. In 1983 he received the Jefferson
Award of the National Endowment for
the Humanities, the highest recognition
conferred by the federal government on a
scholar in the humanities, and in 2004 he
received the Library of Congress’s John W.
Kluge Prize in the Human Sciences, which
he shared with French philosopher Paul
 Ricoeur. He also served on the President’s
Committee on the Arts and the
Humanities, the Library of Congress’s
Council of Scholars, the American
Academy of Arts and Sciences (president
1994–97), and the American Academy of
Political and Social Science (president
2000–01, and chairman of the board
2003–04).

Jary’s interests and areas of expertise were
as wide-ranging as the honors he received.
They covered everything from philosophy,
literature, political and legal theory, the
visual arts and music, to education, the
natural sciences, and even politics. The
titles of a few of his books give some hint of
this Hebrew) and at his memory (for example, he enjoyed reciting large chunks of
Goethe by heart). But he also believed in
putting his talents to proper use. “To
the glory of God, and in service to my neigh-
bor,” might have been his motto, just as it
was Bach’s. In the autobiographical essay
mentioned earlier, Jary remarked on the
influence that his mother had on the for-
mation of his character. She had an “iron
sense of duty” and a “loving determina-
tion” that her son not “get by on brains
and glibness.” Jary had brains and glib-
ness, but he also had remarkable self-disci-
pline and a strong sense of responsibility.
This took many forms. For example, he
would get up to listen to the short-wave
radio at odd hours of the night in order to
keep up his skills in foreign languages.
By his own admission, Jary was, first of all,
a historian. In one of his typically pungent one-liners, he put it this way:
‘Everybody else is an expert on the pre-
sent. I wish to file a minority report on
behalf of the past.’

Bach among the Theologians (1986), Imago
Dei: The Byzantine Apologia for Icons
(1990), Eternal Feminism, Three Theological
 Allegories in Dante’s ‘Paradiso’ (1990), The
Idea of the University: A Renunciation (1992), Faith the Theologian (1993),
Interpreting the Bible and the Constitution
(2004). The list goes on and on, and
whether lecturing on Aristotle’s Rhetoric
or just discussing the flora and fauna of
the parklands near his home in
Connecticut, Jary brought the same match-
less style and wit — and also the same
remarkable erudition. “All that readers of his
books have come to expect and relish.

Jary certainly was a person of many tal-
ents. His students were always amazed at
his command of languages (he read freely
in English, Slovak, Serbian, Czech, Russian, German, Greek, Latin, and

that great figures of the past — whether
church fathers like John Chrysostom and
Augustine or modern giants like
Kierkegaard and Newman — have some-
thing to say to us today. This conviction is especially evident in
his magnum opus, the five-volume Christian
Tradition: A History of the Development
of Doctrine (1971–89), which was the first
— and to date still the only — major his-
day of Christian doctrine to take seriously
the Orthodox Christian East. In a 1997
lecture on ‘The Predicament of the
Christian Historian’ (available online at
www.st Vladimir.org/publications/pelikan.htm), Jary touched on some of the challenges
that he faced while writing that monu-
mental work. He noted that, on the walls
of his study, there are only two conventi-
onal portraits. One is of Father Georges
Florovsky, preeminent Orthodox historical
theologian of the twentieth century,
whom he described as ‘the last of my
mentors and the one to whom I owe the
most.' The other is of Adolf von Harnack,
‘who, as the author of the greatest history
of Christian doctrine ever written ... has
been my lifelong role-model.’ To
Harnack, Jary owed the challenge of writ-
ing a scholarly Dogmengeschichte, but he
eschewed Harnack’s reductionism, his
attempt to identify an ‘essence of
Christianity’ apart from its particular
embodiments. Instead, like Florovsky, he
sought to identify and trace a living tradi-
tion that mediates between past and pres-
ent. As the title of one of his books sug-
gests, he sought The Vindicatio
(Theodicy) (1984). Jary was quick to
acknowledge his debt to both Harnack and
Florovsky. If you entered his study, he
almost inevitably would point out their
portraits. But almost as inevitably he
would point out their shortcomings. “Harnack
showed me what it was to be a scholar.
Florovsky showed me what it was to be a
scholar and a Christian at the same time.”

In addition to recalling his debt to Father
Florovsky, Jary often spoke of his friend-
ship with two of Florovsky’s successors as
deans of St. Vladimir’s Seminary, Father
Alexander Schmemann and Father John
Meyendorff. Over the years Jary visited
the seminary on many occasions, and in
1975 he invited it to give the com-
mencement address. In introducing him,
Father Schmemann noted, “The hardest
thing for me to say about Professor
Pelikan is why he is not Orthodox.” This
was to change. Given Jary’s “continuing, if
often quite un sophisticated, Slavic piety”
and his appreciation for Eastern Orthodox
theology, his entrance into the Orthodox
Church in 1998 came as no surprise to his
friends. They only wondered why it had
taken so long. In a conversation shortly
thereafter, Jary likened his path to
Orthodoxy to that of a pilot who kept cir-
ing the airport, looking for a way to
land. We Orthodox Christians are thankful-
ly that he landed before running out of
fuel.
CHARLES RADIN of the Boston Globe, Jean Gordon of the Clarion-Ledger in Jackson, Mississippi, and Naomi Schaefer Riley of the Wall Street Journal have won the 2006 American Academy of Religion Awards for Best In-Depth Reporting on Religion.

Radin won the contest for journalists writing on the Web or at news outlets with more than 100,000 circulation; Gordon, for journalists at news outlets with less than 100,000 circulation; and Riley, for opinion writing. The awards recognize well-written and researched newswriting that enhances the public understanding of religion.

Radin submitted stories on Christian and Jewish debates over gay clergy, changes in the celebration of Hanukkah, and a three-part series on moderate Muslims in countries ranging from Indonesia and Malaysia to Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, and Egypt. “The writer takes his readers inside mosques, coffee houses and homes from Egypt to Southeast Asia. These Muslims voice no passion to support global jihad, to follow the Qur’an literally or veil and cloister their women, something that is overlooked in some stories on Muslims outside the United States,” said one judge, while another called the series “a remarkable piece of work.”

Gordon submitted stories on adult bar and bar mitzvah classes, racial diversity in Mormonism, the growth of Christian Orthodox churches in the South, the shortage of Catholic priests in the United States and its effect on local communities, and the role religious beliefs play in the economy, which included an in-depth look at economists who pursue the economic study of religion. While covering “a diversity of topics, the writer strikes a good balance between popular writing and use of statistics and scholarship,” commented one judge.

Riley submitted opinion articles discussing religious identity at a prominent university, 350 years of Jewish life in America, Christian schools and accreditation difficulties, faith-based groups’ support of immigration reform, and Conservative Judaism and a campaign to convert the non-Jewish spouses of Jews. According to one judge, “The writer has an elegant style that has used history, facts, and . . . scholarship to support the editorial’s argument. The editorials show original reporting and are well designed.”

Robert Sibley of the Ottawa Citizen placed second in the contest for news outlets with more than 100,000 circulation. “In a beautifully written, heartfelt series the writer transports readers along a 700-mile pilgrimage . . . deep into the heart of Japan’s Buddhist faith, symbolism and cultural traditions, a world that few North American newspapers present,” one judge noted. “The reader can’t wait to read the next page, thanks to superb storytelling.”

Brett Buckner of the Anniston Star in Anniston, Alabama, won second place in the contest for news outlets with less than 100,000 circulation. Said one judge, “A very informative set of articles with a strong emphasis on history and scholarly interpretation of religious topics and strong reporting as well. The writer has done the homework.”

Tracey O’Shaughnessy of the Republican-American in Waterbury, Connecticut, who placed second in last year’s opinion-writing contest, placed second again in the category this year. “This series of articles stands out for its popular style in opinion writing and the writer’s familiarity with theological and historical topics,” said a judge, noting that O’Shaughnessy’s articles are “enjoyable to read.”

John Blake of the Atlanta Journal-Constitution placed third in the contest for news outlets with more than 100,000 circulation. One judge commented, “This reporter used a lot of journalistic tools to cover the beat — and used them well.”

Another judge admired Blake’s “energetic research.”

Toni Ro Ryan of the Waco Tribune-Herald placed third in the contest for news outlets with less than 100,000 circulation. “This writer has given us five fascinating cameos, usually focusing on a particular scholar’s work in ways that tell a story and explain an interesting aspect of history,” remarked one judge.

Douglas Todd of the Vancouver Sun placed third in this year’s opinion-writing contest. Todd also placed third in the same contest last year. One judge said of his work, “The writer takes us on an extensive journey into world religions and multiculturalism, and the local applications and first person accounts add to the reporting, which is well written and researched.”

Each contestant submitted five articles published in North America during 2005. Names of contestants and their news outlets were removed from submissions prior to judging. Each of the first-place winners receives $1,000.

The judges for the contest for news outlets with over 100,000 circulation were Paul Moses, a professor of journalism at Brooklyn College and a former Pulitzer Prize-winning journalist for Newsday, and Patricia Rice, a freelance journalist and former religion reporter for the St. Louis Post-Dispatch. The judges for both the contest for news outlets with less than 100,000 circulation and for opinion-writing were Gayle White, a reporter for the Atlanta Journal-Constitution, and Larry Witham, an author and former religion reporter for the Washington Times. Shaun Casey, assistant professor of Christian ethics at Wesley Theological Seminary in Washington, D.C., served as one of the judges for all three contests. Casey is a member of the AAR’s Committee for the Public Understanding of Religion.

Patricia O’Connell Killen, former chair of the Department of Religion and current acting provost at Pacific Lutheran University, will receive the Excellence in Teaching Award at the 2006 Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Religion. A scholar of American religious history, she teaches courses on “American Church History,” “American Catholicism,” “Religion among American Minorities,” and “Religion in the Pacific Northwest,” among others.

At this year’s Annual Meeting, participants will again have the opportunity to engage in conversation with the Excellence in Teaching Award winner during a special session, scheduled for 5 p.m. Sunday, November 19. The session is sponsored by the Committee on Teaching and Learning and will be chaired by Eugene V. Gallagher. Prior to the Annual Meeting, Killen will post some of her teaching materials on the Web site of the AAR’s Virtual Teaching and Learning Center (www.aarweb.org/teaching) and they will serve as the basis for the session.

Students have expressed their appreciation for Killen’s “endless patience, gentle honesty, and sincere respect for students.” They note that her combination of “incredible knowledge in her specific area of scholarship” and her impressive ability to “convey and explain complex ideas and histories.” One remarked that “close to two years after I graduated, I still daily draw on Dr. Killen’s knowledge and wisdom.”

Colleagues observe that Killen “has achieved excellence in all the levels that teaching includes, even demands: teaching in the classroom, mentoring individual students, mentoring her faculty as a department chair, and mentoring young faculty in workshops.” They attest to her mastery of the “nuts and bolts” of teaching, from designing individual assignments to making a course a coherent intellectual experience, and they also express admiration for the depth and sensitivity of her understanding of how the nuances of context shape the experiences of learning for both students and teachers.

In addition to her own scholarly work, Killen has published several essays on teaching, including “Making Thinking Real Enough to Make it Better” and “Graceful Play, Discipline, Learning, and the Common Good” (both in Teaching Theology and Religion), and “Encountering Religious Commitments in the Classroom” (in Washington Center News). She frequently makes presentations and leads workshops on teaching, including a Special Topics Forum on “Design of Intellectual Experience” for the 2005 AAR and SBL Annual Meetings and several Washab Center workshops. She currently serves as co-editor of Teaching Theology and Religion.

Killen is a wonderful example of dedication to the craft of teaching, especially for her intense commitment to making teaching an object of intellectual investigation and for cultivating in students and colleagues alike a passion for discovery.

Patricia O’Connell Killen, Pacific Lutheran University, Winner of 2006 Excellence in Teaching Award
AAR Honors Four Authors in its Annual Book Awards

THE AMERICAN ACADEMY of Religion offers Awards for Excellence in order to recognize new scholarly publications that make significant contributions to the study of religion. These awards honor works of distinctive originality, intelligence, creativity, and importance — books that have a decisive effect on how religion is examined, understood, and interpreted. Awards for Excellence are given in three categories: Analytical–Descriptive, Constructive–Reflective, and Historical Studies. Not all awards are given every year. In addition, there is a separate competition and prize for the Best First Book in the History of Religions. For eligibility requirements, awards processes, and a list of current jurors, please see the Book Awards rules on the AAR Web page, www.aarweb.org/awards/bookrules.asp.

The AAR is pleased to announce this year’s recipients of the Awards for Excellence in Religion and the Best First Book in the History of Religions:

Analytical–Descriptive


Best First Book in the History of Religions


Constructive–Reflective


Historical

Andrew M. Greeley — sociologist, priest, novelist, and commentator — will be honored at the Annual Meeting as the recipient of the 2006 Martin E. Marty Award for the Public Understanding of Religion.

As winner of the award, Greeley will be featured at the Marty Forum, from 1:00 to 2:30 p.m., November 19, where he will be interviewed about his work by Robert A. Orsi, professor of the history of religion in America, Harvard University. The Committee on the Public Understanding of Religion chose Greeley from nominations submitted earlier this year. Awarded annually since 1996, the Marty Award recognizes outstanding contributions to the public understanding of religion. The award goes to those whose work has a relevance and eloquence that speaks not just to scholars but to the broader public as well.

Greeley is a professor of sociology at the University of Arizona and a research associate with the National Opinion Research Center at the University of Chicago. Poci of his research have included Catholic education, ethnicity, and clergy.

He has written more than 100 books. His academic works include: Prized: A Calling in Crisis (2004); The Catholic Myth: The Behavior and Beliefs of American Catholics (1990); Religious Change in America (1989); and Ursular Man: The Persistence of Religion (1972). Speaking more directly to the broader public, he has written books such as Making of the Pope, 1978: The Politics of Intrigue in the Vatican (1979) and The Jesus Myth (1971). He has also been a frequent guest on talk shows and written numerous articles for the media. He continues to write a weekly column for the Chicago Sun-Times.

Recent winners of the Marty Award include John Esposito (2005), Huston Smith (2004), Robert Wuthnow (2003), Diana Eck (2002), and David Knipe (2001).

The Committee on the Public Understanding of Religion enthusiastically solicits nominations from the membership for future recipients. Nominees need not be AAR members or academics. Nominations can be made online at www.aarweb.org/awards/martyaward.asp.
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IN MARCH 2006, three AAR staff members and board member Richard Jaffe went to Capitol Hill to advocate for increased federal funding of the humanities. There they joined more than 100 other representatives from 31 scholarly associations as a part of Humanities Advocacy Day. The event, organized by the National Humanities Alliance, was co-sponsored by the AAR.

The AAR, along with other members of the NHA, is advocating a budget of $156 million for the National Endowment for the Humanities in fiscal year 2007. This would be a $15 million increase from its 2006 budget of approximately $141 million. The NEH is the largest funder of humanities programs in the United States. Following an afternoon of training, Humanities Advocacy Day participants spent the next day in meetings with staff of their representatives in Congress. These efforts were reinforced by other AAR members who e-mailed their representatives to urge their support for an NEH funding increase.
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AAR Participates in Disciplinary Associations’ Brainstorm on Education for Sustainability Initiatives

LAST MAY, the American Academy of Religion participated with leaders from more than a dozen national disciplinary associations in a stimulating meeting about creating a better future via sustainability education. Sustainability education produces graduates who are knowledgeable about and engaged in the solutions to society’s social, economic, and environmental challenges.

The U.S. Partnership for the Decade of Education for Sustainable Development organized the meeting, which was sponsored by the Association of American Colleges and Universities, the Association for the Advancement of Sustainability in Higher Education, and University Leaders for a Sustainable Future. The partnership formed following the void left when the Bush administration decided not to participate in the United Nations’ Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), which began January 1, 2005. ESD emerged from a series of international conferences, declarations, and initiatives beginning with the 1992 Rio Earth Summit and culminating in the 2002 Johannesburg World Summit on Sustainable Development.

The United Nations’ Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), the ESD lead agency, has described the four major thrusts of education for sustainable development in a “Framework for a Draft International Implementation Scheme”:

- The promotion and improvement of high-quality, relevant, basic education;
- The reorienting of existing education policies and programs to address the social, environmental, and economic knowledge, skills, and values inherent to sustainability in a holistic and interdisciplinary manner;
- The development of public understanding and awareness of the principles of sustainable development;
- The development of specialized training programs to ensure that all sectors of society have the skills necessary to perform their work in a sustainable manner.

The meeting of the U.S. Partnership was to stimulate ideas from disciplinary associations on ways to get its members involved in ESD. AAR staff members Kyle Cole, director of college programs, and Cynthia Walsh, director of development, attended the meeting, coming away with opportunities for the Academy to explore.

“There are many areas upon which we can focus,” Cole said. “From creating new textbook opportunities, editing existing textbooks, and teaching workshops, to statements of support for the Decade and finding ways to have environmentally friendly meetings, the AAR can contribute quite a bit.”

The AAR offices currently find many avenues for helping reduce its impact on the environment, from recycling, encouraging alternative transportation, and taking advantage of the many opportunities available at Emory University, where the AAR offices are located. Emory is a leader in sustainable campuses in the United States. It recently named Atlanta environmental lawyer Ciannat Howett as director for sustainability initiatives, and Cole will participate in this fall.

As the AAR moves forward with both exploring engagement in education for a sustainable future and in interdisciplinary collaborations, we want to hear from you. If you are engaged in teaching and researching in areas related to sustainability, please share a summary of what you are doing by e-mailing Kyle Cole at kcole@aarpub.org. Also let us know if you are interested in working on sustainability initiatives with us.

If you want more information on education for a sustainable future, including resources and professional development for educators, visit the Association for the Advancement of Sustainability in Higher Education (www.aashe.org) and the Association of University Leaders for a Sustainable Future (www.ulsf.org).
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Charles T. Mathews is Associate Professor of Religious Studies at the University of Virginia. Educated at Georgetown University and the University of Chicago, he specializes in Christian theology and ethics, comparative religious ethics, and religion, politics, and society. His first book. Evil and the Augustinian Tradition, published by Cambridge University Press, explores the challenge of tragedy and the Augustinian tradition. His second book, A Theology of Public Life, also with Cambridge, explores the promise and peril of public engagement for religious believers in modern democracies. He has edited several books, and, as Associate Editor of the forthcoming third edition of the Westminster Dictionary of Christian Ethics. He is currently working on two books: one on comparative religious ethics, and one detailing an Augustinian interpretation of life after 9/11.

RSN: As the new JAAR editor, what is your vision for the journal? What have been some of your major initiatives?

Mathews: As I said in my first issue, the JAAR's primary task is to publish the most insightful, profound, provocative, and groundbreaking scholarship concerning the study of all things that go under the capacious conceptual category of "religion." JAAR is the journal of record in religious studies, and it should be the indispensable journal in the field. Given the ample coverage offered by more focused journals in most subfields of religious studies, however, it seems unlikely and undesirable that the JAAR will compete directly with subfield journals. So I hope that we can complement these subfields, by being a forum in which the various subfields can be put in conversation with one another.

After all, the JAAR embodies something of a wager — the wager that there is such a field as "religious studies." Stylistically, I hope that we can use the JAAR to advance a distinct (and underrepresented) style of writing in religious studies — a style that remains responsible to the best standards of a particular subfield, while taking as a (but not the) central goal the demonstration of that subfield's value to other scholars in religious studies but outside that subfield. Hence the ideal JAAR paper will advance the discussion on a particular issue — normally an issue under study and debate in a subfield — while doing something more: using that moment as an opportunity to make the case for the relevance of that subfield for religious studies as a whole, and the relevance of religious studies for the subfield in particular. I think we've been offering a fine selection of just such papers. Beyond the individual papers we publish, in every volume we plan on offering one or two "focus issues." These focus issues will bring together scholars in different areas and with different methodological approaches around a common topic, in the hope that their different perspectives toward another within the pages of the journal will uncover interesting affiliations, parallels, divergences, and disagreements among the essays. This, we hope, will indicate that an ensemble will offer a richer and deeper approach to the topic under study than a squadron of methodologically lockstep papers could do. We recently did this with issues on "the future of religious studies" and on the topic of "secretcy," and I think both of them have been successful.

There's obviously a great deal of overlap between these programs and the overall goals of the JAAR. I am immediately drawn to these matters out of professional interest and a problematically undisciplined curiosity; but even if I didn't find these things interesting in themselves, I still suspect that the JAAR would need to pursue such an agenda, if only for purely strategic reasons.

RSN: Tell us, what do the JAAR editorial board, your associate editors, and your book reviewers do?

Mathews: How much time you get? Each of these positions is quite different, but they all share one thing in common: none of them are thanked by me, or appreciated by the "outside world," nearly enough for the work they do. (The same goes triple for the graduate assistant staff here at UVA, all of whom do exemplary work for long hours at low pay. But this is not the time to thank-you to a busy work, as much as they deserve it.)

Each member of the editorial board has two very concrete obligations: to read two to three paper submissions per year for the JAAR, and offer a thoughtful and constructive assessment of each. Effectively each assessment turns out to be a mini-essay, often involving secondary research on the assessment, and typically forms constructive advice on how to improve the paper. More vaguely, I ask all editorial board members to serve the JAAR as "talent scouts," always staying on the lookout for good work and good conferences to "read" — wherever they find papers that are good, or authors who seem to have something worth saying. I also urge them to encourage paper submissions to the JAAR, and to encourage authors who might submit in the future to be in contact with us on possible future projects.

The associate editors have it worse. I promised them, when they signed on for this sort of thing, that they wouldn't have to read and offer assessments of submissions. But in fact we send them a lot of requests of the sort, "don't read this, just 'look it over' for an hour or so and tell us what you think." They invariably send back really quite solid assessments of what we sent them. Also I send them a lot of e-mails that say: We have a paper that deals with this sort of matter and seems to be using this literature a lot to deal with it. Who would be good — and available — to read the paper and write a generally — to read this?" And sometimes they know right away who would be good, and sometimes they have to think about it, or ask others, or read tea leaves, or whatever. No matter what they do, they have been far too effective at answering my questions to induce me to stop. But in fact all of this is secondary; their main job is more strategic: I use them to offer assessments of our proposals, both our internal processes and our dealings with external readers, as well as a kind of "think tank" of people who are interested in helping me to elicit some small part of their brain — say, 5 percent — to thinking about where the JAAR needs to go, and how it should get there — what topics we should be covering that we are not covering, who is not writing for us and what we can do to induce them to write for us, that sort of thing.

But of all these people the book review editor, Corey Walker, has it worst. When I took on the JAAR I did an informal survey and asked people how they read the journal. Their reading habits in general were quite diverse, but on one matter all were of one accord: everyone read the book reviews. And many people read the book reviews first. But this presents a problem. The JAAR gets about 3,000 books a year. And we can review something like 80–100 of them. And some of the books we send out for review never get reviewed, because the people we asked to review them never write the reviews. And yet everyone assumes in the world assumes that their work (1) is worthy of review, will be sent to (2) a responsible reviewer who will (3) assess it fairly, (4) that is, for the book, the author, and the field (and quickly, and that it will then appear in the JAAR in the next issue. Only on the last point can we be even remotely promissory: we try to review books no later than a year after their publication date. But even that is hard to guarantee because of the vicissitudes of reviewers.

The good news in Corey’s life is that this summer he has accepted a position at Brown University, and is (for him, happily) moving from book review editor to associate editor. So Corey is the book review editor for all four issues this year (Volume 74), after that we’ll have a new editor, namely, my colleague Kurtis Schaeffer, a very well-regarded scholar of Tilman Gonsior, whom everyone good common sense is taking a severe hit from the vicissitudes of reviewers.

The work of all these people is essential to the well-being of the JAAR. They serve as crucial members of the “grounds crew” in the gardens of religion. And the garden of research in matters regarding religion. And beyond the named staff, we have used scholars throughout the field and beyond to read and assess papers for us, but all of them must remain anonymous; at least till I write my tell-all memoir.

RSN: Why does the work of JAAR matter to you? What makes you willing to give so freely of your time and talent?

Mathews: Most materially what made me willing was Bill Werpehowski, who will one day pay dearly for his silver tongue in convincing me to apply for this position. More dispositional, there is my interest in working for the journal? RSNs: What would you say to someone interested in working for the journal?

Mathews: As I said above, the JAAR is a wager. It is a wager that there is such a field as "religious studies." And beyond the named staff, we have used scholars throughout the field and beyond to read and assess papers for us, but all of them must remain anonymous; at least till I write my tell-all memoir.

RSN: What would you say to someone interested in working for the journal?

Mathews: As I said above, the JAAR is a wager. It is a wager that there is such a field as "religious studies." And beyond the named staff, we have used scholars throughout the field and beyond to read and assess papers for us, but all of them must remain anonymous; at least till I write my tell-all memoir.
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Bard College Announces Neusner Endowed Professorship

Neusner began his career in the early 1960s, when religion was a minor field in American universities, largely limited to biblical studies and Christian (mostly Protestant) theology. Judaism was studied parochially, confined primarily to Jewish institutions. Neusner changed all that.

He understood that the power of the study of religion is its capacity to generalize, to discern common structures across religions, and, through them, to understand the similarities and differences among the traditions. Neusner also knew that scholars cannot generalize about religions that are closed to them.

Neusner addressed these problems by establishing a career agenda of bringing critical questions to the study of Judaism. His success transformed not only the study of Judaism; it also affected the study of religion. Neusner translated, analyzed, and explained virtually the entire rabbinic canon — a massive compendium of texts into English. The Mishnah, the Tosefta, the Palestinian Talmud, the Babylonian Talmud, and nearly every work of rabbinic Bible interpretation are available to scholars of all backgrounds because of Neusner’s scholarship. In the study of Judaism, no one in history can match his work.

In all of this, Neusner made Judaism and its study available to scholars and laypeople of every background and persuasion. That Judaism is now a mainstream component of the American study of religion is due greatly to Jacob Neusner’s scholarship.

Throughout his career, Neusner has sought to engage and encourage his students, both undergraduate and graduate. The centrality of teaching to his career has led him to focus on his role of providing students with the knowledge and intellectual skills essential to achieving a great liberal education, the hallmark of which is not only to have read and understood important texts, but also to achieve the capacity to defend your arguments, together with established academics from Bard and elsewhere in collaborative projects, he has established himself not only as an exceptional instructor, but as the center of an innovative environment of learning. He personifies our profession at its best: engaged with students, dedicated to advancing the intellectual disciplines involved in the subject, and concerned to help colleagues excel in teaching and learning.

Neusner’s scholarship did not stop with his exposition — in translation, description, and interpretation — of Judaism alone. To the contrary, unlike any other scholar of his generation, Neusner deliberately built outward from Judaism to other religions. He sponsored a number of very important conferences and collaborative projects that drew different religions into conversation on common themes and problems.

Neusner’s efforts have produced conferences and books on, among other topics, the problem of difference in religion, religion and society, religion and material culture, religion and economics, religion and altruism, and religion and tolerance. These collaborations build on Neusner’s intellectual vision, his notion of a religion as a system, and would not have been possible otherwise. By working toward general questions from the perspective of a discrete religion, he produced results of durable consequence for understanding other religions as well.

In addition to these efforts, Neusner has written a number of works exploring the relationship of Judaism to other religions with regard to difficult issues of understanding and misunderstanding. For instance, A Rabbi Talks with Jesus (McGill-Queen’s University Press 1993; translated into German, Italian, and Swedish), establishes a religiously sound framework for Judaico-Christian interchange and earned the praise of Pope Benedict XVI. He also has collaborated with other scholars to produce comparisons of Judaism and Christianity, as in The Bible and Us: A Priest and a Rabbi Read Scripture Together (Warner Books 1990; translated into Spanish and Portuguese). He has collaborated with scholars of Islam, conceiving World Religions in America: An Introduction (Westminister John Knox Press 2004, 3rd ed.), which explores how diverse religions have developed in the distinctive American context.

He also has composed numerous textbooks and general trade books on Judaism. The two best-known examples are The Way of Torah: An Introduction to Judaism (Wadsworth Publishing 2003) and Judaism: An Introduction (Penguin 2002; translated into Spanish and Portuguese).

Throughout his career, Neusner has established publication programs and series with various academic publishers. Through these series, as well as the reference works he conceived and edited throughout the conferences he has sponsored, Neusner has advanced the careers of dozens of younger scholars around the globe. Few others in the American study of religion have had this kind of impact on students of so many approaches and interests.

Neusner has written or edited more than 900 books. He has taught at Columbia University, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, Brandeis University, Drew University, Brown University, University of South Florida, and Bard College. He is a member of the Institute of Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey, and a life member of Clare Hall, Cambridge University. He is the only scholar to serve with both the National Endowment for the Humanities and the National Endowment for the Arts. He has also received scores of academic awards, honorary and otherwise.

In addition to his positions as research professor of religion and theology and Bard Center Fellow, Neusner is Senior Fellow of Bard’s Institute of Advanced Theology. He has taught at Bard College since 1994.
Employment Indicators

Student Placement after Completion of Academic Doctoral Programs in Religion and Theology in the United States in 2001–2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLACEMENT IN TYPE OF INSTITUTION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF GRADUATES PLACED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liberal arts colleges</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive four-year colleges</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divinity schools or seminaries</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research universities</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still seeking employment</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churches, chaplaincies, and pastorates</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprofit organizations</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postdoctoral programs</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary schools</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government agencies</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic administrations</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other business</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editing projects</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other positions</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archives and libraries</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community colleges</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbinical schools</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publishing</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled in law school</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: AAR Survey of Graduate Programs in Religion and Theology, 2002

The reference period is the academic year 2001–2002. Forty-three (43) academic doctoral programs responded to these questions. Each category is broken down by public, private, Catholic, Jewish, and Protestant institutions in the full report, which can be found on pp. 59–66 at: www.aarweb.org/department/credentialstab-inst.pdf.

NRC to rank doctoral programs

The National Research Council has launched a project to assess research doctoral programs in the United States that will rate and rank doctoral programs, including those in religion. NRC reported the study collects quantitative data through questionnaires administered to institutions, programs, faculty, and admitted to candidacy students. Additional program data on publications, citations, and dissertation keywords also will be collected. NRC will then design and construct program ratings using the data. Data collection should end by February, and results will be announced in December 2007.

The NRC last ranked such programs in its 1995 study. For more information on the study, go to www7.nationalacademies.org/relsoc/index.html.

Final volume of Encyclopaedia of the Qur’an published

The fifth and final volume of the Encyclopaedia of the Qur’an, edited by past AAR president Jane Dammann McAuliffe, has been published by Brill Academic Publishers. The first volume of the English language encyclopedia was published in 2001.

The encyclopedia combines alphabetically arranged articles about the contents of the Qur’an. It is an encyclopedic dictionary of Qur’anic terminology, concepts, personalities, place names, cultural history, and exegesis extended with essays on the most important themes and subjects within Qur’anic studies. With nearly 1,000 entries in 5 volumes, the encyclopedia is the first comprehensive, multivolume reference work on the Qur’an to appear in a Western language.

Frequent cross-references direct readers to related entries and each article will conclude with a citation of relevant bibliography. This final volume contains indices of transliterated terms, of Qur’anic references, and of the authors and exegetes cited in the entries and essays. It will also include a synoptic outline of the full contents of the EQ.

The EQ is supported by an international board of advisors. Scholars from many nations have written articles for the encyclopedia.

Calvin College opens World Christianity institute

Former Calvin College provost Joel Carpenter stepped down from his post this summer to become the first director of the new Nagel Institute for the Study of World Christianity at Calvin. The institute was established at Calvin this year for reflection, research, and communication regarding Christianity in the global south and east. Carpenter said the Nagel Institute will encourage scholars in the north to reorient their scholarly work to the global south and east, and will examine the role of the diaspora Christian communities: northern diaspora faith communities such as African-American churches, U.S.-Latino evangelicals and Catholic renewal movements, Caribbean congregations in Canada, African Christians in Europe, and Asian-American churches.

He noted that 40 percent of the world’s Christians live in the North Atlantic quadrant, and the faith is declining numerically in that region. About 60 percent of the world’s Christians reside elsewhere, in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Pacific.

Carpenter says that these developments, which have been happening beneath the radar for over three decades, led to the development of the Nagel Institute.

Iliff names 13th president

David G. Trickett has been unanimously elected by the Iliff School of Theology Board of Trustees to serve as the school’s 13th president. He will also serve as professor of ethics and leadership.

Trickett was chairperson of the board and senior fellow at the Center for World Religions, Diplomacy, and Conflict Resolution, Institute for Conflict Analysis and Resolution, George Mason University.

“We are excited to have someone of Dr. Trickett’s caliber join the Iliff community,” said Suzy Iliff Wurtele, chairperson, Iliff Board of Trustees. “His depth of experience — as a pastor, faculty member, transformational leader, and administrator — will serve the school well.”

Trickett succeeded the interim president, J. Philip Wogaman, on July 1.

Trickett has served as a member of the American Academy of Religion, Society for Values in Higher Education, Society of Christian Ethics, North American Academy of Examenists, American Society of Church History, and National Association of College and University Chaplains. He has published more than 100 articles, reports, chapters in books, and other writings.

Trickett holds a doctorate from Southern Methodist University, a master of theology from Southern Methodist University, and a bachelor’s from Louisiana State University.

AAR receives $50,000 grant

The Pew Charitable Trusts has awarded a one-year $50,000 grant in support of Religionsource, an AAR program aimed at improving news coverage of religion. When covering a topic related to religion, journalists can turn to Religionsource to identify scholars with relevant expertise.

Since going online in fall 2002, Religionsource has responded to more than 7,000 queries from 1,100 journalists. These represent 500 news outlets in 14 countries and 47 U.S. states. Following two previous grants from The Trusts, the new funding runs from July 2006 through June 2007.
Pence Named New Director of Wabash Center

PRESIDENT Andrew T. Ford of Wabash College is pleased to announce the appointment of Nadine (Dena) S. Pence as the third director of the Wabash Center for Teaching and Learning in Theology and Religion, beginning January 1, 2007.

Pence is currently professor of theology at Bethany Theological Seminary in Richmond, Indiana, where she has taught since 1991, has served as acting dean, and has been director of the master of arts in theology program since 1995. She is also executive director of the Council of Societies for the Study of Religion (CSSR), the umbrella organization for professional societies among those who teach in the field of religion in colleges, universities, and seminaries throughout the United States and Canada.

“Throughout her distinguished career, Dena has shown a broad engagement with contemporary religion and theology, a deep commitment to exploring issues of teaching and learning, and a leadership style that is collaborative and empowering,” said Wabash College President Patrick White, who was part of the search committee. “Her gifts of mind and spirit will enable her to build on the excellent work of her distinguished predecessor and guide the Wabash Center to new heights.”

Before becoming executive director of CSSR, Pence served as editorial chair of the Religious Studies Review editorial board; she continues to be that journal’s area editor for the Arts, Literature, Culture and Religion section. CSSR publishes three periodicals, Religious Studies Review, the CSSR Bulletin, and the annual Directory of Departments and Programs of Religious Studies in North America.

Pence is a lifelong member of the Church of the Brethren. She received her bachelor’s degree from Manchester College, her master of divinity degree from Bethany Seminary, and her PhD in theology from the University of Chicago. She has written and spoken widely on the relation of theology and media, and is co-editor of “Hope Deferred: Theological Reflections on Reproductive Loss,” published by Pilgrim Press in 2005.

The Wabash Center for Teaching and Learning in Theology and Religion recently received an $8 million grant from Lilly Endowment Inc. to support the next three years of its work encouraging the improvement of teaching and learning in seminar- ries, university divinity schools, and college and university religion departments throughout North America.

Although founded only ten years ago, under the outstanding leadership of its first two directors, Raymond B. Williams and Lucinda Huffaker, the Wabash Center has already established an important national reputation. More than 700 faculty members, representing schools from Harvard and Yale in New England to Fuller and Claremont in southern California, have participated in its workshops and confer-

AAR Scholars Seek To Create Religion Op-Ed Page

AAR MEMBERS Sheila Davaney of the Iliff School of Theology and Gary Laderman of Emory University are using a Ford Foundation grant to lay the groundwork for a religion opinion-editorial page on progressive values, religion, and public affairs, titled “The Religion Report: Research and Opinions on Religion in Today’s World.” Through a new Web-based venue and subsequent outreach, they hope to change the landscape of religious discourse across the country.

“‘Progressive’ in this case means not a singular ideological perspective, but widely inclusive views; we’re committed to a diversity of ideas that are oriented toward just social and political outcomes for everyone,” said Davaney, Harvey H. Porthoff Professor of Christian Theology at Iliff and project director. “Our goal is to widen and deepen the conversation about religion and public life in America and the world.”

“How are we to think about religion and violence in the contemporary world, especially in the wake of the events of September 11, 2001?” said Laderman, professor of religion and director of Emory’s Graduate Division of Religion. “Religion has always played a role in public life; we’re trying to develop new ways to fairly and respectfully discuss religious and public policy issues that include all kinds of perspectives in the discussion.”

“9/11 was a watershed point for people to bring religion into the public arena,” said Laderman. “We hope to stimulate change by bringing together a wide spectrum of alternative scholars, civic, and religious voices to the table,” Laderman said.

“We hope to reach policy makers and a broad public with viewpoints that they simply are not exposed to currently.”

“This beautifully written, ambitious, and timely book gives scholars of Romantic-period and Victorian literature an entirely new model they can use to think about the relationship between literature and religion in the long nineteenth century... It’s one of the most exciting, original, and learned studies of late-eighteenth- and nineteenth-century culture I’ve read in a long time...”—Deirdre Lynch, Indiana University, editor of Janelites: Austin’s Disciples and Devotees.

Victoria Literature and Culture Series $45.00 cloth, $22.00 paper

University of Virginia Press 800.851.3406 www.upress.virginia.edu

Socrates and the Irrational

James S. Hains

“A lucid and graceful, sustained and subtle meditation on the dialogue between reason and the irrational that is deeply related to the connection between the ethical and the aesthetic.”—Ronald A. Sharp, Professor of English and Dean of Faculty, Vassar College

$29.95 cloth

The English Cult of Literature

Devoted Readers, 1774–1880

William R. McKelvy

“This beautifully written, ambitious, and timely book gives scholars of Romantic-period and Victorian literature an entirely new model they can use to think about the relationship between literature and religion in the long nineteenth century... It’s one of the most exciting, original, and learned studies of late-eighteenth- and nineteenth-century culture I’ve read in a long time...”—Deirdre Lynch, Indiana University, editor of Janelites: Austin’s Disciples and Devotees.

Victorian Literature and Culture Series $45.00 cloth

Religion and Violence in a Secular World

Toward a New Political Theology

Edited by Clayton Crockett

How are we to think about religion and violence in the contemporary world, especially in the wake of the events of September 11, 2001? In this collection of essays, nearly a dozen scholars, including some of the leading voices in the field of academic religious thought, offer a theological and theological response to the 9/11 attacks as well as a broader and more interdisciplinary reflection on the issues surrounding religion and violence, politics and terror, in the world today.

Studies in Religion and Culture $60.00 cloth

John Ruskin and the Ethics of Consumption

David M. Craig

“David Craig’s great book on the perennial wisdom of John Ruskin regenerates a much-needed dialogue between this sad genius and twenty-first-century cultural critics. This is a Ruskin for—and against—our time!”—Cornel West, Princeton University

Studies in Religion and Culture $60.00 cloth

AAR: Annual Review of American Religions

Volume 11, 2005

Editors: Deirdre Lynch, Andrew Davie

$49.50 cloth, $22.50 paper


Gary Laderman (Editor)

$49.50 cloth, $22.50 paper

Theology and Religion in the Long Nineteenth Century

Editors: John L. Hope and James S. Hains

$49.50 cloth, $22.50 paper

Religion and Violence in a Secular World: Toward a New Political Theology

Editors: Clayton Crockett

$60.00 cloth

Religion Op-Ed Page

AAR MEMBERS Sheila Davaney of the Iliff School of Theology and Gary Laderman of Emory University are using a Ford Foundation grant to lay the groundwork for a religion opinion-editorial page on progressive values, religion, and public affairs, titled “The Religion Report: Research and Opinions on Religion in Today’s World.” Through a new Web-based venue and subsequent outreach, they hope to change the landscape of religious discourse across the country.

“We hope to stimulate change by bringing together a wide spectrum of alternative scholars, civic, and religious voices to the table,” Laderman said.

“We hope to reach policy makers and a broad public with viewpoints that they simply are not exposed to currently.”

During the planning stage of the project underway, Davaney and Laderman have organized broad-based conversations among scholars and civic and religious leaders and activists. They are identifying issues, assessing available resources, and exploring collaborations with leaders and institutions that can contribute to the creation of what they hope will be “a more vital national conversation about religion in our day.”

Plans call for the opinion-editorial page to be launched via the Internet during 2007.
Regional Meetings and Calls for Papers

Eastern International

AAR Eastern International Regional Meeting
May 4–5, 2007
University of Waterloo and Wilfrid Laurier University
Waterloo, ON, Canada

The Regional Program Committee invites you to submit proposals for papers and panels to be presented at the 2007 Regional Meeting. The deadline for submissions is January 15, 2007. Each proposal should consist of a one-page abstract (300 words max.) describing the nature of the paper or panel, a current CV for the participant(s), and a cover letter that includes full name, title, institution, phone number, fax number, e-mail, and mailing address. Please send this information as an e-mail attachment in MS Word format to AAR_EIR2007@uwaterloo.ca.

Proposals are welcome in all areas of religious and biblical studies. The Program Committee is particularly interested in panels and thematic sessions in the following areas:

- Religion and International Affairs
- Religion and Public Policy (especially bioethics, education, and health care)
- Religious Diversity in North America
- Religion and Popular Culture

The committee is also interested in panels combining activist or performative dimensions with scholarly inquiry.

For this year’s call:

Our goal is to sponsor events in different parts of the region, to benefit the greatest possible number of members. Such events will be organized by teams of volunteers and supported with regional financial and promotional assistance, provided that the event is open to any regional member. Faculty, and graduate students with a faculty mentor, are all eligible to apply. We have set a rolling deadline to make it possible to submit an application at any time. If you have an idea or inquiry and want feedback, please send it to Regional Secretary Linda Barnes at linda.barnes@uic.org.

Mid-Atlantic

Mid-Atlantic Regional Meeting (AAR/SBL)
March 1–2, 2007
Radisson Hotel at Cross Keys
Baltimore, MD

The call for papers for the 2007 Mid-Atlantic Regional Meeting can be found at www.aarweb.org/region/region-ma.asp.

Midwest

Midwest Regional Meeting
March 30–31, 2007
Dominican University
River Forest, IL

The theme, Religion and Science, is intended to solicit papers and panels exploring the intersections of religion and science as they concern sacred narratives, cosmologies, theologies, scriptures, art, popular culture, the history of creationism and “Intelligent Design,” notions of race, conceptions of gender, attitudes toward sexuality, and perspectives on the environment. Papers/panels on other topics are also invited. Submissions should be made as early as possible, but before December 15, 2006. Younger scholars and students are especially encouraged to submit proposals and participate in the conference; senior scholars are encouraged to serve as session chairs and respondents. For further information contact the Program Chair, Martha L. Finch, mfinch@kent.edu. Keynote Speaker: cell biologist Ursula Goodenough, author of The Sacred Depths of Nature.

For this year’s call:

AAR will co-sponsor the 2007 Midwest Regional Meeting. The deadline for submission is December 15, 2006. Please submit your response to the call for papers on www.aarweb.org/region/region-mid.asp.

New England–Maritimes

Instead of holding a NEMAAR regional meeting in 2007, the region will co-sponsor the following conferences proposed and organized by regional members.

1) Christ in Contemporary Cultures: An Interdisciplinary Conference at Gordon College, Wenham, MA, September 28–30, 2006. Contact person: Gregor Thuswaldner, gregor@thuswaldner@gordon.edu.


If you have ideas for other events, we welcome additional proposals from regional members (see call below).

For this year’s call:

Our goal is to sponsor events in different parts of the region, to benefit the greatest possible number of members. Such events will be organized by teams of volunteers and supported with regional financial and promotional assistance, provided that the event is open to any regional member. Faculty, and graduate students with a faculty mentor, are all eligible to apply. We have set a rolling deadline to make it possible to submit an application at any time. If you have an idea or inquiry and want feedback, please send it to Regional Secretary Linda Barnes at linda.barnes@uic.org.

See REGIONAL MEETINGS p.42
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Celebrating 10 Years
Strengthening and Enhancing Teaching and Learning in Theology and Religion

Nadine S. Pence
New Director of the Wabash Center

Dr. Pence, professor of theological studies at Bethany Theological Seminary, will assume responsibilities from Dr. Lucinda Huffaker on January 1, 2007.

AAR & SBL Annual Meeting Events

Friday
- Teaching Theology and Religion Editorial Board Meeting
- Wabash Center Reunion Meetings

Saturday
- Breakfast Meeting for Participants in Barbara Walvoord’s Study of Highly Effective Teachers of the Introduction to Religion Course
- Caucus of Scholars at Religiously Affiliated Institutions Luncheon
- Wabash Center Reunion Meetings

Sunday
- AAR and SBL Graduate Student Luncheon
- Wabash Center Reunion Meetings
- Wabash Center 10th Anniversary Reception

Monday
- Open Consulting on Grant Writing
- Writing the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning Luncheon
- Annual Dinner for New Teachers
- Wabash Center Reunion Meetings

Workshops and Colloquies

2007-2008

Accepting Applications - Deadline: January 31, 2007
Colloquies and Workshops on Teaching and Learning for
- Mid-Career Theological School Faculty
- Pre-Tenure Theological School Faculty
- Pre-Tenure Religion Faculty at Colleges and Universities

Wabash Center
For Teaching and Learning in Theology and Religion
www.wabashcenter.wabash.edu
New AAR Dues Structure

Combining Our Respect for a changing membership base and desire for a greener environment, the American Academy of Religion has revamped its dues structure and renewal process. Beginning in 2007, new and renewing members alike will be urged to visit our secure Web site at www.aarweb.org to complete a greatly simplified application form.

The updated dues structure remains based on a sliding scale, which allows for full participation in the Academy by those at all income levels. The range of incomes as well as the number of income levels have both been adjusted to reflect shifts in the marketplace. For example, a new income category of less than $20,000 has been included to accommodate adjunct faculty. At the other end of the spectrum, we have added tiers for those whose incomes have risen substantially since the 1990s, the last time the structure was revised. Dues were determined after a close review of fifteen peer associations in the American Council of Learned Societies.

As in the past, special AAR membership categories still offer substantial discounts to current members of the Society of Biblical Literature and to retired scholars. The window for reduced student membership has been widened from seven to ten years, and another category has been introduced to welcome international scholars earning less than $15,000 annually.

The advantages of joining online, both for the individual and for the AAR as a whole, are many. Taking this environmentally sound approach, members can ensure the accuracy of their data input and feel confident that all financial information is subjected to stringent encryption and protection. Those who prefer to pay their dues by check may, of course, continue to do so. The cost savings from creating, distributing, and processing many fewer paper forms can be redirected toward substantive member services.

What has not changed over the years is that membership dues cover just 30 percent of the AAR’s programs and services. Generous contributions to the Academy Fund help close that gap, but we hope you will consider becoming a sustaining member ($1,000) or a supporting member ($500). Your gift can be restricted to a special purpose, such as international programs or research grants, or it can lend support to the Academy’s general operations. Either way, your donations further the AAR’s mission to foster excellence in the study of religion.

Try the safe, paperless alternative at www.aarweb.org to strengthen the yield of your membership dues. Your colleagues will thank you — and so will the trees.

Please Renew Your AAR Membership Today!

www.aarweb.org/dues

Already renewed?
Confirm your membership status at:
www.aarweb.org/membersonly

Beginning this year, AAR is requesting that members take advantage of online renewal because renewing online ...

- **Helps the environment**
  Online renewal is a sound environmental choice because it replaces production and delivery of 10,000 renewal packets.

- **Helps the whole academy**
  The funds saved by every online renewal can be applied to services and programs that benefit the study of religion.

- **Helps each member**
  The online submission is instant (immediately gain access to online services), accurate (no handwriting confusion or transcription mistakes), and safe (secured with strong encryption through VeriSign). Additionally, it frees staff time to assist directly with member needs.

If you still wish to renew by paper, we are, of course, happy to serve your needs. You can print membership forms directly at www.aarweb.org/membership/join.asp. Or you can contact us at membership@aarweb.org or 404-727-3049 to have forms mailed or faxed to you.
Religion in the African Diaspora: The Black Atlantic and Islamic Global Revival

A new paradigm is needed to view African religious experience and expression through a more comprehensive and holistic prism reflecting religions as they flourish in villages, towns, and cities in crisis.

Religion in the African Diaspora: The Black Atlantic and Islamic Global Revival

A new generation of scholars of the African diaspora is breaking radically from the earlier trends. The Black Atlantic formations are focusing on the prevalence and transformation of African religious practices and cosmologies in the Americas and exploring pre-transatlantic religious practices and cosmologies in the earlier trends. Those studying Black American and Islamic religious groups actively proselytize in Africa drawing African converts, such as the Nation of Islam in West Africa and the ministries of Pentecostal preachers like Reverend Ike and Reverend T. D. Jakes in many countries across Africa.

Meanwhile, other exogenous religious movements, the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, the Rev. Sun Myung Moon’s Unification Movement, the International Society for Krishna Consciousness are establishing themselves in Africa to gain converts. Such crosscurrents signify that the diaspora flows not only from Africa and beyond, but also in many directions. Its transnational character, furthermore, is rooted in a dynamic exchange of beliefs, materialities, commodities, hegemonies, and improvisational moral values. Fascinating new research on African immigrant religious communities revealed that in places of migration across the world African immigrants increasingly act as interpreters of their local traditions and beliefs systems. In most imaginative detail, especially in the cases of exiles and asylum seekers, their personal narratives and circumstances take us to the intersection of law, creative narratives, and religion.

A new paradigm is needed to view African religious experience and expression through a more comprehensive and holistic prism reflecting religions as they flourish in villages, towns, and cities in crisis. The impressive and ever-expanding religiosities have long remained within the traditional centers and peripheries of the African diaspora.

From Saudi Arabian-focused perspectives, studies of African Islam have advanced to an exploration of various expressions of traditional and contemporary Islam: Sharia, Muslim identity, Sufi brotherhoods, etc. Such scholarship has examined the post-Islamic revolutionary fervor that inspired a new generation of Islamic study in the twentieth century, as well as Islamic revitalism of the post-9/11 era. Muslims around the globe are forming new transnational alliances as more than one-third of African states maintain membership in the Organization of Islamic Conference. What, then, will prove critical in the study of African and diaspora religious experience and expression through a more comprehensive and holistic prism reflecting religions as they flourish in villages, towns, and cities in crisis. Religious traditions are conditioned by historical, spatial, and temporal situations. For example, the Church of Latter-Day Saints is currently known as African Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints on the ancient sacred hill of Oke-Isele, Ille-Ife. Rather than seeing these two structures and fascinating religious institutions as separate entities — one “American” and the other “Nigerian” — we must understand them as integral to the same religious trajectory and spiritual mosaic that Nigerians, Europeans, and Americans participate in with the same vigor and deep sense of spirituality.

Additionally, more research is needed in the anthropology of African religious creativity in the Americas — a creativity that so far eludes serious interpretation derived from theoretical discourse outside of religious studies. From my observation, this lack of analysis arises out of a particular problem: the inability of scholars to view these traditions as significantly self-reflexive in their own interpretive modes. A sharper hermeneutical interpretation would allow agents of new traditions to interpret themselves at deeper levels. We should engage these traditions in ways to reveal their religious and cultural meanings, independent of Western theoretical, thematic, and conceptual frameworks. Engaging diasporic traditions in the context of their root and route will yield better meaning and rewards of research.

Conclusion

To reconceptualize black religious experiences in the context of the comparative history of religion today, new and exciting academic and scholarly opportunities become possible. A new paradigm is needed to view African religious experience and expression through a more comprehensive and holistic prism reflecting religions as they flourish in villages, towns, and cities in crisis. The impressive and ever-expanding religiosities have long remained within the traditional centers and peripheries of the African diaspora.

From Saudi Arabian-focused perspectives, studies of African Islam have advanced to an exploration of various expressions of traditional and contemporary Islam: Sharia, Muslim identity, Sufi brotherhoods, etc. Such scholarship has examined the post-Islamic revolutionary fervor that inspired a new generation of Islamic study in the twentieth century, as well as Islamic revitalism of the post-9/11 era. Muslims around the globe are forming new transnational alliances as more than one-third of African states maintain membership in the Organization of Islamic Conference. What, then, will prove critical in the study of African and diaspora religious experience and expression through a more comprehensive and holistic prism reflecting religions as they flourish in villages, towns, and cities in crisis.
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From my observation, this lack of analysis arises out of a particular problem: the inability of scholars to view these traditions as significantly self-reflexive in their own interpretive modes. A sharper hermeneutical interpretation would allow agents of new traditions to interpret themselves at deeper levels. We should engage these traditions in ways to reveal their religious and cultural meanings, independent of Western theoretical, thematic, and conceptual frameworks. Engaging diasporic traditions in the context of their root and route will yield better meaning and rewards of research.

The New African Diaspora

African Christians, Muslims, and traditionalists are spreading their brand of religious practice worldwide at rates that deserve scholarly attention, especially in light of compelling advances in the study of globalization and transnationalism. From the late 1980s to the present, the influx of African immigrants to the United States increased significantly largely because of dire social and economic crises at home. Their influence has forever changed the American religious landscape. From magnificent mosques and evangelical headquarters, to modest masjids and storefront churches, African immigrants strive to redefine themselves, create a distinct identity, maintain contact with kin in Africa, and perpetuate their cultural values. The impressive and ever-expanding variety of these congregations indicates a growing and formidable trend in the American religious field.

Churches from West Africa were established as early as the era of Jamaican-born nationalist Marcus Garvey (1887–1940). Similarly, Sudanese Muslim immigrants interacted with earlier Black Muslim movements such as the Moorish Science Temple of America founded in 1913 in New Jersey. During the 1940s, Yoruba revivals were initiated in the United States: Continental African, African-American, and African diaspora traditions in the Caribbean and the Americas. Scholars and policymakers must investigate religion’s double-edged character rigorously, its functional and dysfunctional effects in public sphere, and Africa’s triple religious heritage to advance development policy. African and African diaspora scholarship offers evidence of cultural and social movements intersecting each other and producing a transnational trend of global religious movements. Socially relevant scholarship will gain importance as we pass through what is already a chaotic era in which religion continues to dominate. Religious studies is entering an exciting period as we critically reflect upon, analyze, and interpret the transnational traditions generated by the African diaspora and the emerging publication habits of our professional calling with honesty and integrity.
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Academic Freedom

AAR Group Explores Intellectual Freedom in the Academic Study of Religion

Jane Marie Law, Cornell University

IN THE SPRING of 2005, at the AAR board meeting in Philadelphia, a group of regionally elected directors and other board members from across the country discussed the current political climate in the United States — polarized, ideologically driven, and in many areas of government and the body politic, dangerously informed by religious rhetoric. We were concerned about the impact this climate could be having on scholars working in the academic study of religion. Because our diverse disciplines within religious studies (in many of its forms) require the intellectual freedom to be able to contextualize religion in history, critique religion, and raise questions about the role of religion in society and culture without having to conform to the doctrines, dogmas, and ideologies of religious institutions, we were concerned that this political climate could have a chilling effect on the very enterprise of religious studies itself.

Were scholars of religion who were doing their jobs as professors of religious studies being politically targeted because of the very nature of the work they do? This was a question we were interested in exploring. We felt strongly that it is the place of the professional organization representing scholars of religion to promote tolerance and fairness in all treatments of religion. At the same time, we should protect the intellectual rights of those scholars who either work outside religious communities as historians of religion or scholars of critical studies, and those scholars working within religious communities who promote diverse approaches to (and in many cases supportive of) the role of the religion culture wars in their own political survival. These scholars cited cases where they felt they were being censured for their views of religion, which students, campus clergy, and others often perceived as challenging religious claims.

Several of my colleagues at these institutions, who could not, for fear of their jobs, come forward to present their cases, encouraged me to make contact with a wider range of scholars from across the country. I posted my contact information on several on-line discussion forums, and contacted colleagues at various institutions, inviting scholars to contact me.

In the end, I heard from 26 people, and determined that 24 of the cases constituted what we were concerned about. Of these 24 cases, only one, Professor Miguel de la Torre, formerly of Hope College and currently at Hill School of Theology in Doylestown, agreed to come forward to speak at our meeting. De la Torre resigned from his post at Hope College after being reprimanded by college administrators (at the request of donors) for publications critical of Dobson’s link- ing of Spongebob Squarepants to a “gray agenda.”

De la Torre was comfortable speaking at our meeting, partly because his case had garnered national attention, and partly because he also had the security of a job at a new institution that supported his inquiry as a theologian. He is also an eloquent person, not afraid of controversy. The scholars who could not come forward are also eloquent and brave, but did not feel they had the security (or in some cases, the stamina) to stand up to the students and administrators (and in some cases, even colleagues) who were calling their work, and thus employment, into question.

In several cases, these scholars were concerned about the linking of their health care benefits to their employment, and for health or family reasons could not take such a risk. This made me feel all the more strongly that those of us in institutions supportive of what we do, or with the job security to speak out, must do so. And when appropriate, we ask the AAR to stand with us.

As scholars of religion, we have an obligation to be fair and sensitive in our treatment of religious materials. But at the same time, we cannot be prohibited from treating religion as an aspect of human culture both worthy of our appreciation and also worthy of historical contextualization and critique. It was our intention to start this conversation, and to make it clear that the American Academy of Religion is a large tent, and scholars of religious studies, whose work may at times (or for some scholars, often) put them at odds with religious doctrine and truth claims, can expect their professional organization to stand up for the right to free inquiry.

The reflections included herein are a sample of what we discussed in our lively panel this past November.
Using the language first developed in defense of affirmative action and civil rights, the attack on the humanities deliberately twins the argument. The case begins with the anecdotal observation that conservatives now wish to claim status behind this attack is flawed, insofar as certain political conservatives now wish to claim status as a "protected class" on the basis of their chosen political methodologies and perspectives that infringe on voting behavior, to the mix.'

In short, just as affirmative action arguments urged proportional representation for racial and ethnic minorities and women, Jacoby writes, 'Conservatives now add political orientation, based on voting behavior, to the mix.'

Horowitz wants to place authority for determining what is controversial in the hands of students, not professors.

The bottom line? Those who wish to use the logic of affirmative action to protect their right to choose to be politically conservative cannot turn around and use the same argument to deny rights to lesbians and gay men. The logic behind this attack is flawed, insofar as certain political conservatives now wish to claim status as a "protected class" on the basis of their chosen political methodologies, and perspectives that infringe on voting behavior, to the mix.'

The text of Ohio SB 24 is useful for an examination of Horowitz's "Academic Bill of Rights." The two points alone should give us pause (italics added):

Ohio SB 24, Sec. 3345.80. (A) The institution shall provide its students with a learning environment in which the students have access to a broad range of serious scholarly opinion pertaining to the subjects they study. In the humanities, the social sciences, and the arts, the fostering of a plurality of serious scholarly methodologies and perspectives shall be a significant institutional purpose. In addition, curricula and reading lists in the humanities and social studies shall respect all human knowledge in these areas and provide students with dissenting sources and viewpoints.

The last sentence violates the standard of current professional practices, and would render any course useless insofar as the students would be overburdened with material and nothing useful could be said about anything. The choice of what to teach has always been the prerogative of the professor, and this legislation would remove that responsibility from those of us who have earned our credentials. Finally, this clause makes it clear that the humanities, social sciences, and the arts are particularly targeted by such legislation.

The relevant clause from the 1940 AAPU Statement on Academic Freedom (www.aappu.org/statements/Redbook/1940that.htm) reads: "Teachers are entitled to freedom in the classroom in discussing their subject, but they should be careful not to introduce into their teaching controversial matter which has no relation to their subject." A sentence from the 1970 Interprative Comments glosses the original: "The protection of this statement does not discourage what is controversial. Controversy is at the heart of the free academic inquiry which the entire statement is designed to foster. The passage serves to underscore the need for teachers to avoid persistently intruding material which has no relation to their subject." The ends to which Horowitz uses this statement do not reflect the spirit of the 1940 AAPU Statement: like much of the "Academic Bill of Rights," Horowitz wants to place authority for determining what is controversial in the hands of students, not professors.

In conclusion, let me simply urge us to take the issue seriously, as many of us have done in the states that have defeated this legislation. The response to this assault has been swift, thanks to our colleagues, the AAU and many others — and the battle is not yet over. Nonetheless, faculty members have been successfully charged with harassment on the basis of these arguments, and tenureless faculty are at great risk. The defense of academic freedom in the name of that principle, has great potential to undermine precisely what we do: teach our students how to think critically, empathically, and thoughtfully about theology and religion.
Scholars Face Increasing Challenges from Believers

Mary McGee, Columbia University

WASHINGTON Post in 2004. What was called into question here was not just the responsible scholarship of these scholars, but the meaning and value of academic freedom for our times.

While criticism of our scholarship is necessary to make us wealthier, the criticism of these three scholars and their books has been especially vicious and biased. The denunciation of their respective studies has been, to a large extent, uninformed by methods of critical reading and analysis cultivated not only in scholarly circles but also found at the heart of liberal arts education and central to what most of us do, namely, teaching in an academic setting.

The recent criticisms of these three scholars of Indian religious traditions have largely been voiced by self-identified adherents of Hinduism, sparked mostly by voices within the Hindu-American community. While we want to be attentive to the identity politics of members of the Hindu community within North America and abroad, we also are aware that the criticism and concerns as articulated by the loudest and most adamant are not shared by all Hindus, nor are they representative of all Hindus. But even if they are a minority voice, we cannot and should not dismiss them, as exasperating as they may be.

What do we do with this kind of challenge? Ignore it? Respond to it? Question it? Analyze it? Defend ourselves? Defend our profession? Invite the voices of our opponents into the classroom?

Being the responsible scholars we are, we have not ignored the controversy but have attended to the criticisms, trying to better understand the background, the contexts, the politics, the issues, the questions, the fears that have led to this kind of political targeting of scholars, particularly concerning the study and representation of Hindu religious traditions.

We listen. And if we choose to, enter into dialogue on these concerns with adherents of the traditions, with our students, and with those who criticize us for misrepresenting their histories, their truths. As scholars, our job — and the responsibility that comes with it — would or should lead us to analyze the nature and structure of this discourse, as well as the broad influence of the debates against these scholars and their work. But it is not so easy to respond rationally when your life is threatened or your collaborator in research has been black-faced by an angry mob in India. While some of us may respond with outrage, others among us gather protectively around our colleagues, some acting as mediators. In defending their work, we are protecting one of the core values of our profession, academic freedom.

Over the past 20 years the teaching and study of Hindu traditions in the United States has changed in significant ways due to increased contact and interaction with Hindus in the United States and in the classroom. Fifty years ago someone could publish a scholarly monograph on India or Hinduism without venturing out of the United States or meeting a Hindu face to face. Not so today. Twenty-five years ago, that face-to-face interaction between non-Hindu American scholars and Hindus took place mainly in India; today that exchange can take place in an Internet cafe in Austin, Texas, a taxi in New York City, a classroom at Santa Monica Community College, a temple in Flint, Michigan, or at the Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Religion. And the richness of that interaction has deepened with increasing numbers of scholars of South Asian heritage taking up the study of religion, some of whom have also been targets of censure by members of religious communities with which they self-identify. This increased personal interaction has necessarily contributed to how we think, write, and teach about Hindu traditions, including how we (re)conceptualize and even name these traditions.

This example points to an on-going conflict within higher education surrounding the expectations of donors who seek to influence or build curriculum through their endowment, but who have a limited understanding of the role academic freedom plays in shaping course content, faculty research, and curriculum.

What is our responsibility as scholars and teachers to our critics, to adherents, to our students? Do we integrate the challenge into our scholarship and teaching, and if so, how? How do we protect the freedom of ideas and their exploration? Should we teach differently about our subject depending on the cultural, ethnic, political, or religious sensitivities of our audience? Should we reconceptualize the critical study of religion?

The challenging of liberal thinkers by conservatives is not new, neither is the challenge to scholarly authority by religious authorities, adherents, or politicians. If this kind of criticism is not new, what has changed? Is there something new that has affected the “rules of engagement,” as a teacher?

Some of the criticism that scholars and our field of religious studies have faced stems from a certain naiveté or misunderstanding about the role of the university and scholar-educators. Those Hindus who decry Jeffrey Kripal’s reading of Ramakrishna or Paul Courtright’s interpretations of Ganesha misread these studies as efforts to demean or embarrass Hindus, using the authority of the academy to advocate one particular ideology or interpretation over all others. In this later sense, they are not unlike the North Carolina legislators who sought to end funding of religious studies over the University of North Carolina’s choice of Michael Sell’s book on the Koran as common summer reading for all new incoming students. As their religious texts, history, icons, practices, beliefs and values. Not only do we need to examine these concerns, but we need to teach and model for our students how one responds to this kind of criticism, even when it offends.

Most of us do not want to deny adherents their freedom to express their opinions, their sentiments, their hurt, and their rage about perceived misrepresentations of their beliefs or traditions — nor do we want to silence or censor these voices even as we try to make clear our roles as scholars and teachers. What is our responsibility as scholars, as teachers, as a university?

In the midst of recent controversies at Columbia University (allegations that some Middle Eastern studies instructors slanted their teaching with anti-Israeli politics) and at Harvard University (Lawrence Summers’s comments on differences between men and women), the New York Times excerpted pertinent passages from a commentary on academic freedom posted by the British sociologist Frank Furedi on the Web site “Spiked” (www.spiked-online.com, 2/17/05). Furedi writes, “One of the roles of the university is to challenge conventional truths — and that means academics questioning the sacred and mentioning the ‘unmentionable.’” Many a scholar of religion — never intending disrespect — has been charged with offending their religious texts, history, icons, practices, beliefs and values. Not only do we need to examine these concerns, but we need to teach and model for our students how one responds to this kind of criticism, even when it offends.

Most of us do not want to deny adherents their freedom to express their opinions, their sentiments, their hurt, and their rage about perceived misrepresentations of their beliefs or traditions — nor do we want to silence or censor these voices even as we try to make clear our roles as scholars and teachers. What is our responsibility as scholars, as teachers, as a university?

In the midst of recent controversies at Columbia University (allegations that some Middle Eastern studies instructors slanted their teaching with anti-Israeli politics) and at Harvard University (Lawrence Summers’s comments on differences between men and women), the New York Times excerpted pertinent passages from a commentary on academic freedom posted by the British sociologist Frank Furedi on the Web site “Spiked” (www.spiked-online.com, 2/17/05). Furedi writes, “One of the roles of the university is to challenge conventional truths — and that means academics questioning the sacred and mentioning the ‘unmentionable.’” Many a scholar of religion — never intending disrespect — has been charged with offending
the sensibilities and beliefs of the faithful by our very questioning of the sacred; going beyond merely mentioning the unmentionable, we subject it to scrutiny and analysis and publish our conclusions. As respectful as we might be of the religions we study, we must anticipate that some believers will be offended by our work. Those believers may be in our classroom, within our community, or among our readers. I agree with Furedi that “a proper university teaches its members how not to take hateful views personally and how not to be offended by uncomfortable ideas. It also teaches it members how to deal with being offended.” As student orientation each year, we instruct, “if you have not been offended during your course of study here at Columbia, we have not done our job.” But the job does not stop there; we have a responsibility to teach students how to respond when their beliefs are offended, their assumptions challenged, their opinions dismissed. And we as teachers should model our sensibilities and beliefs of the faithful by engaging in the conflicts and twists and turns of academic freedom.
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Institutions of Higher Education, Whether or Not They Have a Religious Affiliation, Share a Commitment to Academic Freedom, and the Rights and Responsibilities Attending that Freedom.

Yet, if that academic freedom is not protected, we will lose not only great teachers—like Laine, Courtright, and Kripal—but great ideas and the discoveries that emerge from intellectual debate and discourse that can transform our world for the better.

Jonathan Cole, former provost at Columbia University, in an essay in the Chronicles of Higher Education last year (9/9/05), lamented “few academic leaders . . . are rising to the defense of academic freedom.” He advises that we must “recognize the constancy of the current attacks, analyze carefully the bases for them, scrutinize evidence on their incidence and consequences and organize a defense of the university against these threats.”

The board of the American Academy of Religion has taken a step in this direction by proposing a statement on academic freedom and teaching religion. This statement can go a long way to demonstrate support for our members, as well as to bolster the efforts by institutions to support and defend faculty members faced with campaigns to discredit their scholarship, especially when these institutions are under tremendous pressure from alumni, the media, and donors. While academic freedom is widely misunderstood, so is the study of religion misunderstood, not just by the general public but also by many of our colleagues within the academy. In a world with heightened awareness about the political power of religious belief, it behoves us to vigorously embrace academic freedom and to help our students, as well as religious adherents and communities, understand that the study of religion, pursued under the rubric of academic freedom, expands more than it restricts understanding and respect for religions and our fellow human beings. “
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Painful criticism with dignity, integrity, and open-mindedness, demonstrating the significance of this experience as another opportunity to learn.

While the climate has changed for scholars teaching not only about Hindu traditions but also about religion, culture, and identity more broadly, what has not changed, I would argue, is our mission and core values as scholars and teachers within higher education. Institutions of higher education, whether or not they have a religious affiliation, share a commitment to academic freedom, and the rights and responsibilities attending that freedom.

Most of our institutions draw on, indeed many quote directly from, the 1940 statement of principles on academic freedom and tenure crafted by the Association of American Colleges and the American Association of University Professors. In the section on “Academic Freedom” in this statement we are told that as university teachers we “should remember that the public may judge [our] profession and [our] institution by [our] utterances.” We have seen disavowal with one scholar’s work used as a criticism of Hinduism in the United States in the most general way. A headline on Religions.com captures this judgment: “U.S. Hinduism Studies: A Question of Shoddy Scholarship,” with this subheading summarizing the article’s content, “criticism of crude academic writing on Hinduism is coming from the community because it is not present in the academic world” (www.religions.com/Tory/060410_1.html). This judgment extends to the institutions at which the accused scholars teach, and critics have suggested that they have been helped to avoid this kind of situation, the AAUP statement goes on to advise that scholars “should at all times be accurate, should exercise appropriate restraint, and should show respect for the opinions of others.”

But what constitutes accuracy in a field of study that examines beliefs realized alongside historical realities; that studies theological truths alongside sociological facts; that analyzes psychological phenomena next to observable phenomena and behaviors? The University of Texas at Dallas faculty handbook states that one of the primary duties of faculty members is to use their professional expertise to benefit society; some of the critics of American scholarship on Hinduism have argued that our scholarship is doing just the opposite. Writing in the Chronicle of Higher Education in February 2006, two of our colleagues contributed to a growing endowment for Sikh studies chairs in North America claim that the occupants of three of the Sikh chairs are using their positions “to portray a twisted version of the Sikh traditions.” Instead of promoting the study of Sikh traditions, they have digressed into challenging the authenticity of the Sikh holy scriptures... and are now ardously working at creating chaos in the realm of Sikh philosophy and religion. The authors, in asking whether “Sikh Chairs [are] serving the Sikh interests for which they were established,” conclude that “the right move, in our opinion, is to bring all these so-called Sikh Chairs under strict scrutiny. We must insist that they serve the Sikh interest using honest academic freedom.” This example points to an ongoing conflict within higher education surrounding the expectations of donors who seek to influence or build curriculum through their endowment, but who have a limited understanding of the role academic freedom plays in shaping course content, faculty research, and academic decision making.

In light of accusations from students that certain instructors within Columbia’s Middle Eastern studies program were using their classrooms to advocate pro-Palestinian viewpoints, the faculty at my home institution has reexamined not only our grievance procedures but our statements on academic freedom, as well as the corequisite responsibilities of teaching and research that go hand in hand with that freedom. The statement on academic freedom in our faculty handbook resembles those at most other institutions. Yet two proposed additions to our statement on academic freedom respond to concerns directly relevant to the climate on many U.S. campuses today. One proposed addition or principle addresses perceptions by students that any material taught by an instructor that offends a student is necessarily biased or a form of harassment. The other proposed addition addresses the increasing pressure of certain orthodoxies that seek to define their way onto campus or into academic venues via media, politics, or funding sources.

When Columbia was faced with charges, from within and outside, of bias or misrepresentation in the classroom, the university went back to its core values to remind our students, the public, and us of our mission and responsibilities. In doing so we have proposed ways to articulate those values more clearly in the current political climate. In teaching religion in troubled times, be that in the face of identity politics, uninformatted critics, smear campaigns, sheer ignorance, hate mail, wrongful accusations, humiliation, terrorism, threats to faculty tenure, and yes, even death threats, it is the principles of academic freedom and integrity that we hold on to and it is the core values of our institutions of learning and our community of scholars that compel us to stay and teach, orienting ourselves and our students.
We are all aware of the culture wars in higher education. What is new is how increasing-ly personal those wars have become, with the targeting of individual departments and individual scholars. The September-October 2005 Academe, the bulletin of the American Association of University Professors, was dedi-cated to precisely this issue. Cover stories include articles titled “Defending Academic Freedom: Stories about Fighting Back,” “Conservative Critiques, Liberally Funded,” and even “Is Scholar-Activism Possible?” Perhaps more tellingly, this issue of Academe announces the launch of a new feature called “Fighting Back,” in which individuals are invited to submit their own stories in support of academic freedom.

In this article, I outline three ways in which scholars of religion are being target-ed, suggest that information about these methods of targeting is critical for those interested in academic freedom, and even “Is Scholar-Activism Possible?” Perhaps more tellingly, this issue of Academe announces the launch of a new feature called “Fighting Back,” in which individuals are invited to submit their own stories in support of academic freedom.

Denominational Pressures on Scholars: Southern Seminary as Case Study

One method of targeting scholars is through nonprofit organizations that have grown up in various communities, some with overt religious ties and others not. Two of these organizations use direct mail, others use the Internet as their primary means of communication, and some combine their approaches. Two organizations serve as excellent examples.

The American Council of Trustees and Alumni (ACTA)

The American Council of Trustees and Alumni (ACTA), launched by former National Endowment for the Humanities chairperson Lynne V. Cheney, communi-cates through a Web site, among other means. According to its mission state-ment, this organization works with college and university trustees to ensure responsible management of higher education resources, end grade inflation, establish a solid core curriculum, and promote intellectual diversity on campus.

The University of North Carolina was the subject of ACTA criticism in its report Governance in the Public Interest: A Case Study of the University of North Carolina System. Critical in the recommendations made by ACTA was the suggestion that the size of the board needed to be reduced, local trustees needed to be given more authority, and that the governor rather than the general assembly should select members of the UNC Board of Governors. ACTA President Anne Neal was quoted in local news coverage citing on the ACTA Web site as saying, “The governor is essentially not at the table . . . . The power to appoint is the power to lead. This would allow one person to set the agenda and take responsibility.”

More than any other substantive critique of UNC, it is this governance recommen-dation that is most telling. The commonal-ities between the actions taken to gain control of Southern Baptist institutions of higher education in the ’70s, ’80s, and ’90s and this governance recommendation for UNC, another institution of higher education in the South, bear striking resemblance.

Cardinal Newman Society (CNS)

A second example of a nonprofit dedicated to changing the course of higher education, in this case Catholic higher education, can be seen in the organization called the Cardinal Newman Society, known as CNS. Founded by Patrick J. Reilly, CNS posted a solicitation letter on its Web site (www.cardinal newmansociety.org/Publications/Action_Alerts/HeresyLink.pdf) as “a non-profit, non-partisan, tax-exempt educational organization under Internal Revenue Section 501(c)(3).”

The introduction to Choosing the Right College, written by William J. Bennett, does not mince words in describing what he con-siders to be the ails of higher education:

There is growing evidence that many American universities are neglecting their duty to educate. The widespread abandonment of academic standards and moral discipline, the politicization of all aspects of campus life, and the de-construction of academic disciplines have devastated the traditional mission of the liberal arts curriculum. In too many class-rooms, radical professors teach their stu-dents that Western thought is suspect, that Enlightenment ideals are inherently oppressive, and that the mystique of the academic profession is not “relevant” to our time. The result is not education, but confusion — over the importance of knowledge, the universality of the human experience, the transcendence of ideals and principles.

The ISI guide asserts, “Among features unique to the ISI Guide are lists of the best professors on each campus. Similarly, we tell you the best and the worst depart-ments. . . . Choosing the Right College is a blueprint to what’s best — and what’s worst — in American higher education.” It lists what it considers its “best” professors, presumably inferring that it has made an assessment of all professors in a given department. An easy search of a college Web site would presumably reveal the names of those not considered “the best” by the guide.

Academic departments targeted in the ISI guide often include women’s studies, eth-nic studies, and religious studies:

The most ideologically driven department at Amherst is women and gender studies (WGS), which, according to the editor, is the only department where “your lose IQ points.”

Religious studies departments around the country are notoriously politicized and

See MOODY p. 51
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Mr. Quinn's struggles reflect the rising influence of religious groups over the teaching of their faiths at secular colleges, despite concerns about academic freedom. U.S. universities have usually hired religious studies professors regardless of whether they practiced or admired the faiths they researched. But some universities are bending to the views of private donors and state legislators by hiring the faithful.

“If you want to succeed in Mormon studies you have to make compromises and you have to tread gently,” says Colleen McDannell, a professor of American religious history at the University of Utah. “Michael would not do that.”

W. Rolfe Kerr, commissioner of education for the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, the faith's formal name, said Mr. Quinn is “hugely regarded in his discipline” and the church would not “campaign against him” for any academic post. However, Mr. Kerr said, “there may be a perception” of Mr. Quinn in the Mormon community “that would cause him, in the eyes of some, to be less acceptable.”

Some professors at both state universities that rejected Mr. Quinn say fear of offending Mormons played a role. Deans at the universities deny that.

In the 1970s, some universities pioneered the idea of privately funded professorships in specific religions by establishing Judaic-studies chairs. Now many universities have chairs in the same faiths ranging from Islam to Sikhism. They are usually underwritten by donors of the same religion, who generally believe that the scholar filling the chair will be sympathetic to the faith.

Former Princeton University president William G. Bowen says there are similar issues in many other areas of academic study such as unionism, which is why university presidents and trustees prefer professorships to cover broader areas. “What the university shouldn’t do is allow the donor control over the hire or the curriculum,” says Mr. Bowen, who is now president of the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation.

“Every single department of religion is negotiating with religious communities in new ways,” says Laurie Patton, chairwoman of the religion department at Emory University, a private, secular school in Atlanta. In 1999, the Aquinas Center, a Roman Catholic organization affiliated with Emory, agreed to endow a new chair in Catholic moral theology in Jordan of the University of Notre Dame for the post. But the board of the Aquinas Center objected, according to Emory faculty members and Victor Kramer, a former Aquinas board member and executive director. Professor Jordan is homosexual and wrote a critical history of Catholicism’s attitude toward sodomy.

Emory shifted Professor Jordan to a university-funded position in religion that wasn’t specific to Catholicism, according to Mr. Kramer and Barbara DeConcini, who headed the faculty search committee. Plans for the chair were shelved. An Emory spokesman says the center was concerned it might not be able to afford the gift.

The school of religion at Claremont Graduate University, a private institution in Claremont, California, has raised $2.5 million, pledged primarily by California Muslims, for a new endowed professorship in Islamic studies. It hired a Muslim last year to fill it. Claremont has plans to raise funds for at least seven more religious chairs — in Mormonism, Hinduism, Zoroastrianism, Catholicism, Protestantism, Judaism, and Coptic Orthodoxy.

For each position, Claremont has established an advisory council composed mainly of believers. Councils are expected to raise funds and have a voice in selecting scholars via a representative on the search committee. “We don’t want any bomb-throwers” in the chairs, says Karen Torjesen, dean of Claremont’s religion school.

Emory’s Professor DeConcini, who at the time was executive director of the American Academy of Religion, the main association of professors in the field, says Claremont’s approach is “potentially fraught with difficulties for academic freedom.” Claremont officials say they are preserving academic freedom because the university, not the search committee, makes the final hiring decision. Harvard University’s divinity school is closing its recruiting in the field of evangel- ical theological studies funded by Alonzo McDannell, an evangelical Christian and former White House staff director who runs a Michigan investment group. Mr. McDannell says the scholars should be “understanding and empathetic” toward evangelical traditions. Harvard’s general counsel advised the school that it could legally ask job applicants about their religious beliefs. The 1964 Civil Rights Act bars religious discrimination in hiring at secular schools. The school’s faculty recently recommended hiring a specialist in evangelical history whose work is unlikely to ruffle the faithful, say faculty members.

Larger Presence

Mormon studies are growing in popularity as the church expands. It now boast 6.5 million members in the U.S. and 125 million worldwide. Mormons are becoming a larger presence at secular universities now that church-run BYU has capped its enrollment because of limited resources. Like many minority religious groups, Mormons have faced a history of prejudice that shapes their identity today. A mob assassinated the faith’s founder, Joseph Smith, in 1844 and the federal government rounded Mormons with troops and punitive legislation.

Mr. Quinn’s battles with the church and BYU overshadowed his career. Born in Pasadena, California, he is a seventh-generation Mormon on his mother’s side. She raised him in her faith after his Catholic father divorced her. Mr. Quinn became curious about Mormon history in high school, when a friend gave him a memoir about a Mormon leader who practiced polygamy after the church banned the practice in 1890. “I was jarred by the reality that there could be a public stance and private behavior that contradicted each other,” he says.

After graduating from BYU, Mr. Quinn earned his doctorate at Yale, and then joined the BYU faculty in 1976. He buried himself in the church archives, typing thousands of pages of notes that would provide raw material for his articles and books.

Such research ran into head winds in the 1980s as the church restricted access to documents. Boyd Parker, one of the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles that rules the church, declared in a 1981 speech that writing and teaching about church history “may be a faith destroyer.”

Sensitive Subjects

Mr. Quinn nonetheless published articles on sensitive subjects such as one in 1985 that suggested church leaders tolerated polygamy after officially prohibiting it. He says BYU restricted his research and denied him travel money. In 1988, he resigned from the university. BYU says it didn’t force him to go.

Five years later, the president of his Salt Lake City campus — a Mormon administrative unit composed of five to ten congregations— handed Mr. Quinn a letter citing examples of his alleged apostasy. They included his public criticism of the church for limiting dissent and an article maintaining that Joseph Smith treated Mormon women more equally than the church does today. He was soon excommunicated along with four other scholars.

Mr. Quinn's personal life contributed to his estrangement from the church. The father of four was divorced in 1985 and came out publicly as a homosexual in 1996 when he published a book about same-sex friendships and romances in nineteenth-century Mormonism. The church condemns homosexual behavior. Mr. Quinn says he still believes in the “fundamentals” of Mormonism but does not practice the faith.

Supporting himself on research grants and fellowships, Mr. Quinn cemented his scholarly reputation by publishing four books on Mormon history between 1994 and 1998, including a two-volume study of the church’s interactions with politics and American society. In 1999, he began pursuing a full-time faculty job, to no avail. Few secular schools at the time sought a specialist in Mormonism.

In 2003, when he was a visiting professor at Yale University, BYU threatened to withdraw funding for a conference it was co-sponsoring with Yale on Mormonism if Mr. Quinn was allowed to speak there, according to the conference’s organizer, Kenneth West. Noel Reynolds, a longtime BYU administrator and one-time Mormon mission president in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, says the university was concerned that “the conference not be used to promote personalities or personal complaints about the church.” Yale officials insisted on the participation of Mr. Quinn, who ultimately resolved the dispute by agreeing to introduce the keynote speaker rather than give a scholarly paper.

The following year, Mr. Quinn was the only finalist for a tenured professorship in Utah and Mormon history at the University of Utah. At Mr. Quinn’s request, Thomas Alexander, a BYU historian, wrote a recommendation for him. But while Professor Alexander praised him as a scholar and teacher in his recommen- dation, he warned against hiring Mr. Quinn, warning that the Mormon-domi- nated state legislature might cut the university’s funding.

When Mr. Quinn came to the school’s Salt Lake City campus for a job interview, history professor James Clayton hosted a reception for him. Professor Clayton had been Mr. Quinn’s friend for years, and...
joined him in criticizing church censorship. He describes Mr. Quinn as the second-best historian of Mormonism, behind retired Columbia University professor Richard Bushman.

Nevertheless, when Utah’s faculty voted on whether to offer Mr. Quinn the job, Professor Clayton opposed him. Now retired, he says: “There was a concern by several of the department that Mike was not the right person to head up any kind of Mormon history or Mormon studies program given the fact he’s very publicly communicated. There would be quite a number of people in the Mormon community who would look unfavorably on that. That gave me pause.”

Robert Newman, dean of humanities at Utah, says his history department had declined against hiring Mr. Quinn because his research presentation wasn’t strong enough and most of his books weren’t published by university presses. Utah eventually down-graded the opening to an assistant professorship and filled it with an active Mormon church member.

Soon another schoolbeckoned.Arizona State University’s department of religious studies had extended to university administration that Mr. Quinn be offered a one-year appointment for 2004–05. It was starting a doctoral-degree program with a focus on religion in the Americas. Aware that Mr. Quinn was controversial, the faculty took pains to stress to administrators that his scholarship was first-rate, says Tracy Fessenden, a professor of American religions.

A public university with 61,500 students, Arizona State has been cultivating Mormon students and donors — for example, by letting students resume receiving scholarships after they’re excommunicated. In a university missionary work, says ASU president Michael Crow. Many of Arizona’s Mormons, about 6 percent of the state’s population, are concentrated in the Phoenix area near the university.

Ira Fulton, a Mormon home builder in Prescott, Arizona, has given the school about $15 million since 2003. Mr. Fulton says the university has about 3,700 Mormon students and, “I’d like to have 6,000, 7,000, 8,000 or 10,000. They’ll make ASU a better university.”

ASU’s administration vetoed Mr. Quinn’s hiring. Simon Peacock, then associate dean for personnel, says Mr. Quinn lacked expertise to teach Christianity and Judaism courses left uncovered by a professor’s departure. Mr. Peacock says Mr. Quinn’s excommunication was discussed but had no effect on the decision.

However, the chairman of the religious studies department, Joel Gereboff, wrote in an e-mail to faculty that Dean Peacock and another dean asked him to review the “risks and benefits” of the hire and “thought that it is probably not wise to undertake such risks” for a one-year appointment. Professor Gereboff says the deans were referring to the threat of alienating the Mormon community.

Several professors criticized the decision. “What the administration is doing is as wrong as racial or sexual discrimination,” James Foard, a religious studies professor, e-mailed colleagues. The administrators stood their ground.

Professor Gereboff says he could “live with” the deans’ decision. “We exercise sensitivity,” he says. Mr. Fulton, the donor, says he doesn’t get involved in faculty hiring. He calls Mr. Quinn a “nothing person.”

At least three other schools are contemplating chairs in Mormon studies — Claremont Graduate University, the University of Wyoming, and Utah State. At Claremont, the school of religion has nearly completed raising $5 million for a Mormon studies chair, to be headed by Howard W. Hunter, a late president of the church. Nearly all the money has come from Mormon businessmen in the state, the school says. Professor Torjesen, the religion school dean, traveled to church headquarters in Salt Lake City to build rapport with church leaders. The school’s Mormon studies advisory council includes two BYU professors among its dozen members.

Claremont says it prefers that the holder of the chair have access to church archives in Salt Lake City, a privilege sometimes denied dissidents. Mr. Quinn’s access, with or without his excommunication, was restored in 1997 and the church has made more documents available in recent years. Asked whether Mr. Quinn might be hired, Claremont’s associate dean of religion, Patrick Horn, replies: “Probably not.”

At Wyoming, where Mormons comprise about 10 percent of students, a committee headed by a professor of Spanish, Kevin Larsen, is exploring a Mormon studies professorship. Mr. Larsen, himself a Mormon bishop, says he wouldn’t rule out criticizing church leaders that “it’s not going to be a chair of anti-Mormon studies.”

Wyoming is also sponsoring a lecture series on Mormonism. Professor Larsen says the local Mormon stake provided several hundred dollars for the lectures through a Mormon student group.

Utah State has attracted more than 50 donors, most of them Mormons, for a professorship in Mormon history. History chairman Norman Jones says it’s premature to discuss job candidates. He says the university will look for “a person who can get along with everybody. We know what the minefields are, and we’re trying to avoid them.”

Mr. Quinn says his only significant income since leaving Yale was a $40,000 bequest from a Los Angeles doctor, contingent on his writing a biography of his late benefactor. So far, he has received $15,000, with the balance to come when the book is finished.

In the meantime, Mr. Quinn sleeps on a futon in his mother’s condominium and doesn’t have health insurance, car repairs or Internet access. His library of books on Mormon and American social history lies boxed up in her garage and closets.

Write to Daniel Golden at dan.golden@nytimes.com.
I T’S RARE that I get an e-mail accusing me of being a Nazi, much less an expletive-laden one, but those were the words that stared back at me as I stopped by my office to check my e-mail after a particularly long day of teaching. The message immediately following that one had a subject line that read “anti-Semitic leftist professors.”

I was at the end of my first semester of teaching Middle Eastern history at a large research university in the South. Like any new faculty member, my anxieties revolved primarily around not breaking the PowerPoint projector, not being mistaken yet again for a graduate student instead of a professor, and not spilling spinach dip on the dean at one of the innumerable faculty mixers held at the beginning of the academic year. Hate mail wasn’t on the list.

Since neither of the letters specified exactly what I had done to place myself in the ranks of someone who, as one of the letters put it, “shoved Jews into the ovens at Dachau,” it took me a couple of days of inquiries and some Google searching to figure out what was going on.

Two weeks earlier, I had spoken on a panel about the Israeli occupation of Palestine. It was on the closing night of a week-long Palestinian film festival called “Life under Occupation,” sponsored jointly by a few human-rights groups on the campus and a Palestinian advocacy group for which I am the faculty adviser. The group is a university-approved student organization that aims to educate and raise awareness about the plight of Palestinians living under Israeli rule. Similar organizations are found on many American campuses.

The students had been trying without success for close to a year to find a faculty adviser. Some of the people who had been asked to serve as the group’s adviser were just too busy. Others apparently were nervous about having their names associated with a Palestinian group, even one dedicated to a just and peaceful resolution to the conflict for both Jews and Palestinians.

At the time, I confess I thought those people to be slightly paranoid. I’m now a little more understanding.

I wish I could say I became a target because of my passionate feats of oratorical brilliance and advocacy on the panel. In fact, overtired and underprepared, I said a few words about the humanitarian costs of the occupation on Palestinians and the necessity of a just political solution. Then I went home to catch up on some sleep.

A student in the audience who is the head of a pro-Israel group on the campus was apparently more impressed with my performance than I was. She wrote an article that appeared on a right-wing Web site, identifying me as someone who condoned terrorism and objecting to my use of the term “occupation” to describe Israel’s military presence in the West Bank.

That’s when the e-mails began arriving. I know now I was naive not to have expected something like this. Being a scholar of anything having to do with Islam, the Middle East, or the Arab world has become, in the post-9/11 era, a full-contact sport.

Charging Middle East scholars with “anti-Semitism,” “liberal bias,” and “support for terrorism” has become (in fashion parlance) the new black of right-wing political discourse. Entire Web sites are devoted to exposing academia with expertise on the Middle East as dangerous radicals who pose a threat to the young minds of America. I have seen many of my professors, colleagues, and friends over the past few years placed on such blacklists.

The message to those of us who believe there must be room for ethical and reasoned debate on American involvement in Iraq, on the Israeli occupation, and on the war on terror has never been clearer: “We are watching you. And we’re going to take you down.” I never thought I would be immune to it. I just thought I would have a little more time before it happened to me.

I’m luckier than many other young scholars who have found themselves in this situation. My departmental colleagues have been supportive, both personally and professionally. They reassure me that they will back me up when I get called into the dean’s office some day because angry alumni and donors write letters asking why my institution allows student groups that “promote terrorism” to operate on the campus.

My supporters also let me know when faculty members in other departments — people whom I’ve never met, seen, or spoken to — write letters urging the department to help purge the campus of dangerous viewpoints and the faculty members who espouse them. But my colleagues have also pointed out that, as an untenured faculty member, I am vulnerable. Just don’t do anything “stupid” in your classes, they caution, and you’ll probably be all right.

It’s good advice, of course. But I have to ask myself, “What does it mean?” I do stupid things in my class all the time. I suspect every new teacher does. I forget to put the week’s readings on the Web in time for the students to read them. There’s always one student every semester whose name I continually get wrong. I snap at a student who is repeatedly disruptive in class instead of calling him into my office for a calm, rational talking-to about his behavior.

Still, I get my colleagues’ messages. Somewhere between teaching students to try to think critically about the world and their place in it, and giving students a reading, delivering a lecture, or asking them to discuss issues that might land me in the middle of a public witch hunt, there’s a line that can’t be crossed. The problem is that no one can tell me where that line is.

Plenty of resources out there tell untenured professors how to teach, how to get grants, and how to balance the pedagogical side of their career with the imperative to publish. But there’s nothing that explains how to negotiate the road to tenure in a climate that is increasingly hostile to the meat and potatoes of liberal-arts education — classroom exposure to, and engagement with, alternative ideas.

So I stand in front of my class. I think about the articles I won’t write and the book I won’t publish if I inadvertently take a wrong step and have to spend all of my time defending my integrity as a scholar and a teacher to the university administration. I think of my partner having to deal, day after day, with a grumpy, depressed, and anxious spouse. I think of the career that I dreamed about during endless years of graduate school and dissertation writing that might be destroyed. It is in that moment that I choose between educating my students and saving my own hide. And it is in that moment that those who want to stifle debate on campus won. They don’t need to get me fired to shut me up. I’m already doing it to myself.

And I know I’m not alone. I talk all the time with untenured friends and colleagues about how our attempts to be cautious in the classroom, both personally and professionally, translate into self-censorship. We also share our feelings of anger and frustration that the political agendas of a few well-placed, well-organized people can dictate how we do a job that we’ve spent years training for. Yet in those feelings of anger and frustration I find reason to hope.

Because it means that, despite the uncertainty and anxiety that come with teaching controversial subjects in an inhospitable intellectual climate, we haven’t given up on the idea that it’s still our job to teach students that the world is a messy and complicated place; a place that is not easily reducible to simple political platitudes or clichés about “us” and “them.” When that struggle becomes less important than getting tenure or leading a comfortable life, I know it will be time to start looking for another line of work.

Leah Bowman is the pseudonym of an assistant professor who teaches Middle East history at a research university in the South.

Being a scholar of Islam, the Middle East, or the Arab world has become a full-contact sport

Leah Bowman

This article was originally published in the April 10, 2006, issue of the Chronicle of Higher Education. Reprinted with permission.
I N WHAT FOLLOWS we hope to sketch an outline to building constructive and collegial relationships between Hindu and non-Hindu in the academy and outside. For us, the cumulative effect of everyday acts of understanding and cooperation between Hindu and non-Hindu has the deeper power to shape our world.

Interlogue: a new way of expressing a classical concept

We begin with some questions that break down the categories that currently dominate the language of the debate about the representation of Hinduism. We start with these questions because we are interested in the concrete reality of people being Hindu and non-Hindu, and not merely as essentialized beings called “Hindu” or “non-Hindu.” While people may refer to themselves in broad ways in these categories, we believe that there is no simple dichotomy to be resolved between a Hindu and a non-Hindu identity. Instead of a dichotomized identity, we have found in our everyday experience that a complex engagement of people with multiple identities is truly available to people. And we wish to talk about such possible engagement as an “interlogue.” This term would be one way to translate samvada, usually translated as colloquy, or dialogue, in order to bring all of its complexity in the Sanskrit tradition. Samvada implies the idea of a transformation through existentially engaged conversation. In the samvadas of early and classical India, there may be two or more speakers, but the participants are many — witnesses, audiences, purifiers, and detractors. This “interlogue” can and does exist between people in various complex historical circumstances.

Queries

First, we challenge the opposition of Hindu/non-Hindu. We feel that there are endless practical examples that make such distinction meaningless and unnecessarily oppositional — spouses, children, gurus, disciples, teachers, students move happily and unremarkably across the apparent Hindu/non-Hindu divide. Moreover, at a more “official” religious level, both in India and in the diaspora, many caste and sect groupings have reworked the sense of being Hindu to the point of asserting a new form of Hinduism. This is certainly the case with ISKCON identity in the United States or Munda identity in India. Some groups actually are no longer counting themselves Hindu at all (like certain forms of Dalit society). In addition, we observe that there are many Western academics with committed Hindu lifestyles — doctrinally, practically, but most of all, intellectually. The diversity of ways in which one can be Hindu and non-Hindu raises questions about drawing dividing lines.

Next, we want to challenge the overconfident way many go about “representing the other.” In contrast, we find it an obligation to constantly wonder who represents whom, and how. A nonessentialized view of “the other” would recognize complexities in such labels as “imperialist” and “subaltern,” and not use them so easily and confidently. To take two salient examples: We would be suspicious of a Hindu software professional in the United States being classified (or identifying himself or herself) as a “subaltern”; on the other hand, we would be equally suspicious of classifying as “fundamentalist” an Indian Hindu who is offended by a slight — intended or otherwise — to his or her tradition. Neither category works in any meaningful way.

Many might wonder why Hindus in the United States feel themselves marginalized within Western academic and media representations of Hinduism, when they are wealthy and well-educated themselves. But we cannot also not deny that for Hindus there is a genuine historical and structural problem of knowledge and power. We are far from being in a world of truly de-centered and pluralistic sensibilities, and marginalization can be experienced in different ways. From this and other examples, we would like to suggest that all sides should recognize a diversity of “subalternities.”

To recognize this diversity of subalternities, we think it is important to engage in a constant process of moral inven- tory about our own intellectual practices. Here we might introduce the Hindu term samkalpa, an inventory of “intentions” or “expectations.” What are our intentions in introducing the Hindu term samkalpa, an inventory of intention, as discussed in the Yoga Sutras and other early Indian texts concerning meditation and enlightenment. The word samkalpa carries with it both the connotation of “conceptions” formed in the mind or heart, as well as “intentions” or “expectations.” What are our intentions in beginning any endeavour, whether it be the jana marga of Hindu knowledge or some larger knowledge of Hinduism in a global context, and how can we assess their relative ability to be modified if we discover bias? The determination of the literal prejudice of our investigations is a standard procedure in classical Indian philosophy. By articulating and facing what we find most challenging — the view of the other, real or imagined — we strengthen our own position.

It is an astonishingly difficult thing to let the other speak. Most of us are guilty of not paying attention to uncom- fortable voices, which we exclude by appealing to deeply held if vague notions of standards — of fairness or sympa- thy or rigor or something else — which the other is deemed as failing to meet. So, in the process of represent- ing the other, we want to know whether we have patiently taken up all the views on offer, and engaged with them before we proceed to our own ideas.

Fourth, we want to challenge the claim of any single ideology, including liberalism, to be the sole and incon- testable ideology of interpretation. Rather, we are commit- ted to exploring the tensions between liberalism and pluralism. The dominant academic/critical ideology used by Hindus and non-Hindus alike is liberalism, which empha- sizes certain values — especially the notion of “free” inquiry and speech, untrammeled by constraints on the individual. Exclusive liberalism is committed to the rejection of values and symbols that do not conform to its own; instead, it asks for their defeat. To put it another way, the study of Hinduism is forced to posture in the “perennial paradox of liberalism.” It must insist on toler- ance and inclusion of others’ religious voices even as it argues with, and even condemns, those religious voices that are not tolerant.

We must ask ourselves: does a pluralist framework of some sort (rather than a purely tolerant one) deliver more intellectual satisfaction to both scholars and adherents and dissolve the paradox of liberalism? Pluralism seeks to guar- antee even conflicting values. Its framework must there- fore rest on metavalues that are neither derived from the liberalism of scholars nor the beliefs of adherents, but can nevertheless accommodate both.

We ask for modes of engagement that deliver tolerance as a practice, and whose appeal comes from pluralism. In genuine pluralism, one acknowledges and allows oneself to be constrained by the sensitivities of the other: thus a Hindu might be constrained by the scholar’s deep com- mitment to rigorous inquiry, while the scholar is con- strained by the Hindu’s equally deep commitment to cul- tural and religious sensibilities. People who are able to count themselves members simultaneously of both commu- nities will of course be able to move freely across these boundaries, but they themselves will be mindful of the need to manifest plurality in their work and life. Scholars, then, should not see pluralism as a weak-kneed surrender of academic responsibility, for it is an insistence on multi- lity that does not sacrifice intellectual integrity. For Hindus, similarly, pluralism should not be seen as an abrogation of faith or religious loyalty.

Principles

In purely intellectual terms, such a demanding plural and liberal discourse, or “interlogue,” between Hindu and non-Hindu must acknowledge both a common reality and the possibility of a profoundly irreducible difference of opinion. In our own conversations, we have found princi- ples in Indian tradition that might allow for both possibil- ities in such an interlogue.

First, Indic traditions have taught us the principle of hold- ing multiple interpretations simultaneously. This is the principle of multiplicity. As early as the fifth century B.C.E. the thinker and lexicographer Vasuki argued that there have been multiple schools of Vedic interpretation, and Indian thinkers have done so ever since.

Second, Indic tradition has taught us that one must always read works in their entire context. This is the prin- ciple of attention to the context of a conversation. The early thinker Jaimini teaches that, if we are to understand...
Free from the deceptions of myth, miracle and fairy tale, ‘Salam – Divine Revelations from the Actual God’ logically and conclusively answers the profound questions about religion that have bewildered mankind since time immemorial, and which have hitherto been explained away as matters of faith.

The faithful and diligent compilation of an ongoing enlightenment that began 19 years ago, SALAM unlocks and explains comprehensively the truths that underlie the workings of the universe:

- The precise reason why the teachings of different religions differ.
- The true cause of all suffering and the divine solution for eliminating it forever.
- The true purpose of life.
- The true nature of God.
- That true religion cannot conflict with the divine gift of reason and instincts for freedom.
- That God justly ordained, without discrimination, only one universal and eternal mode of worship for all humankind - and not incongruent modes of worship of other entities.
- That there cannot be disparate conditions for different people to achieve the same goal – salvation in Heaven.
- That God does not take incarnations, nor sends so-called sons or messengers.
- That prophets are not the chosen few, but the divine enlightenment of God is attainable by anyone who satisfies the conditions for achieving it.
- That such a priceless, eternal issue as Salvation calls for using your wisdom, intelligence and logical reasoning to follow the correct path of God.

Unconnected to any previously existing religion or following, SALAM is a universal book for all humanity. It is a book for those who believe in God and are genuinely seeking their Creator. It is equally a book for the scientist, philosopher, atheist, agnostic, theologian, layperson and all those who hold the misconception that religion and rationality can never meet.

Do not miss out on reading the book that has astounded so many religious scholars. Don’t hesitate to send us your comments or engage in rational debate if you will.
Passages: Life in Retirement

Gordon D. Kaufman, Harvard University

RSN: When did you retire, and how did you pick the event?  

Kaufman: Although I am now 80 years old, there really is no specific date when I ‘retired.’ When I was about 65, I began to think about retirement, but I had no intention of formally retiring then (1990). I wanted to continue teaching for many years, and I had no intention of formally retiring then when I ‘retired.’ When I was about 65, I was thinking about cutting back significantly on the medical care, and I was looking for an opportunity to do something new. The really important reason for retiring then was that Harvard was planning to cut back significantly on the medical care it would offer to people who retired after that year. That settled the matter: though my teaching responsibilities were gradually dropping away, my life would continue in largely the same pattern it had for many years.

RSN: What has been the most significant change in your life since you retired?

Kaufman: This is a rather complicated matter. At the time of my formal retirement Dorothy and I had talked about making some major changes in our living. Our entire life together had been ordered largely by my professional responsibilities and interests. To give an example: I received five sabbatical years for research and writing during my teaching career. These were spent abroad in Europe, Asia, and South Africa, with Dorothy taking care of the children while I worked on my various professional projects. We both gained a lot — and so did our children — by living for extended periods in a variety of cultures; and Dorothy liked to travel. But traveling and living in diverse cultures with small children — and, indeed, giving birth to a child abroad — is never easy. Dorothy’s life was driven in many respects by my professional needs and interests.

So, as we approached my retirement in 1995, we looked forward to a period when Dorothy’s priorities were going to become primary for us. By this time all our children were out of the home, married, and with children of their own; and we planned to do a good bit more traveling in interesting places that we had never seen, both in the United States and abroad. We were going to have great free times together. Before that plan got underway, however, Dorothy died. She had to undergo surgery in early 1996 because of a suspected cancer in her stomach; no cancer was found, however. In June 1997, after successful recovery from her first surgery, we had a wonderful three-day celebration of our 50th wedding anniversary at the seashore with our children and grandchildren present (including our two families who were living in Asia). But then further tests led Dorothy and me to believe that her cancer was active in her stomach, so a second surgery was performed in January 1998. This time she never lived to the hospital: she died — of stroke — three weeks after the surgery. Dorothy’s death had not been anticipated by any of us: up to a few days before her death we were told that all was well, and she would soon be returning home. So we were completely unprepared for her death. All of this, of course, turned my life upside down.

RSN: How did you get yourself back together again? Did your life change completely?

Kaufman: Well, I was now alone, not knowing what to do with myself. The years ahead were those when Dorothy was to take the lead in what we would do, but she never did, and I had no plans. Because of her serious illness, I canceled the course I was to teach in the spring term of 1998, and I occupied myself those months with the children and grandchildren. I found myself unable to read anything serious; for several months I simply could not keep my mind on such matters. But as the spring moved along, I decided that it was important that I teach, in the coming fall, the seminar I had canceled; I feared if I didn’t do that, I might never be able to bring myself to teach again.

In the meantime I was looking around for things to do. I enrolled in a course offered by Hospice, and became for the next three years or so a part-time Hospice volunteer. I looked into the possibility of joining an organization that puts books on tapes for the blind, but decided sitting in a cubicule reading out loud into a microphone for several hours a day was not really what I wanted to do. And so on. One day I remembered that over the years I had wanted to read Plato’s dialogues again. I began reading most of the surviving writings in a yearlong seminar under Robert Calhoun in graduate school, and enjoyed that immensely.) Of course, I had assigned Platonic texts to my students from time to time; but that was just reading Plato in fragments, and I wanted to work through his oeuvre. An opportunity to do that never seemed to come up. When I recalled these desires, I asked myself if this might not be a good way to get back into serious intellectual work. So I began with the Socratic dialogues and found myself entranced. I moved on through a good many of the dialogues, including some of the important later ones. And then I began to find there were other things I also wanted to read — and so (I’m sorry to say) my assignment to myself to read all of Plato remains unfinished; but I hope to return to it sometime and complete it. It was Plato, after all, who had brought me out of the despairing fog in which I lived for some months after Dorothy’s death.

It turned out that my teaching that fall was quite rewarding, and it also helped me get back into the intellectual activities with which I had occupied myself most of my life. So for the next five years (up to the fall of 2004), I taught a seminar each year, gave some lectures from time to time when invited, and also did some writing and publishing of some of the ideas that I had begun to work on again. Then I had another surprise.

RSN: What was that?

Kaufman: No, this surprise turned out to be a happy one. In August 2002 my last living aunt — a favorite person of mine who I usually tried to see when I was in the Bay area of California — was to celebrate her 90th birthday, and her family invited all of the nieces and nephews to come for a grand party. I was pleased to accept, and pleased also that my son Edmund who lived in Oregon planned to drive down for the occasion; we would then drive back together for a visit with his family. During that day-and-a-half trip back to Oregon I suddenly had an idea for a new paper that would extend my thinking about God beyond what I had worked out in In Face of Mystery. (There I had suggested that we should think of God as simply the mystery of creativity — the continuous coming into being of new realities — instead of as a kind of creator-person.) At that moment it occurred to me (for no particular reason) that there are three distinctly different kinds of creativity spoken about in educated circles today: the Big Bang (an inexplicable event); the 14-billion-years-long series of cosmic and biological evolutionary developments through which today’s universe gradually emerged; and finally human creativity here on planet Earth, with all its enormous cultural productivity. What would happen if we spelled out my idea of God-as-creativity in terms of these three modes? That might make an interesting article, I thought. So as we rode I began making notes bearing on this idea. I was quite excited about the possibilities.

When I got around to working on it, though, I discovered the article was not easy to write at all. First, I needed to do quite a bit of research on these three modes of creativity before I could even begin putting it together. Then, it turned out that the article was getting longer and longer, and it had to be put into the wider context of my theology if it was to be intelligible to readers. So it was really a small book that I had on my hands instead of just an article. And it was going to be a lot of work. But I went after it eagerly because I was quite excited about the overall picture that was developing in my mind: I was working on my theology of evolution in much the same way Plato was working on his in the dialogues, and the things I was finding were convincing way than I had previously been able to do. Moreover, this approach brought my theological ideas into intimate contact with the most modern and convincing way of thinking. All of this at just the historical moment in our culture when the old “warfare of science and religion” over evolution was beginning to warm up again. So I became consumed with the
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writing of this new book; Fortress Press published it in the summer of 2004 under the title The Two Religions. To my surprise, I had had one more book in a modest, rural part of the South Island, while attending a suitable university would require moving to a costly North Island city. A significant percentage of New Zealand’s population does not live near a university, so distance study is quite popular, and while distance PhDs are not exactly marketed, I found Victoria University of Wellington most accommodating of my research proposal.

This isn’t an article about how hard it is to be a distance student, quite the contrary; but there are some drawbacks, which are easy enough to imagine. How can you know how well your research compares to other students when most of the work you see is published material. It can be isolating to contemplate queer theology while living, as I do, primarily among farmers and miners. It is particularly difficult to get teaching experience when there is no one around to teach. But other than that it is a positive story, with some lessons that can be learned by all academics.

Clearly, the main reason that distance research works as well as it does is the Internet. When I read of an interesting paper, I log on to the university library and have it as a pdf almost immediately. When I have a question for my supervisor, I send her an e-mail and she even replies within a couple of hours: a convenience which I doubt could be improved upon even if I lived next door to the department. But there is something more fundamental than just efficient resources and communication going on with the Internet: it is not simply a medium or a tool, but a workplace, a space most of us can access wherever we are.

I am ashamed to say that I used to make an explicit connection between the prestige of a person’s institutional affiliation and the quality of his or her work, with distance learning being the last resort of the marginalized. This opinion was always wrong, there being a multiplicity of reasons for studying and/or working at “lesser” institutions, but the transgeographic nature of Internet work-space — cyber-space — makes this opinion even less true.

I want to suggest again that the value of this space is not just about communication, but actually working in it, like an office with an unlimited number of colleagues. When we effectively collaborate online, we inhabit a particular type of space with the real potential to be free from the old constraints of institutions, hierarchies, privilege, and so forth: an actual meritocracy. There is a burgeoning “participatory” or “peer-to-peer” culture (see www.p2pfoundation.net) exploring the nature of effective collaboration which has intellectual, political, creative and, yes, spiritual ramifications that should interest most AAR members. From a theological point of view it is an obvious manifestation of Teilhard de Chardin’s noosphere; from a more political and philosophical direction, Deleuze’s rhizome. Certainly my own experience of working collaboratively in a solely online environment in establishing the forthcoming, open access Journal of Men, Masculinities and Spirituality (www.jmmsweb.org) has been positive.

I would recommend distance study to anyone whose decision to proceed with his or her research might be compromised by not wanting or being able to change location, especially those with the experience and/or confidence to work with less supervision and physical peer support. Is it preferable to be part of an “actual” scholastic community? Maybe. But those who are not may find themselves developing coping-cutting-edge skills via their online communities that stand them in good stead in the continuing changes in information distribution and general living.

Joseph, are you sure you want to log out? Yes.
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Research Briefing

Healing Zen: Japanese Buddhist Women’s Rituals of Transformation
Paula Arai, Carleton College

As she had done for over three decades, after finishing the breakfast dishes, Nogawa-san went to the talisman-adorned and ornately carved Buddhist altar (see picture) that had been in her (husband’s) family for generations to perform her morning ritual of offerings and chanting. She placed a cup of tea in a “Huckleberry Finn” mug on the altar for her ancestors (“Personal Buddhas”) then lit the candle and a stick of incense. The small room began to fill with the aroma of incense when she glanced at the small clock placed between the incense burner and the bell. Noting the time, she called out to her husband to take out the garbage, because they were due to collect it any minute. She then struck the bell and put her hands together in prayer, bowing as she quietly chanted “Namu Amida Butsu” three times. Next she chanted the Heart Sutra from memory. Today she would also chant the whole Kannon Sutra, because it was the 19th anniversary of her having decided to adopt her sister-in-law’s fourth child as her son. He does not know he is adopted. It is all in the family. It is a private anniversary, a chance for her once again to give thanks to her “Personal Buddhas” for assisting her in becoming a mother.

Through assessing their healing paradigm, it becomes clear that experiencing interrelatedness is the key that unlocks all other elements. In short, this “Way of Healing” is the art of choosing to be grateful in the face of fear-driven and torment-ridden possibilities. This way of living and interpreting the world, self, events, and others requires practice and discipline.

An embodied experience of interrelatedness is required for this type of healing to occur. Rituals are a conduit for such experience. Rituals work through the senses to cultivate wisdom in the bones. Unlike narrative discourses on wisdom, which focus on understanding the empty nature of ultimate reality — and hence are sometimes too abstract and cold to comfort someone who is experiencing excruciating pain — rituals can help one feel the connectedness bodily.

Ritualizing activity is not unique to Japanese culture or religion, but the details of how and what activities are ritualized are what give Japanese religiosity its distinctive aesthetic. Zen practice in Japan is a rich arena in which the propensity for ritualizing activities — from holding teacups to removing shoes — occurs where lines of delineating sacred from profane are at best blurred. Ritualized activity in a Sōtō Zen context is not a process of becoming. It is an event of (ideally) actualizing Buddha-nature — mindful that all is interrelated, impermanent, and ultimately empty (of substance and individuation) — in the present moment.

Healing is also not a process of curing or getting "better." It is a mode of experiencing events in the present moment from the perspective of Buddha-nature where compassion neutralizes suffering, though pain may be chronic and death may ensue. This research also reveals how women empower themselves and others as they experience their interrelatedness with all things. This experience is actualized in ritualized activity. Ritualized activity takes such prominence in Japanese Zen due to its embodied (not dichotomizing body and mind) and holistic orientation where even the boundaries of life and death are not divisive, especially in the moments of...
In the Public Interest

Lee Irwin, College of Charleston

**Vine of the Spirits: On the Sacrament of Religious Freedom**

Lee Irwin is Professor of Religious Studies and Chair of the Department of Religious Studies at the College of Charleston. He has an interdisciplinary PhD from Indiana University in folklore, religion, and anthropology, as well as an MA in Religious Studies and an MA in English, also from Indiana University. He has published extensively on Native American religions and on contemporary spirituality in relationship to esotericism and is the author of six books, including The Dream Seekers: Native American Visionary Traditions of the Great Plains (1994) and is the editor of Native American Spirituality: A Critical Reader (2000). He can be reached through www.cofc.edu/rels/irwin3.htm or by e-mail at irwin3@cofc.edu.

IN BRAZIL, Ecuador, Peru, and Colombia, native people have been drinking a tea made with ayahuasca, the “vine of the spirits” or “vine of the soul,” for many centuries. Called hoasca (from the Portuguese), also yagé, caapi, soul,” for many centuries. Called hoasca the “vine of the spirits” or “vine of the

through ritual drinking of hoasca as sacramental tea.

This group, about 8,000 strong in Brazil, opened a small church in Sante Fe, New Mexico, in the 1990s which currently consists of about 130 members. The American leader of this church, Jeffrey Bronfman, a Seagram’s heir, had his Sante Fe home and the church property searched by U.S. customs agents in 1999, when 30 gallons of hoasca tea (containing DMT) were seized and all church members threatened with federal prosecution. Eighteen months later the church, with Bronfman’s support, sued the U.S. government in the District Court of New Mexico, seeking a preliminary injunction against prosecution and the right to continue using hoasca in church ceremonies until the case came to trial. This claim was based on violations of various amendment rights as well as protection under the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA, 1993).

In August 2002, O Centro Espirita was granted its motion under the RFRA claim, with the court rejecting any violation of amendment rights. According to this ruling, UDVM members would be able to import, distribute, and use the tea under strict DEA supervision. While the government tried to stay the injunction, in 2004 the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals (for the second time) ruled in favor of the district judges hearing the case in favor of the preliminary injunction, again stopping the DEA from arresting members or seizing hoasca tea imported from Brazil. In February 2005, the Attorney General’s office filed yet another appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court (Gonzalez v O Centro Espirita), asking for the court to overturn the preliminary injunction. However, in February 2006, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled unanimously in favor (8-0) of UDVM, granting the preliminary injunction.

Chief Justice Roberts, the judges expressed the view that RFRA requires all federal courts to take a case-by-case approach to every claim of infringement on religious freedom by the government and not to make judgments based on generally applicable laws. This recalls the Oregon vs. Smith case (1990), in which the Supreme Court ruled against the NAC use of peyote as a sacrament, claiming that the First Amendment “free exercise of religion” clause did not protect NAC members from CSA federal laws banning peyote. The CSA lists mescaline and “all parts of the plant” as a controlled substance.

In turn, the Smith decision provoked Congress to pass RFRA (1993), as a means to affirm and protect American religious freedom (though it does not mention peyote). In 1994, after the failure of the Native American Free Exercise of Religion Act (NAFERA) to pass in Congress, a supplemental bill, Public Law 103-334, gave federal protection to Native Americans who use peyote in bona fide NAC religious ceremonies; however, Peyote Way leaders who collect and distribute peyote are still required to register annually with the government.

The government’s case against UDVM was entirely unsuccessful. The government conceded that criminalizing hoasca tea did in fact “substantially burden UDVM members’ exercise of religion,” a burden explicitly prohibited by RFRA. The government was thus required to prove that it has a “compelling interest” and that the tea would have the “least restrictive” impact on UDVM religious practice. First, the Supreme Court justices recognized the sincere legitimacy of UDVM religion for its members; second, it recognized that hoasca (called a “sacramental tea” in the legal brief) is a controlled substance, Schedule I “hallucinogen” banned by CSA.

Justice Roberts summarized the government’s case against hoascas, based on three “compelling interests”: protecting the health and safety of UDVM members, preventing the diversion of the tea to non-members, and complying with the 1971 United Nations Convention on Psychotropic Substances. The government’s failure to meet the “compelling interest test” was based on its lack of evidence to clearly demonstrate that hoasca could harm the practitioners, particularly in the face of studies cited by the defense showing no harm came from drinking hoasca. Citing the general dangers of DMT and its inclusion in the Controlled Substances Act was not sufficient evidence. In a case of “equipoise” between two sets of evidence, the government is required by RFRA to give the stronger evidence.

Secondly, the government failed to demonstrate how, in the hoasca case specifically, the compelling interest applied to UDVM. Rather than cite a categorical law against DMT, the government is required by the Court’s interpretation of RFRA to give an explicit account of its compelling interests, and not take, as Justice Roberts wrote, “the classic rejoinder of the bureaucrat that if I make an exception for you, I’ll have to make an exception for others, therefore, no exception. Overall, RFRA is an example of the protection of an exception to the rule based on case-by-case analysis. Justice Roberts points out that such an exception to a CSA Schedule I substance was not noted in the government’s permitting the sacramental use of peyote by 250,000 members of NAC for the last 35 years, the very case (Smith) that motivated Congress to pass RFRA. Though the government cited the “unique relationship” between native communities and the federal government, no explanation was given to show how that relationship justified the criminalization of hoasca.

The implication here is that RFRA does allow for and supports the individual right for judicial exceptions to the general rule in the practice of religion. The court noted that the exercise of freedom in this case (1990) had not interfered with the government’s attempts to enforce the ban on peyote for non-NAC persons. Therefore the argument on distribution to nonchurch members of UDVM is undermined. Presently, 15 states allow the bona fide religious use of peyote, seven of which allow any bona fide religious organization to use peyote, not simply NAC. Eight restrict its use to NAC and three of those to NAC plus members with some “native heritage.” Canada, by contrast, has made peyote exempt from its control substance list. Finally, as no substantial evidence was submitted by the government to show how granting an exception for UDVM use of hoasca would impact international relations based on treaty rights, this argument failed as well.

In summary, the Supreme Court fully supported the UDVM and used the NAC as an explicit example of the exception to a governmental law. Justice Stephen Breyer worried that discrimination between diverse religious groups on the use of sacramental plants “or other substances” might violate First Amendment rights.

The hard-line “zero-tolerance” argument of the Bush administration Attorney General’s office was rejected as rigidly categorical and a “once-and-for-all” decision was regarded as inappropriate based on the guidelines in RFRA.

Some people in Indian Country worry that the observation by Justice Roberts about the “unique relationship” between native communities and the federal government concerning NAC might produce greater scrutiny of peyote use by the DEA. However, it seems clear that the O Centro Espirita case offers strong incentives to resist government pressures with religious practices. Involving general laws no longer seems to be an adequate basis for burdening religious freedom.

A final point: the case has not yet gone to trial—all the smoke and dust is about the injunction. Will the government pursue its case or will it, as many suspect, try to negotiate closer supervision of UDVM hoasca use and RFRA only applies to federal law, not to state law. So the struggle continues, with a little help from above.

**Editor’s Note:**

“In the Public Interest,” a regular feature of Religious Studies News, is sponsored by the Academy of the Understanding of Religious Committee.
Department Meeting

Arizona State University, Department of Religious Studies

Joel Gereboff, Chair

Joel Gereboff has been chair of Arizona State University's Department of Religious Studies for the past eight years and has been at ASU for 28 years, having earned his degree in the history of religions in Judaism in late antiquity, and with training in comparative religious ethics, Gereboff first taught at York University in Toronto for a year before coming to ASU. His writing covers topics in Judaism in late antiquity, American Judaism, collective memory, and Jewish ethics. His current endeavors include active involvement in ASU efforts to promote the international academic study of religion, in particular through participation in a U.S. State Department-funded project awarded to the Russian and Eastern European Center at ASU for the launching of an MA program in religious studies at the University of San Diego, and in other collaborative projects related to religion and bioethics. He participates actively in community discussions and programs related to bioethics and in a variety of outreach efforts related to Jewish studies. This year he serves as Interim Chair of the Jewish Studies Program, a unit he helped launch as co-director for many years.

THE DEPARTMENT of Religious Studies is located on the Tempe campus of Arizona State University, the nation's largest university with nearly 62,000 students. Consisting of 24 full-time faculty, the department offers BA, MA, and PhD degrees. The department began as a part of an interdisciplinary program in the humanities and religious studies in the early '70s, under our now-emeritus professor Richard Wents, who came here from Penn State and was part of the College of Fine Arts. In 1979, those working in religious studies moved over to the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences and became part of the Department of Religion and Religious Studies. The department contributes in many ways to ASU's vision as a "New American University" by offering a large number of undergraduate courses (approximately 60 distinct offerings each semester) that focus on lived religion and have a number of opportunities each term, many combining innovative mediation with rigorous scholarly exercises. Other popular courses include "Religion and Popular Culture," "Buddhism," and "Women and Religion." Majors must take several required thematics and courses. While no students are required to take religious studies courses for any major, approximately 6,000 students enroll in them each semester, many to satisfy various general studies requirements. Nearly 1,000 more students take courses during the winter and summer sessions.

The department has 24 full-time faculty, several of whom hold joint appointments in other academic units (School for Global Studies, African and African American Studies) and employs more than 20 part-time faculty. It has excellent ties with many units on campus through joint appointments, cross-listed courses, and the offering of seminars and public programs. At present it continues to identify additional ways to collaborate with other units in doctoral training, especially in areas of overlapping strengths. Our faculty are currently involved in various area studies centers and programs, and have led hospitality positions in them — Todd Swanson, Director of Latin American Studies and head of the Center for Study of Indigenous Languages and Policy; Juliane Schober, Acting Director of the Program in Southeast Asian Studies; Anne Feldhaus, Acting Director of the Center for Asian Studies; Joel Gereboff, Interim Director of Jewish Studies. Faculty are also engaged in collaborative research projects with colleagues from many units on campus.

The department has developed with a clear vision to provide numerous undergraduate offerings that expose students to a range of religions of the world (to avoid a Western emphasis), to identify niches of unique excellence for its graduate and research programs, and to work collaboratively with colleagues from across the campus and outside of ASU. The department is especially strong in the study of religion in the Americas ("C") is intended, with particular focus on the history of religion in the American West, in the Southwest borderlands, in distinct parts of Latin America and Mexico, and in the study of indigenous societies and religions; in the study of Islam as a global religion, especially in the contemporary world; and in religion in Southeast Asia. It has additional depth in the area of East Asia, Judaism, and Christianity, particularly regarding Christianity and colonialism. In general we have promoted a "desecularized" notion of religions by hiring colleagues who look at what often are seen as "nonclassical" forms of traditions. Course offerings cover a number of thematic issues, e.g., "Religion, Peace and Violence," "Religion and Conflict Resolution," "Religion and Science," "Religion in a Postcolonial Globalized World," "Religion and Bioethics," and additional mixtures of courses and other offerings.

A novel venture of the department is to promote thematic studies and scholarship. At present "Religion and Conflict," a recently launched doctoral track within our PhD. This emphasis draws on the strengths of many faculty members, and the department works closely with the university-wide Center for the Study of Religion and Conflict. Professor Linell Cady, former chair of the department, heads that unit. The center fosters interdisciplinary scholarship on religion and conflict, and facilitates conferences and programming that also enhance the department's efforts. It has opened up a good number of opportunities for the department's graduate students. The center has already received a number of grants from major foundations and also provides seed grants for collaborative, interdisciplinary faculty research.

Nearly all faculty in the department are presently involved in such projects and have received funding also from the Institute for Humanities Research, the Institute for Social Science Research at ASU, and from a number of other national foundations. Thus our faculty have been successful at situating their research within the broader goals of the university, while also continuing their studies that continue to expand our conventional understanding of individual traditions. The multidisciplinary background of our faculty, with colleagues holding degrees in religious studies, history, and anthropolo- (five), and their intellectual flexibility have contributed to this record of success. Faculty have also launched field schools and developed study abroad programs as part of our unit's effort in the areas of undergraduate and graduate education, and to foster scholarship and community outreach. Tod Swanson, Associate Professor of Religious Studies, has run a field school in the Amazonian region of Ecuador for many years that includes the study of indigenous traditions and Quechua, and that also is involved in research and outreach projects in areas of ethnomedicine and health. A new field school in the Yucatan, allowing for studies of Mayan religion and for developing relations with those communities, will begin during the summer of 2007 and will be headed by Miguel Aguilar. Finally, the unit is involved in a unique summer program in South Africa focused on religion, conflict, and peace that combines study, work in NGOs, and living with families in township.

At present, approximately 160 students major in religious studies, with nearly a third being joint majors. Most majors do not declare RS as their emphasis until their junior year. Most chose RS after having taken a number of introductory courses and finding them intellectually challenging or broad in their scope, and being taught by concerned faculty. Major feeder courses include "Religion of the World" (with approximately 1,200 students per term), two different courses in "Religion in America" (each enrolling 600–700 students per section) and "Religious Symbol and Myth" (approximately 400 students). Between 5–10 on-line courses are offered each term, many combining innovative mediation with rigorous scholarly exercises. Other popular courses include "Religion and Popular Culture," "Buddhism," and "Women and Religion." Majors must take several required thematics and courses, including a capstone seminar, a course on "Approaches to the Study of Religion," and must satisfy distribution requirements oriented to providing a comparative, global understanding of religion as well as some insight into religion in America. Majors also have an area of emphasis. We also have somewhere between 150–200 minors, though ASU does not track such students well. We have a strong record of attracting the best and brightest at ASU, with two of the school's Rhodes scholars, for example, having been RS majors. At the same time, a significant challenge we will be addressing is how to best serve our majors who often attend high enrollment classes popu- lated by nonmajors.

Since its inception, the department has offered a terminal MA degree for over 30 years served a number of populations, including those who have gone on to doc- toral work in the best programs in the country and local students with personal or professional interest in the study of reli- gion. Now in its second year, the doctoral program emphasizes areas of strength in the department and has an international group of approximately 18 students. They are funded through teaching assistantships and grants, and several through other units. We hope in time to increase the number of awards we have and their financial amounts, and to attract donor support for fellowships. We have a large number of graduate students with Fulbright grants, especially in the area of Islam.

My own leadership style is to draw upon the strengths of faculty to find ways that each can best contribute and to promote their scholarship and teaching. I value strategic planning and visions, and seek to combine our own sense of mission and strength with sensitivity to institutional and national trends and opportunities. My job is to identify additional opportunities my faculty have and to keep them from busywork. I value open and frank communication. Interviewees always remark on our very congenial character typified by the active involvement of the entire faculty in hires. Though the growth of the unit has necessitated the creation of more formalized substructures, we remain able to function as a unit of the whole. My greatest satisfaction has come from helping students advance, to move them beyond where they started, to assist them in garnering financial support for their studies, and to have them see how the understanding of religion, in all its com- plexity and diversity, can increase their grasp of so many issues in personal and social life. I equally value helping col- leagues pursue their careers. I have gotten immense joy from assisting in our unit's having charted new substantive areas of religious studies on the national and international level, and having strengthened tried-and-true programs through new ways exploring and understanding religion.
The American Academy of Religion thanks these loyal contributors. Your generous gifts help us realize our goals.
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Regional Scholars Award: The SBL offers a Regional Scholars award ($1,000, plus national recognition as a Regional Scholar) for an outstanding paper presented at the regional meeting by a PhD candidate or recent PhD (four or fewer years). If you are interested in competing in the Regional Scholars competition, you must indicate so with your paper proposal. See the Regional Web site for more information: www.rnp.org.

Program Committee Meeting: We will meet during the AAR/SBL Annual Meeting in Washington, D.C., on Saturday, November 18, 2006, from 9–11 am to determine the final program (specific locations to be announced). All members of the AAR/SBL Rocky Mountain–Great Plains Region who are willing to serve on the Program Committee and review proposals are asked to notify Nicole Reddy, Regional Vice President and Program Chair, by November 1, 2006. Proposals and student papers will be sent as e-mail attachments to Program Committee members for evaluation in early November. We hope that at least one faculty member from each of the participating schools in the region will serve on the Program Committee.

Please send all proposals and inquiries to: Nicole Reddy, PhD
Theology Department – HC 133
Creighton University
2500 California PL
Omaha, NE 68178, USA
E: 402-280-3272
F: 402-280-2502
nreddy@creighton.edu

Rocky Mountain–Great Plains

Rocky Mountain–Great Plains Regional Meeting (AAR/SBL)
March 23–24, 2007
Hilton Garden Inn
Omaha, NE
The Regional Program Committee cordially invites you to submit proposals for papers and panels to be presented at the 2007 Regional Meeting, which will be held in Omaha, Nebraska. The deadline for submissions is November 1, 2006.
Each proposal should consist of a one-page abstract describing the nature of the paper or panel and be sent as an e-mail attachment in MS Word format to nreddy@creighton.edu. If you require technological support for your presentation (such as Internet connection, audio and projection equipment), you must request it with your proposal. Proposals are welcome in all areas of religious and biblical studies. The Program Committee also welcomes proposals for panels and thematic sessions in the following areas:

- Religion in the Public Square: Religion and Government
- Religion and Popular Culture
- Religious Rhetoric and Violence
- Women and Religion
- The Bible and Cognate Literature
- Comparative Studies in Religion
- Pedagogical Methods and Technologies.

Only those proposals received by the deadline will be considered for inclusion in the program. Presentations are limited to 20 minutes, with time allotted for questions.

Student Paper Awards: Graduate students are encouraged to submit proposals. There will be awards for the best AAR and SBL student papers. The awards are presented during the luncheon on Saturday and carry a stipend of $100 each. To be considered for the award a student should submit a copy of the completed paper along with an abstract, by October 15, 2006. (Papers not chosen for an award will be considered for the program.) A student's name should appear only on the cover page of the paper; student papers will be judged anonymous. The paper should be 12–15 pages double-spaced (for a 20-minute presentation). Please submit the paper as an e-mail attachment in MS Word format to nreddy@creighton.edu. Requests for supporting technology (Internet connection, projector, etc.) must accompany your proposal. The Program Committee also invites undergraduate papers for the "Theta Alpha Kappa National Honor Society Undergraduate Panel." The winning paper from this panel will receive an award of $100. Please submit a completed paper as an e-mail attachment to nreddy@creighton.edu by October 15, 2006.
Southeastern
Southeastern Regional Meeting (AAR/SBL/ASOR/SE)
March 16–18, 2007
Sheraton Nashville Downtown Hotel
Nashville, TN

The 2007 meeting will take place in Nashville, Tennessee at the Sheraton Nashville Downtown Hotel from March 16–18. The room rate is $119.00. Located centrally, the Sheraton Downtown is just one block from the Convention Center, three blocks from the Gaylord Entertainment Center, and within walking distance of Titan’s Coliseum, historic Second Avenue, Printer’s Alley, and the Financial District.

The meeting is sponsored with the help of Vanderbilt University Divinity School. We will also be working closely with the Freedom Forum First Amendment Center in Nashville.

The theme for our 2007 program will be “Religion and Public Education.” Dr. Charles Haynes of the Freedom Forum First Amendment Center will deliver the plenary address. Haynes is the senior scholar at the First Amendment Center and directs the Center’s First Amendment educational program in schools. He is author of the award-winning Religion in American History: What to Teach and How and Finding Common Ground: A First Amendment Guide to Religion and Public Education.

The following sections and program units invite members who wish to present a paper or coordinate a session to submit proposals (1–2 pages) or complete manuscripts to the appropriate section chairs by the call deadline, October 1, 2006. Each member is limited to one proposal.

Please use the proposal submission form available on the SESCOR Web site (www.secor.appstate.edu). Proposals for joint sessions should be sent to all chairs.

Please note that unless otherwise indicated, papers must be of such a length as can be presented and discussed within 45 minutes.

Needs for audiovisual equipment must be noted on the submission form. Because of the very high cost of renting digital video projection equipment, presenters who wish to use such equipment must provide it themselves. The copying of handouts is also the responsibility of the presenter.

All program participants must be preregistered for the meeting.

Suggestions for new program units or special speakers should be sent to SECSOR’s executive director or to the vice president/program chair of the respective society (see list of regional officers below).

Important Note on AV Equipment: It is imperative that we have all information concerning AV equipment on proposal forms. This allows us to plan “AV room” sessions with similar needs can be scheduled. AV costs are based on a per-room, per-day basis. By blocking rooms, SECSOR can save substantial amounts of money. SECSOR cannot support the cost of digital projection equipment. If you must use this equipment, note on your proposal form that you will provide your own equipment at the conference. Thank you for your cooperation.

(AAR) Academic Study of Religion and Pedagogy (3 sessions and 2 joint sessions)

(AAR) African American Religion (3 sessions)
Themes: 1) Open call. 2) Engaging Black Popular Religion. Papers are solicited that respond to the call of the African American New Preacher and Milimon F. Harrison’s Righteous Riches: The Word of Faith Movement in Contemporary African-American Religious Cultures. Papers that treat matters of methodology, concerns related to religious and theological disciplines, and the implications of these texts for the current and future study of African-American religion are encouraged. 3) Race, Religion, and Theology in the Public Square. Papers are solicited that deal with intersecting issues of race and religious and theological disciplines, and public concerns. Papers are sought that relate disciplines such as Bible, theology, ethics, religious studies, and other interreligious studies, including the education of black youth, physical and mental well-being and black communities, globalization, living wages, incarceration, etc. Chair: Ronald B. Neal, Claflin University, renne@claflin.edu

(AAR/SBL) American Biblical Hermeneutics (1–3 sessions and 1 joint session)
Themes: 1) Open session: Papers on all topics related to Biblical interpretation will be considered seriously for a general session. 2) Invited panel: Intelligent Design (co-sponsored with Religion, Ethics, and Society). 3) Bible, Music, and Popular Culture. 4) Joint Session with Arts, Literature, and Religion: Teaching the Bible as Literature and in the Arts (Literature, Music, and the Visual Arts). Submissions are open to Chair: N. Samuel Murrell, UNCW, murrellt@uncw.edu, and Co-chair Finbar S. Benjami, Oakwood College, University of Birmingham, fbenjami@oakwood.edu.

(SBL/ASOR) Archaeology and the Ancient World (4 sessions)
Themes: 1) Joint session with Hebrew Scriptures/Old Testament/New Testament: “The Dead Sea Scrolls: Recent Research”: Participants will be invited. 2) Open session: Session on “Archaeology and the Bible in Film and Television.” 3) Open session: “Archaeology and the Biblical World.” The material culture of the biblical world, including (but not limited to) reports from the field, interpretations of finds, archaeologically informed readings of texts, and historical analyses. 4) Presidential address: Milton Moreland (Rhodes College). Respondents will be invited. Send title and abstract (150 words) or complete paper (required of first-time presenters) to Chair: Michael D. Wineland, Kentucky Christian University, 100 Academic PKWY, Grayson, KY 41143, USA; winelandk@kc.edu.

(AAR) Arts, Literature, and Religion (4 sessions)
Themes: 1) Recovery and reconciliation in Furman University, 350 PoWarrants and religious traditions mix media, adapt art forms, and borrow ideas and images to (re)present religious meaning. 3) Joint session on “Teaching the Bible as/in arts (literature, music, and visual art).” 4) Open call. For joint sessions, please submit proposals to all session chairs. Chair: Carolyn M. Aymert, The Interdenominational Theological Center, maymer@tcrec.edu.

(SBL) Hebrew Scriptures/Old Testament (3 sessions or 3 joint sessions)
Themes: 1) 2 or 3 open sessions. We especially encourage submissions for a special session on “Ethnicity in the Hebrew Bible and in Hebrew Scholarship.” For these sessions, please send proposals (or completed papers if you have never presented) to David Garber, McAfee School of Theology, Mercer University, 3001 Mercer University DR, Atlanta, GA 30341, USA; garber_d@gsv.mercer.edu; and J. Dwayne Howell, UPO Box #805, Campbellsville University, 1 University DR, Campbellsville, KY 42718, USA; jhowell@campbellsville.edu.

3) Invited session reviewing significant books on the Hebrew Bible from the past year, with panelsists already chosen. 3) A joint session with Academic Study of Religion on “Teaching the Bible in the Public Schools.” Submit proposals (or completed papers if you have never presented) to Don Polaski, Department of Religious Studies, University of Tennessee, 501 McClung Tower, Knoxville, TN 37996-0450, USA; gschmidt@utk.edu.

(AAR) History of Religions (4–5 sessions)
Themes: 1) Engaging the representation of non-Christian traditions in public schools. 2) Religion and the state: Cross-cultural perspectives. 3) Asceticism. 4) Intereigious dialogue. 5) Contemporary issues in Islam. 6) Hinduism in the United States. 7) Joint session with Academic Study of Religion and Pedagogy: Invited panel on best practices for world religions. 8) Open call: Chair: Steven Ramey, University of Alabama, sramey@bama.ua.edu.

(SBL) New Testament (5 or 6 sessions)
Themes: 1) Open call. 2) Call for papers with focus on some aspect of slavery in the New Testament and Early Christianity. 3) Call for papers with focus on some aspect of New Testament discourse on bodies/anthropology. 4) A joint session with Hebrew Scriptures/Old Testament on “Teaching the Bible in the Public Schools.” Submissions to chairs of both sections (Hebrew Bible/Old Testament chair, depolak@utm.edu). 5) Joint session with Academic Study of Religion and Pedagogy: Invited panel discussion of Decolonizing Biblical Studies (ed. Fernando Segovia). Chair: Shelly Matthews, Furman University, 3300 Poinsett HWY, Greenville, SC 29613, USA; shelly.matthews@furm.edu.

Religious Studies, College of William & Mary, PO Box 8795, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795, USA; dpolak@utm.edu; and Carolyn M. Aymert, Department of Religion, 206 Peabody Hall, Athens, GA 30602-1625, USA; medine@uga.edu

(AAR) History of Judaism (1 session and 1 joint session)
1) Joint session with Hebrew Scriptures/Old Testament on Second Temple Biblical Interpretation. Submit proposals (or completed papers if you have never presented) to Don Polaski, Department of Religious Studies, College of William & Mary, PO Box 8795, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795, USA; dpolak@utm.edu; and Gila G. Schmidt, Department of Religious Studies, University of Tennessee, 501 McClung Tower, Knoxville, TN 37996-0450, USA; gschmidt@utk.edu.

2) Christianity and Culture (Relationship with post-Enlightenment: classical culture/conf/ formity with secular society). Christianity Chair: Michael Simmons, Department of History, Auburn University Montgomery, PO Box 24423, Montgomery, AL 36124, USA; bishoppmichael@troyeagle.net.

(AAR) History of Islam (1 session and 1 joint session)
1) Open session with Hebrew Scriptures/Old Testament on Second Temple Biblical Interpretation. Submit proposals (or completed papers if you have never presented) to Don Polaski, Department of Religious Studies, College of William & Mary, PO Box 8795, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795, USA; dpolak@utm.edu; and Gila G. Schmidt, Department of Religious Studies, University of Tennessee, 501 McClung Tower, Knoxville, TN 37996-0450, USA; gschmidt@utk.edu.

2) Christianity and Culture (Relationship with post-Enlightenment: classical culture/conf/ formity with secular society). Christianity Chair: Michael Simmons, Department of History, Auburn University Montgomery, PO Box 24423, Montgomery, AL 36124, USA; bishoppmichael@troyeagle.net.

(AAR) History of Judaism (1 session and 1 joint session)
1) Joint session with Hebrew Scriptures/Old Testament on Second Temple Biblical Interpretation. Submit proposals (or completed papers if you have never presented) to Don Polaski, Department of Religious Studies, College of William & Mary, PO Box 8795, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795, USA; dpolak@utm.edu; and Gila G. Schmidt, Department of Religious Studies, University of Tennessee, 501 McClung Tower, Knoxville, TN 37996-0450, USA; gschmidt@utk.edu.

2) Christianity and Culture (Relationship with post-Enlightenment: classical culture/conf/ formity with secular society). Christianity Chair: Michael Simmons, Department of History, Auburn University Montgomery, PO Box 24423, Montgomery, AL 36124, USA; bishoppmichael@troyeagle.net.
The work of Charles Lippy. Chair: Lynn S.

**(AAR) Religion in America (4 sessions)**

Themes: 1) Open call. 2) Issues in Science and Religion. 3) Joint Session with Religion in America: “Theology in/of Country Music.” 4) Democracy: Philosophical and Theological Perspectives. 5) An invited panel discussion of Paul DeHart’s *The Trial of the Witnesses: The Rise and Decline of Polemical Theology.* Chair: Mark S. Medley, Campbellsville University, School of Theology, 1 University DR, Campbellsville, KY 42718, USA; nm medley@campbellsville.edu.

**(AAR) Religion, Ethics, and Society (2 or 3 open sessions, 2 joint sessions)**

Themes: Papers on all topics will be considered, but the following themes are especially invited: 1) Music. 2) Public education (not topic of intelligent design because of invited session on topic). 3) Reproductive issues. Submit a copy of proposal to Laura Sivers, Pfeiffer University, ljsivers@pfeiffer.edu, and Grace Kao, Virginia Tech, gkao@vt.edu.

**(AAR) Religion in America (4 sessions)**

Themes: 1) Open call. 2) The First Amendment: Cases and Conflicts. 3) Hale Bopp and Heaven’s Gate Ten Years Later. 4) Teaching American Religion: Sources and Strategies. 5) Invited panel honoring the work of Charles Lippy. Chair: Lynn S. Neal, Wake Forest University, lNeal@wfu.edu.

**(AAR) Women and Religion (5 sessions)**

Themes: 1) Motherhood/marriage (or the lack thereof). 2) Women and popular culture. 3) Issues faced by women in religion in the academy. 4) Women in/and indigenous religions. 5) Scholar’s role in educating the public on issues of religion, gender, and sexuality. 6) Open call. Chair: Monica A. Coleman, Lutheran School of Theology at Chicago, mcoleman@lsp.hartsem.edu; and Michelle V. Roberts, Rhodes College, mrobert@emory.edu.

For more information about SECSOR, see www.secsor.appstate.edu.

---

**Religious Studies News**

**(AAR) Philosophy of Religion and Theology (4 sessions and 1 joint session)**

Themes: 1) Open call. 2) Issues in Science and Religion. 3) Joint Session with Religion in America: “Theology in/of Country Music.” 4) Democracy: Philosophical and Theological Perspectives. 5) An invited panel discussion of Paul DeHart’s *The Trial of the Witnesses: The Rise and Decline of Polemical Theology.* Chair: Mark S. Medley, Campbellsville University, School of Theology, 1 University DR, Campbellsville, KY 42718, USA; nmmedley@campbellsville.edu.

**(AAR) Religion, Ethics, and Society (2 or 3 open sessions, 2 joint sessions)**

Themes: Papers on all topics will be considered, but the following themes are especially invited: 1) Music. 2) Public education (not topic of intelligent design because of invited session on topic). 3) Reproductive issues. Submit a copy of proposal to Laura Sivers, Pfeiffer University, ljsivers@pfeiffer.edu, and Grace Kao, Virginia Tech, gkao@vt.edu.

**(AAR) Religion in America (4 sessions)**

Themes: 1) Open call. 2) The First Amendment: Cases and Conflicts. 3) Hale Bopp and Heaven’s Gate Ten Years Later. 4) Teaching American Religion: Sources and Strategies. 5) Invited panel honoring the work of Charles Lippy. Chair: Lynn S. Neal, Wake Forest University, lNeal@wfu.edu.

**(AAR) Women and Religion (5 sessions)**

Themes: 1) Motherhood/marriage (or the lack thereof). 2) Women and popular culture. 3) Issues faced by women in religion in the academy. 4) Women in/and indigenous religions. 5) Scholar’s role in educating the public on issues of religion, gender, and sexuality. 6) Open call. Chair: Monica A. Coleman, Lutheran School of Theology at Chicago, mcoleman@lsp.hartsem.edu; and Michelle V. Roberts, Rhodes College, mrobert@emory.edu.

For more information about SECSOR, see www.secsor.appstate.edu.

---

**Southwest Regional Meeting**

March 3–4, 2007
Westin Hotel, DFW Airport
Irving, TX

Proposal Submission Deadline: November 1, 2006

**Arts, Literature, and Religion**

Theme: Other Voices. Papers that address other voices in religious expression are solicited for panels that examine the expression of non-Judeo-Christian religious belief, or the non-western expressions of religious belief. Papers should examine specifically the art of these expressions, and might approach this sociologically, psychologically, culturally, historically, comparatively, or cross-disciplinarily. Panels might be assembled so as to group papers addressing similar cultures, religions, artistic expressions, or themes. Send proposals (Word attachment via e-mail preferable) to:

- Katherine Downey, The Howard School, 1981 Windy Terrace DR
  Dallas, TX 75231, USA
  w: 214-349-0590
  katherineedowney@baylor.edu

- Comparative and Asian Studies in Religion

The Comparative and Asian Studies in Religion section has an open call for papers. Proposals and papers in relation to all topics will be considered, but the following topics are of special interest: papers related to religion, gender, globalization, and comparative religion are also welcome. Successful overhead projectors and slide projectors may be available; if using a Power Point presentation, please make your own arrangements for a data projector). Please let me know if you have any AV needs.

- Invited proposals should not exceed 500 words and should include title, brief description, and an indication of the main arguments of the presentation (Word attachment via e-mail preferable). Send proposals to:
  - Iverte M. Vargas
    Religious Studies Department
    Austin College
    Sherman, TX 75090, USA
    w: 903-813-2479
    ivargas@AustinCollege.edu

- Ethics, Society, and Cultural Analysis

Proposals for papers or panel discussions are invited for all areas of ethics and cultural analysis including: social ethics, biomedical ethics, environmental ethics, theological ethics, the history of ethics, ethics and globalization, and comparative religious ethics, ethical issues in church-state relations, the use of Scripture or tradition in ethics, and constructive treatments of contemporary ethical issues. Of special interest are proposals on teaching ethics and various pedagogical styles used to teach topics in ethics or cultural analysis. Send proposals to:

- Melanie Harris
  Texas Christian University
  TCU Box 298100
  Fort Worth, TX 76129, USA
  w: 817-334-8853
  mharris@tcu.edu

- Tracey Mark Stout
  Bluefield College
  3000 College DR, Box 53
  Bluefield, VA 24605, USA
  stout@bluefield.edu

**Philosophy of Religion and Theology**

Proposals are invited in all areas in philosophy of religion or in theology. Those involving multiple presentations or panel discussions (no more than three participants) focused upon a single topic, figure, or publication will be especially welcome (either have each panelist provide an abstract, which is preferred, or supply credentials of panelists). Proposals that feature interdisciplinary or international participation, and that promise to stimulate productive discussion, will be favored. They should be no more than two pages, with the title of presentation and some sense of the argument. Include a return address, contact number, and e-mail address. Please do not submit proposals as e-mail attachments; paste them into the body of the e-mail. Send proposals to:

- Steve Oldham
  University of Mary Hardin–Baylor
  Box 8422 UMHB Station
  Belton, TX 76513, USA
  w: 254-295-4171
  sOldham@umhb.edu

**History of Christianity**

The History of Christianity section has an open call for papers. All submissions in the field of history of Christianity will be considered, but papers in the following areas are of special interest: Walter Rauschenbusch and the Social Gospel Movement, early Protestant missionary activity in China, African-American Christianity, early church history, panel discussion regarding the teaching of Christian history, historical methodology, and historiography. Send proposals to:

- Mark A. Gotthil
  7525 Tall Pines DR
  New Orleans, LA 70125-1098, USA
  w: 504-460-1354
  mark.gotthil@gmail.com

**Reflections on the Teaching of Religion**

Proposals are invited for presentations during a Sunday morning session on the topic of teaching introductory religious studies courses as part of the general education curriculum. Proposals may reflect upon the use of film in survey courses, or debate the advantages/disadvantages of using Power Point and other visual media to engage students. Submissions may propose creative strategies for encouraging students to research, write, and perhaps suggest ways to integrate presentations, debates, or discussion in freshman- and sophomore-level courses. Send proposals to:

- Carol Crawford Holcomb
  University of Mary Hardin–Baylor
  Box 8422, UMHB
  900 College ST
  Belton, TX 76513, USA
  cholcomb@umhb.edu

**Theta Alpha Kappa**

Student members of Theta Alpha Kappa chapters in the Southwest Region are invited to submit papers for presentation at the regional meeting. Open to all topics. One session will be devoted to the best papers. Submissions must come from the chapter advisor and include the presenter’s name and contact information, the entire paper (preferred) or an abstract of the paper (acceptable), and name of the school. In the event that there are more proposals than can fit in one session, local chapter advisors may be asked to select the one best submission from their schools.

Submit proposals electronically to:

- Nadia Labutsy
  Texas Christian University
  n.labutsy@tcu.edu

---

**Upper Midwest**

**Upper Midwest Regional Meeting**

(AAR/SBL) April 13–14, 2007
Luther Seminary
St. Paul, MN

The program committee invites members of the societies to submit proposals for papers to be read at the regional meeting. To submit a proposal, please complete the Web-based form at www.uw-aarsbl.org/proposal.htm by December 15, 2006. Proposals of undergraduate papers are made by members of the societies on behalf their students by completing the form at www.uw-aarsbl.org/undergrad.htm. The region only accepts proposals submitted through this Web site.

**Joint AAR/SBL Sessions:**

**Continuing the Conversation**

Although the national meetings of the societies are going their separate ways, the regional remains a place where scholars of religion and scholars of the Bible meet and converse. The Upper Midwest Region invites interdisciplinary papers meant to engage members of both societies and continue the conversation.

Corrine Carvalho, University of St. Thomas, St. Paul, MN

**Undergraduate Research**

The Upper Midwest regional meeting includes undergraduate papers, reflecting the preposterous of undergraduate institutions in the region. Members nominate outstanding papers. Each institution is allowed up to two submissions.

Tom Reynolds, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI

**AAR Sessions:**

**Multicultural Perspectives on Theology and Religion**

This section seeks papers that address theology and religion from diverse racial, ethnic, and demographic perspectives in conversation with analysis of other forms of difference.

Pincilla Eppinger, Graceland University, Lamoni, IA

**Ethics**

Mary Gaebler, Gustavus Adolphus College, St. Peter, MN

**Historical Perspectives on Religion**

This section seeks papers dealing with the
The international focus of the 2007 Annual Meeting will be Chinese contributions to the study of religion.

The International Connections Committee is soliciting partnerships with departments and institutions for co-sponsoring specific scholars of religion from China, to lecture at the co-sponsoring institution as well as participate in the Annual Meeting. Co-sponsorship will allow your department to hear from these fine scholars while they are already in the United States.

To co-sponsor or for more information, please contact aar@aarweb.org.
Vedic instruction, we must look at nearby sentences and nearby paragraphs, as well as what might be assumed by the readers and authors. Jaimini’s principles are precursors to the kinds of modern literary interpretations that we can all engage in, in which sensitivity to environment need not curtail freedom of speech.

Third, the Indic tradition has taught us the principle of upaya, “learning in stages.” This is the principle of incrementalism. While upaya is most prevalent in the Buddhist tradition, it is also a clear modus operandi of pedagogy in the ancient Indian educational system. Scholars might follow upaya, or learning in stages, in the teaching of any methodology, and ask students to read many different interpretations at once, both Indian and Western. But they should do so only if the students are ready to consider and challenge each view.

Fourth, Indic tradition has taught us how to choose battles. This is the principle of commonality. Krishna was constantly thinking about the nature of his alliances in the Mahabharata, and which was the more important battle that could clarify and uphold Dharma. Certainly, in his dialogue with Yudhisthira about whether going to battle is appropriate, Krishna still strives for the larger cause of peace, even as he fears that the signs for war are mounting.

Perhaps, in this spirit, it would be best for all engaged in interlogue to describe what specific battles exist for the university curriculum. Many scholars have devoted their lives to changing that discourse presently admits.

The AAR research grant enabled me to conduct the final field research in Japan for my book, Healing Zen: Japanese Buddhist Women’s Rituals of Transformation. My primary intent was to test my interpretation of the data that the women had been providing me with since 1998. I wanted to ascertain whether my views were in accord with their views and experiences. I was pleased that none of them indicated discrepancies. I attribute this to having periodically conducted individual reflexive meetings throughout the project. I have tried to make it clear at every stage that each of them can modify, edit, or rescind permission to use any material gathered for this study.

In addition to the weeks I spent in Nagoya conducting the final in-person consulting sessions, I took a trip to Tokyo to get feedback on my findings from a number of senior Japanese Buddhist scholars, including Nara Yasuaki, chancellor of the Sôbô Zen-affiliated Komazawa University. As a boon, I was deeply grateful that he kindly agreed to write the foreword to the book, for it maintains that Zen studies must expand in the directions that I have taken this research.

The process of conducting this long-term and intimate field research required me first to become very close to each woman in order to understand her experiences and views. Then I had to establish distance to see the patterns, significance, and meaning in the idiosyncratic details. This last field research allowed me to reengage with each woman directly while also having the larger picture in focus. I am grateful to the AAR support, for it enabled me to more thoroughly engage in reflexive, accountable, and finely tuned research.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PATTON/RAM-PRASAD, from p.33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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When making your will and thinking about charitable bequests, why not include the AAR? Your gift to the future will help us provide for the ongoing needs of the field.
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Feminism and the return of Religion

A constellation of internationally prominent philosophers and theologians gather to ask, “What does the “return of religion” mean for women and for human sexuality? What new openings for feminism and gender theory are being made by the renewed interest of intellectuals in religion? How can we reimagine God and the divine beyond patriarchy and homophobia? How are feminist and gender theory to respond to the worldwide resurgence of religious fundamentalisms?

Syracuse University  APRIL 26–28, 2007
Using the News to Teach Religion

Use the news to teach world religions in your classroom

A Religion & Ethics NewsWeekly online resource for professors and teachers

Visit us online to access streaming video, essays, interviews, course materials and to request a free DVD

Available at

pbs.org/religion/teach
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